## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )

Plaintiff-Respondent,

vs.

CR 72787

KEVIN COOPER,

Supreme Court No.

CRING 2455

Defendant-Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY
HONORABLE RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

## APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Respondent:

HON. JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

State Attorney General Department of Justice

110 West "A" Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101

For Defendant-Appellant:

In Propria Persona

JILL D. McKIMMEY, C.S.R., C-2314 Official Reporter

VOLUME of volumes
Pages 6513-1 to 6513-9, incl.

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	for the county of san bernarding
3	DEPARTMENT NO. 3 (ONT) HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE
4	
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. No. OCR-9319
8	KEVIN COOPER,
9	Defendant.)
10	
11	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CRAL PROCEEDINGS
12	August 20, 1984
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	For the Plaintiff: DENNIS E. KOTTHEIER District Attorney
16	By: JOHN P. ROCHIS Deputy District Attorney
17	
18	Public Defender  By: DAVID NEGIS
19	Deputy Public Defender
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: JILL D. McKIMMEY
26	Official Reporter C.S.R. No. 2314

ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, AUGUST 20, 1984; 10:03 A.M.
DEPARTMENT NO. 3 (OMT) HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE
APPEARANCES:

The Defendant with his Counsel, DAVID NEGUS, Deputy Public Defender of San Bernardino County; JOHN P. ROCHIS, Deputy District Attorney of San Bernardino County, representing the People of the State of California.

(Jill D. McRimmey, C.S.R. Official Reporter, C-2314)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in chambers:)

THE COURT: Eello.

ER. KOCHIS: Good morning.

MR. NEGUS: Good morning.

THE COURT: For the first time since 1965, I made a mistake, and I misdirected purely inadvertently the court commissioner down in San Diego, and apparently you picked it up, Mr. Kochis, and I didn't know that before then that I scheduled them for sixty -- for the 14th also. Jurors are requested for September 12, 13 and 14, 12, 13, and 14, so that would be the 60 jurors in the morning on that Priday, instead of letting you have Friday off. I really wasn't trying to get another day's work out of you.

MR. NEGUS: Well, I can't do that. I just can't stand the stress of going four days a week.

.\_::

THE COURT: Can't do what?

MR. NEGUS: I can't stand the stress of doing just more than a regular week, and I have to move that week, anyway, so maybe we can have them change over to Monday.

THE COURT: Can we adjust, Mr. Negus, parhaps give you time off on Monday? I don't want to change the thing down there, start off wrong and have them recall the jurors and redo it again.

MR. NEGUS: Well, I don't think I can do it that way. I mean I am -- it's -- it's sort of -- I'm having difficulties with the stress of it, anyway, and I think if I have to do -move and do four days --

THE COURT: Do you disbelieve what I'm telling you when I tell you it was inadvertent?

MR. NEGUS: No.

THE COURT: I'm telling you that is the truth.

Right. MR. NEGUS:

THE COURT: But now that I've done it and they've got those people already requested or subpoenced or whatever they do to jurors, I don't wish to start off and tell them, hey, I've made a mistake, cancel that and bring them in on that Monday instead.

MR. NEGUS: Well --

THE COURT: So I would rather give you time off on

25

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Honday, Mr. Negus, and that would still give you your three-day weekend.

MR. NEGUS: It doesn't work that way.

THE COURT: I beg your pardon?

MR. NEGUS: It doesn't work that way. I need time to rest and relax, and I just can't start off that way.

RR. KOCRIS: Your Honor, let me explain what — what Mrs. Stephens told me about how they summon the people, because I asked them didn't they have a call back or something. She said they're bringing the first two groups in on Tuesday, the 11th, and I said, well, we are involved in change of vanue on the 11th. She goes, we know that, we bring them in on the 11th and then we split them, some to come back on the 12th, some to come back — I'm sorry — some to come back on the 12th, some to come back, I think, on the 13th. Then we give them some type of, she said, indoctrination or talk. Then they bring another group in on the 13th and some of those stay, and then the others are told to come back on Friday, the 14th, and my thought was —

THE COURT: I can't understand that at all, because I'm very clear that the 11th will be a non-jury motion day.

MR. MOCHIS: Right. They apparently -- unless I completely misunderstood the conversation, they bring them in the day before.

THE COURT: Well, I don't want them to do that.

MR. ROCHIS: Well, and they talk to them and them

0-30-5

tell them they can be expected to be called for the following day.

Maybe I completely misunderstood the contents of the conversation.

THE COURT: I am concerned, however, with your intractable position, and you're telling me, Judge, flatly, non-negotiably, I can't do it that way and I won't do it that way. That's what's coming through.

MR. A2305; Well, that's besically it. You know, if you want de to get a doctor's excuse that says I can't, I will.

THE COURT: Today is the 20th of August. We've got basically two more weeks before there's any in-court work on your part on Cooper. We would be -- excuse me. That will be the Josh Ryen metter, and then the 11th, we'd have the change of venue, and the 12th -- the 11th is a Tuesday, so you would be off the 7th, 9th, 9th and 10th before that, and then I would only be requiring you to work four days. I am about to get tough with you, Mr. Megus. You are not coming through reasonably to me.

NR. NEGUS: Well, we have to move the 7th, 8th, 9th and the luth, and that's going to be a big pain in the behind and, I'm sorry, Judge, you can get all the sad at me that you want. I only have one life, and I'm not going to give it for this case, and I -- my -- I have been told to cut down on the amount of work and the amount of stress I do, and I'm

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

6′

 going to, and that's, you know, what I'm going to do, and, you know, you can throw me in jail. That's nice and restful, but I can't work any harder than I am, and I have to cut down on the amount of work that I'm doing. That's what my coctor says. That's what I'm going to do.

THE COURT: All right. Bring me your doctor's letter to that effect.

ER. NEGUS: Okay.

That sounds to me unreasonable unless it's proven to be a medical disability on your part. With that kind of time off, that's simply a slight adjustment. I started off this hearing by telling you is there any room of flexibility in a very reasonable manner to give you the Monday off to compensate, and with that kind of time off out of court, it seems to me that you're being unreasonable at this time.

MR. NEGUS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I simply won't accept it.

MK. NEGUS: I was in the hospital on Thursday. I'm not going to go back to the hospital again, and you can get all you want, but you just can't get blood out of a turnip.

THE COURT: Now you're giving me something you haven't given me before. If you have been to the hospital, maybe you ought to level with me and give me a doctor's certificate.

MR. NEGUE: I'll be glad to. I'll call my doctor

this morning.

THE COURT: All right. Let's just postpone it till I get more medical information on you. I haven't the foggiest idea about any difficulty with you, and I dislike as well starting off wrong, but, you know, things change during course of trial. We have to remain flexible.

MR. NEGUS: That may be true, but I think the Court has to remain flexible to my problems, too.

THE COURT: Well, I'm talking about your problem without -- without a medical necessity for it, as opposed to the problems of the staff down there and 60 people that I've got making their job changes and everything else already.

MR. NEGUS: Well, but Mr. Kochis tells me that that's not even true, that they're bringing them in on off days.

THE COURT: I think and pray that he's off.

MR. NEGUS: I suspect he isn't. Rr. Rochis is usually accurate.

MR. KOCHIS: I'm going to be talking to Ars. Stephens about an unrelated matter.

THE COURT: I don't want you talking to her.

MR. KOCHIS: I have to. She's a potential witness for the People on the challenge to the panel. If Nr. Wegus makes a challenge, I have to find out who I subpoena.

THE COURT: Well, that's another matter, but I am a little bit put out by your getting into the scheduling and one thing and another down there.

MR. KOCHIS: That happened because she said which day can I expect to be on the stand on the motion. I said, well, you know, we're spending these three days handling excuses, and she said, no, you're not, you're handling -- other days handling excuses.

THE COURT: Okay. I'd better give them a call. What did you tell me now?

MR. ROCHIS: That was it. She wanted to know if I would be calling her back or you would. I said I imagine the Court would or the Court's clerk would be.

THE COURT: Call who back?

MR. KOCHIS: Mrs. Stephens. She wanted to know what our position was going to be on that particular day, on Friday, the 14th, and I said I don't have an answer.

THE COURT: Oh, I see, call her back in that regard.
Well, I'll do it. Do you know what her first name is?

MR. KOCHIS: Yes, I did, Your Honor. She's -- her signature appears on the letter. It's Geraldine. She's the Assistant Jury Cosmissioner, and I believe she can be reached at Area Code 619 236-2680.

THE COURT: 619-236-2680?

MR. KOCHIB: Yes.

THE COURT: I think, however, I'd better wait until

I get more information from Mr. Negus before I call back,

because I am not going to change any scheduling at the moment

I sent to you -- I don't know if you've had a chance,

Mr. Negus, to read it yet -- the jury selection remarks from the Court. Have you had a chance to review that?

NR. NEGUS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Then we won't talk about that. Otherwise, we'll come back. See you at the next occasion. That's all I have.

MR. KOCHIS: Thank you.

MR. NEGUS: I don't know when -- how soon do you want a letter from my doctor? I'm not sure when I'm going to see him next.

THE COURT: It behooves us, I guess, to straighten out this down there with San Diego at an early time. You can have your doctor give me a phone call, if you wish, or bring me a letter whenever you can reasonably do so. Have I got to walk on eggshells considering your physical disability or something like that? I've never known you to have such a problem.

MR. NEGUS: Well, that's because I don't go around advertising it, but I -- in this particular case -- normally the stress doesn't get to me, but in this particular case, having worked at it 60 to 80 hours a week for a year, I've sort of got to the stage where it's starting to affect me, and I can only do so much, and I have been told to cut down, and so I'm going to.

THE COURT: A wise man knows when to delegate, Dave. You maybe ought to get some help.

MR. NEGUS: There's nothing that I can delegate in this particular case. It's not like Buono where you can delegate it. That would be a disservice to Mr. Cooper.

THE COURT: All right. Let me -- let me hear from you.

(Whereupon, the matter was concluded.)

---000---

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING
3	Department no. 3 (ont) Bon. Richard C. Garner, Judge
4	
5	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )
6	Plaintiff, )
7	VB. )NO. OCR-9319
8	REVIN COOPER, ) REPORTER'S
9	)CERTIPICATE Defendant. )
10	)
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO )
13	I, JILL D. McKIMMEY, Official Reporter of the Superior
14	Court of the State of California, for the County of San
15	Bernardino, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages,
16	6513-1 through 6513-9, comprise a full, true, and correct
17	transcript of the proceedings taken in the matter of the
18	above-entitled cause on August 20, 1984.
19	Dated this day of July, 1985.
20	