

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

CR 72787

VS.

KEVIN COOPER,

Supreme Court No. CRIM

24507

Defendant-Appellant.

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY

HONORABLE RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE PRESIDING

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

## APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Respondent:

HON. JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP

State Attorney General Department of Justice

110 West "A" Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101

For Defendant-Appellant:

IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME 44 volumes. Pages 4574 to 4713, incl.

JILL D. MC KIMMEY, C.S.R., C-2314 and BRIAN V. RATEKIN, C.S.R., C-3715 Official Reporters

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
3			
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE ) OF CALIFORNIA,		
5	Plaintiff,		
6	vs. ) NO. OCR-9319		
7	KEVIN COOPER,		
8	) VOLUME 44  Defendant. ) Pgs. 4574 thru 4713, incl.		
9	*		
10	REPORTERS' DAILY TRANSCRIPT		
11	BEFORE HONORABLE RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE		
12	DEPARTMENT 3 - ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA		
13	Monday, July 9, 1984		
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	For the People: DENNIS KOTTMEIER District Attorney		
16	DENNIS KOTTMEIER		
17	District Attorney		
18	By: JOHN P. KOCHIS Deputy District Attorney		
19	For the Defendant: DAVID MCKENNA Public Defender		
20	By: DAVID NEGUS		
21	Deputy Public Defender		
22			
23	Reported by: JILL D. McKIMMEY Official Reporter		
24	C.S.R. No. 2314 and		
25	BRIAN RATEKIN Official Reporter		
26	C.S.R. No. 3715		

		ii
1	INDEX	
2	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES PAGE	
3	GREGONIS, Daniel J.	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Negus	
5	Mr. Kochis	
6	Direct Examination Resumed by Mr. Negus 4653	
7		
8		
9		
10	000	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		İ
25		
26		
20		

.

200

5-1-1-94

DIRECT by Mr. Negus

4575 - Purpose for going to English Road on 6-6-83

4576 - Foundation on his expertise in blood spatters

4579 - Interpretable blood patterns in Ryer hone: location

4582 - Arrival, house tour, length of time analyzing patterns; the

point analysis was stopped, 4583 its reason

4584 - What the patterns indicated

4585 - What further analysis would indicate The reason for halting further examination.

4586 - Efforts to have examination resumed

4589 - Time needed for further examination Documenting the patterns - process in protography

4595 - Witness' desire to collect more samples - when it arose

45% - A-41 blood drep - his impressions then of its origin, his training in collecting and preserving physical evidence

4599 - Conversation of Ogino and Baird or Lon - 4681 - Stains on the sheet questioned: ghetti, Kottmeier

4605 - Conversation with Mr. Forbush

4007 - Dismartling the bedroom: puestion re precautions in preserving the carpet, preferences in processing

4608 - Samples taken

dell - Irrecautions in preserving the carpet: inadequacy for taking trace evidence

4013 - Isolated hairs possibly lost, their lack of relevance

4014 - Reasons why precautions not taken for the carpet.

His lack of objections to it 4618 regulatity of typing the next day

4620 - Other investigations: the other bathroom, hallway across from Lathroce

4624 - Refrigerator stains

4626 - Master bathroom light switch bloodstain - reason for not collecting it

4029 - Photographs taken - insufficient for crime scene reconstruction

4630 - Analyzing blood drops in a multivictim crime scene: Time involved

4632 - The south wall: later enzyme testing in the crime lab & results

4639 - Types of blood patterns: arterial, negium velocity, cast-off

4k-44 - Request for saliva sample: DIRECT by Mr. Kochis

4046 - CROSS by Mr. Negus

4652 - Ruling by the Court

4653 - DIPECT Resumed by Mr. Negus Bloodstains in carpet in relation to where victims lie

4656 - Stockwell's sample collection and documentation - its use for reconstruction examined

4660 - Possible inferences had proper analysis been done

4670 - His opinion of the questions not answered by the evidence collected

4072 - Ascunt of time to collect the sample: in witness' opinion

4673 - Possible ways of documenting evidence

4674 -Time involved

4680 - Freserving evidence

A-5t, A-8c

4686 -Need for documentation in reconstruction

4687 - Beer cans - saving blood vs. fingerprinting

4689 -Procedure for preserving blood on such objects

4690 - Plastic bag storage - what enzymes degrade if not dried before entry

4700 - W-2 and W-5: why certain enzymes were typed, which would have been better

4705 - H-12, T-shirt: the stain removed from it

47(F) - 122, to RER: typing techniques on furniture

```
ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, JULY 9, 1984; 9:40 A.M.
2
     DEPARTMENT NO. 3
                                 HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE
3
     APPEARANCES:
             The Defendant with his Counsel, DAVID
             NEGUS, Deputy Public Defender of San
             Bernardino County; JOHN P. KOCHIS,
6
             Deputy District Attorney of San
7
             Bernardino County, representing the
8
             People of the State of California.
9
             (Jill D. McKimmey, C.S.R., Official Reporter, C-2314,
10
             Brian Ratekin, C.S.R., Official Reporter, C-3715)
11
12
13
             THE COURT: Good morning.
             Mr. Negus. Mr. Kochis, Mr. Cooper are all present.
14
             We are ready for your next witness.
15
             MR. NEGUS: Dan Gregonis.
16
             THE CLERK: Do you want him resworn?
17
             THE COURT: It's been so long. He's testified
18
    before, but let him be sworn again.
19
20
    DANIEL J. GREGONIS, called as a witness by
21
         the defense, was examined and testified as follows:
22
23
             THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony
    you are about to give in the action now pending before
24
     this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
25
```

nothing but the truth, so help you God?

26

مستدار باستان المستد

THE WITNESS: I do. 2 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 3 State your name, please, for the record, and spell your last name. 5 THE WITNESS: Daniel J. Gregonis, G-r-e-g-o-n-i-s. 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. NEGUS: 8 Mr. Gregonis, on June 6, 1983, did you go to 9 2943 English Road in the Chino Hills? 10 11 Yes, I did. What was your purpose in going there? 12 The basic purpose is me and Mr. Ogino went there was 13 to observe the crime scene and also to see if we can 14 do something with the blood spatter that was out 15 16 there. What do you mean do something with the blood spatter? 17 As to any possibility for placing the victims or 18 such as far as the patterns of the blood spatter. 19 You mean interpreting the blood spatter patterns to 20 try and reconstruct what had happened during the 21 22 crime? Essentially, yes. 23 Did you also go out there to seek additional evidence? 24 That was not our primary purpose, but it was a 25 possibility, yes.

 What do you mean by that?

A Well, we did not -- I mean our primary purpose was

for the blood spatter evidence, and then our secondary
purpose, if we found any, was to collect additional
evidence.

- When you went out with the purpose of doing the blood spatter interpretation, what -- what -- what actually were you going to do, just look or photograph and document or what?
- A Basically, at that point just look at it and see if -see what could be done at that point, if anything.
- Were there interpretable patterns in the Ryen house when you got there?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection, no foundation on his part.

THE COURT: He laid a foundation before, did he

not, Mr. Kochis?

MR. KOCHIS: Not with this witness, not for blood splatter patterns.

THE COURT: All right, some sort of foundation, Mr. Negus.

- BY MR. NEGUS: Mr. Gregonis, in the field -- you are
   a criminalist; right?
- A Yes, I am.
- And you received your degree in criminalistics from the Metropolitan University of Denver; is that correct?
- A Metropolitan State College, yes.

0 - - - 0

And he has a book entitled Flight Characteristics

and Stain Patterns of Human Blood; is that right?

And that is the major text in the field; is that

Excuse me. Metropolitan State College of Denver.

And the particular course of curriculum was one

2

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

it for many years.

Yes, he does.

correct?

```
A It is a major text, yes.

Of the -- it or
```

- Q It's one of the -- it or like reeditions of it are the only actual books on bloodstain pattern interpretation; is that right?
- A I can't say it's the only book, but it is a book. I believe it is the only book that I know of that is only on blood spatter.
- Q And you have read and studied that book; is that correct?
- A I have read it, yes.
- A Have you also read in criminalistics textbooks where blood spatter interpretation is mentioned?
- 13 A Yes, I have.
  - Q For example, have you read a book by Paul Kirk entitled Crime Investigation?
- 16 A No, I have not.
- 17 Q What books have you read where blood spatter
  18 interpretations —

THE COURT: Counsel, you needn't go into it. I will permit his opinion on the subject. The further objection on foundational grounds is overruled.

MR. NEGUS: Can I have the last question that we had the objection to?

(Whereupon, the last question was read by the reporter.)

MR. NEGUS: What I was talking about --

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 THE COURT: Counsel, I believe -- can't you pick 2 it up? He went there primarily to interpret blood patterns, 3 but also to collect other evidence. MR. NEGUS: I know what it was. 5 Were there interpretable blood patterns in the Ryen 6 residence? 7 Yes, there were. 8 In what areas? 9 The major areas were what would be the -- I believe 10 the south wall, which is above the headboard on the 11 bed. 12 You're talking in the master bedroom now? 13 Yes. There were some on the east wall, basically all 14 the walls. 15 Any other areas? 16 There were some patterns potentially on the door 17 leading to the hallway from the master bedroom, and 18 also in the bathroom. 19 What about on the furniture? 20 There were definitely blood spatter on the furniture 21 also. 22 And were those patterns interpretable? 23 Yes, they were. 24 Was there blood spatter on the carpet? 25 I remember blood being on the carpet, but I don't

know whether they were blood spatter or not.

26

يزان السمية ماسمانية تراج

).	Were the patterns of blood on the carpet, be they
	pooled blood or dripped blood or spattered blood or
	whatever, interpretable?

Okay. I'm unclear as to what you mean by interpretable.

(No omissions.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<b>Φ</b>	As you were using them before, that is,
	you could get, by examining them through the study
	of criminalistics, get some idea of how they were
	deposited, from where they were deposited, that sort
	of thing?

- A I believe there was some information that could have been gained out of that, yes.
- O. What?
- A Basically, just the ones that I remember are basically just where the victims were lying.
- Q The victims weren't there when you were in the room; is that right?
- A No, sir, they were not.
- But because of the patterns on the rug, you could make inferences about where they were -- the victims had been lying?
- A. Plus the fact that I had been told by one of the detectives that who was lying where.
- Q But just from looking at the blood alone, could you make inferences that somebody had been lying in certain positions on the -- on the carpet?
- A I couldn't tell you the positions. I could tell you that somebody was -- what was at that point bleeding.
- What I meant by "positions" was positions on the carpet,
   not the position the body was in; locations on the
   carpet.

to try and -- and interpret the patterns?

- A As I recall, yes.
- At some point in time, were you interrupted in that work?
- A I don't remember.
  - Q Well, at some point in time, did you stop doing it?
- A Yes, we did.

- 8 Q Okay. Why did you stop?
  - A Okay. Again, I don't remember at that point. I -it seems to me that we had already walked around the
    entire bedroom and done -- looked at all the blood
    spatters at that point and got an idea what -- what
    they were and what could be done with them at that
    point.
    - What do you mean, what they were and what they -could be done with them? Would you elaborate on
      that? What were they and what could be done?
  - A Basically, the reason why we stopped is that we had already gone around the room looking at them. What they were is it looked to me consistent with coming from the victims, various types of blood spatter, including arterial blood, cast-off type patterns.
  - What was the significance of their coming from the victim?
  - A As far as the location of the victims, the type of patterns that they were, my knowledge at that point

as to the wounds inflicted upon the victims, it was pretty much consistent with what we saw at the crime scene.

**4** 5

3

Okay. What -- what could -- what could those patterns tell you about what had happened to the victim?

6 7

8

Okay. Basically, it's going to tell us possibly, at least, what type of blows were inflicted, whether there was arterial bleeding, some inference as to what type of weapon that was used, as to whether it was a blunt instrument or whether it was, whatever, gunshot, for

10 11

instance. That's basically it.

12

13

14

Well, would -- would the patterns that you saw in your opinion have been -- enabled you to determine the location of victims in the room when they were

15

16

A When they were bleeding, yes, to some extent.

17

that had been inflicted on the victims and the general

Given what you knew about the nature of the wounds

18 19

history, it was a fair inference to make that they

20

were bleeding at the time that they were attacked;

21

I assume, or shortly afterwards, yes.

23

Would the patterns you saw have enabled you to make inferences as to the number of people who were

25

24

A Not to my knowledge, no.

assailants?

is that correct?

attacked?

26

مدرور الم

```
Would the patterns that you saw have enabled you to

make inferences as to the order in which the victims

were attacked?
```

- A That is a possibility, yes.
- 5 Q You didn't carry your analysis far enough to determine 6 whether or not that could be done?
- 7 A No, sir.

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

2b

- Q Why didn't you?
- A Basically because we were stopped at that point from doing any further analysis.
- Q By whom?
- 12 A By the sergeant -- detective that was in charge at that point.
- 14 0 That was Mr. Swanlund?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q How did Mr. Swanlund stop you from doing what you were doing?
  - A After we had done some analysis on the scene and gone around and looked at all the -- the blood spatter patterns, we talked to -- or, Mr. Ogino talked to Mr. Swanlund requesting, or, asking for more time to do any further analysis and was denied at that point.
  - Q Was there any reason given for denying it?
- 24 A I don't remember.
  - Who denied Mr. Ogino more time? Was that Mr. Mr. Swanlund as well?

- Mr. Swanlund talked -- or, Mr. Ogino talked to Sergeant Swanlund, yes.
- And Sergeant Swanlund said no as far as his request for more time?
- A I don't -- I don't remember his specific words, but the end point was no.
- Q Okay. Did you and Mr. Ogino believe that having more time was important?
- A To reconstruct the blood spatter patterns, yes, it was.
- Q How many people did you and Mr. Ogino together contact to try and get Sergeant Swanlund's decision reversed?
- A I believe at that point we contacted Mr. Bill Baird concerning the reversal of the decision.
- Q And did that conversation take place by telephone?
- 15 A Yes, it did.
  - Q And do you remember approximately what time that was?
- 17 A No, I don't.
  - Q Let -- as far as -- as far as -- as the sequence of -- of times during the day is concerned, you have notes of your arrival. Do you have notes of any other times during the day?
    - A I have notes of when we collected two pieces of evidence, a piece of bloody gauze and some dirt from the roadway.
  - Q When was that?
  - A Those times are at 4:39 and 4:41 in the afternoon.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

Were those times after you had made your phone call to -to Mr. -- to Mr. Baird?

- A I don't recall.
- Q Did you talk to anybody after you talked to Mr. -did you and Mr. Ogino talk to anybody after you talked
  to Mr. Baird?
- A Okay. I -- I know that we talked to Mr. Longhetti at one point, but I believe that was concerning another issue. And again Mr. Ogino talked to Mr. Longhetti. I believe that was concerning a request for overtime.
- When -- when was -- was that request made before or after you talked to Mr. Baird?
- A I don't recall.
- Q Well, after you -- did you talk to anybody else?
- A Not to my knowledge, no, unless Mr. Ogino did himself.
  (No omissions.)

	1	
1	Ω	What about did you ever have any conversations with
2		Mr. Kochis?
3	A	We did have a conversation with Mr. Kottmeier.
4	Q	Was Mr. Kochis present?
5	A.	I believe so, yes.
6	Q	And did the conversation that you had with Mr. Kottmeier
7		take place before or after your conversation with
8		Mr. Baird?
9	A.	That was before.
10	Q	And did that likewise concern asking for more time?
11	A.	Yes, it did. Again, Mr. Ogino did the talking in that
12		conversation.
13	δ	But you were present?
14	A	Yes, I was.
15	<u>δ</u>	At some point in time, do you recall doing some testing
16		using ortho-tolidine on some suspected bloodstains in
17		a refrigerator?
18	A	Not specifically in a refrigerator. I remember doing
19		tests on a can, I believe it was, Olympia Gold beer can.
20	ð	Did you sequence that in terms of these events? Did
21		that occur after you had talked to Mr. Baird and
22		Mr. Kottmeier?
23	A	I believe it was before, but I'm not sure.
24	Õ	As far as doing completing the work of analysis
25		that you set out to do, that is analyzing the blood

spatter patterns alone, how much additional time would

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that have taken you?

At that point, I believe, using two criminalists, it would have taken two, possibly three days.

- Q Why would it take that long?
- A Because of the complexity of the scene. There was a lot of blood spatter to analyze, also dependent on how much we were going to do with it.
- Well, at a minimum, in order to document the -- the
   blood spatter patterns, you needed to take perpendicular
   photographs of the blood spatter patterns with a
   ruler showing in the photograph so you'd have some
   idea of the distance; is that correct?
- A In order to document them, yes.
- Q And that process could have been done in an hour or two?
- A Not in that room, no. That would have taken, I believe, a full day to do it properly.

THE COURT: Just to photograph?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. There was a lot of blood spatter.

- BY MR. NEGUS: Well, how small an area do you have to did you have to photograph in order to properly
   document it?
- A It depends on the blood spatter that's there.
- 25 Q In the Ryen bedroom.
  - A Okay. Again, it depends on which blood spatter you're

ماده و المادي ماده والمستمل

talking about. There were several that varied in size and complexity.

- Q Okay. Let's take -- take the -- start with the east wall between the dresser and the -- and the glass doors.
- A As to how small an area?
- Q Yeah. How many photographs would you have to take of that east wall to be able to document it?
- A Okay. Just between the dresser and the glass door,

  I would have taken probably three.
- Okay, and using a tape recorder to dictate what you're taking photographs of, one criminalist could have done that in three minutes; correct?
- A I would say it depends on how you do it. The way I would have done it is gotten a tripod and a larger camera in there to document it with a scale, and our normal procedure isn't using a tape recorder, so it would have been taking notes. I would have said three pictures probably would have taken approximately 10, 15 minutes to set up properly.
- The work that's involved in setting up properly is
   basically to make -- to visually observe that you have
   a 90-degree angle from lens to wall; is that correct?
- A Or measure it with a protractor, preferably the latter.
- And then to focus the camera?
- A. Yes.

- Q Take the picture and make notes?
- λ Yes.

9.

- A How many pictures would you have needed taken of the glass doors?
- A I don't recall the glass doors at this time, so I

  don't -- I can't tell you how much blood spatter was

  on there as to whether it would have been three shots,

  four shots or one shot.
- Q Basically, could you have done the whole room with 60 shots?
- A That sounds reasonable, but it is hard to say at this point.
- So if it were 60 shots, even at your speed of 10 minutes for three shots, that would take you three and a half hours, approximately?
- A If you are assuming 60 shots, but I'm also assuming that it's going to take longer to set up some of those shots too because some were obviously at different angles. Some were on pieces of furniture that were harder to see, so you'd have to use different lighting to document that properly, which would have taken additional time to set it up.
- Q Could you have taken perfectly adequate photographs using -- well, you don't need to do color photographs in order to do this; right?
- A Not necessarily, no. I would prefer color photographs.

Just using a 35 millimeter camera, can you take perfectly adequate photographs just --

MR. KOCHIS: Objection, vague, perfectly adequate for what?

MR. NEGUS: For the purposes of crime scene reconstruction.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Again, I -- you know, what I am basing it on is that if you want to reconstruct the blood spatter, you are going to want to blow up the pictures as large as possible. In order to do that properly, you are going to need a larger camera. The one that I would have used is one we have in our lab, which is a Mamiya 645.

- BY MR. NEGUS: Other than -- other than taking photographs at 90-degree angles with a ruler and documentation of what you're taking photographs of, what other work would you have had to have done at the scene?
- A Okay. At the scene itself, if we are going to do,
  you know, total blood spatter reconstruction, we would
  have also measured the angles at which the blood spatter
  was deposited --
- Q Okay.
- A -- which it would have involved taking a number of strings, a number of measurements, some calculations,

1 and see where various patterns came from. 2 And have you ever done that? 3 Yes, I have. How long does that take? 5 Again, it depends on the blood spatter. The one that 6 I've done it on is rather simple. I did that with 7 Mr. Ogino. That took -- I think it took us about 8 35, 40 minutes, somewhere around there. Would it have been possible for one criminalist to 10 set up the strings while the other was documenting? That's why I said two criminalists, a minimum of two 12 to three days. Well, how long do you think it would have taken to set up just to try and locate the areas in which action occurred using the string method in the Ryen master bedroom? Again, a minimum of two to three days. It was a very complex scene. That's the kind of work that can't be done back in the laboratory or using photos; is that correct? That is correct, yes. Once you've done that work, then depending upon what information you get in a scene like the Ryen bedroom,

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

λ

it's possible that there would be additional work that would need to be done; is that -- is that correct? That is correct, yes. It is a possibility.

Q For example, collecting evidence?

A That is a possibility, yes.

Q How would that work? I mean why would that --

- A Basically, if there was something inconsistent with the blood spatters after doing that work that we had not seen by visual observation before, then whatever it may be may clue us in to doing additional work.
- One example might be -- would one example be that if
  you traced the majority of blood back to the positions
  where the victims had been lying and you found some
  blood that went to other places, that that might
  suggest to you several possibilities, one of which
  that that might be the blood of an assailant?
- A Or that the victims were moving around the room.
- Q It might suggest to you then that in order to test that hypothesis, you'd want to take additional samples of blood?
- A That is a possibility, yes.
- Q Getting back to the conversation that was held with Mr. Baird, did you talk to Mr. Baird at all?
- A No, sir, I did not.

22 (No omissions.)

```
1
          Did you talk to Mr. Longhetti?
      Ø
 2
          Not that I can recall, no.
 3
          Well, you -- you're positive you didn't talk to
 4
          Mr. Baird, but you're not sure about Mr. Longhetti;
 5
          is that right?
 6
          That's basically correct, yes.
 7
         Not absolutely sure about Mr. Baird, either?
 8
         At this point, I don't believe that I talked to him.
 9
         But you're not sure about that, either, then?
10
         Not absolutely, no.
11
         At the point in time that you were stopped in your
12
         work by Sergeant Swanlund, did you likewise wish to
13
         collect more blood samples?
14
         Not at that point, no.
15
         At some point in time, did you?
16
         Afterwards, yes.
17
         How long afterwards?
18
         One was the preliminary hearing. I would say I can't
19
         really give you a date. I believe it was after June
20
         16th, June 14th, when I discovered the sample that
21
         essentially did not belong to any of the victims.
22
         June 13th, if -- would be the date that you discovered
23
         that there were six different types of blood in the
24
         house: is that right?
25
         If that was the date, yes.
```

Would you look at your PGM results for June 13th.

- Q And why did that change your mind about wanting more samples?
  - A Basically because it indicated to me that there was a sixth person bleeding in that house and that it was a good likelihood that, or, a possible likelihood that that could have been the assailant. And that I wanted to go back and see if we could find any more. That would be the value of collecting more.
- Q Did you have any suspicions that an assailant or assailants might be bleeding when you were out there on the 6th?
- 13 A No, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 14 Q Why not?
- 15 A Basically because all the things that we saw were
  16 consistent with the victims.
- 17 Q Did Mr. Baird come out to the scene on the 6th?
- 18 A I don't believe so, no.
- 19 Q Do you remember -- you were only there at the Ryen
  20 house on the 6th; is that right?
- 21 A Yes, I was.
- 22 Q Do you -- you remember a spot where the A-41 blood 23 drop was collected being pointed out?
- 24 A Yes, I do.
- 25 | Q And was that by Mr. Baird?
- 26 | A I believe it had been pointed out earlier to me by one

A

5

6

٥

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_\_

25

26

of the detectives.

- That was pointed out to you as a blood drop that was somehow removed from the -- from the action of the crime and just by itself; is that right?
- A I don't know as it was pointed out to me as -- as stated -- as you stated, but it was obvious that it was different or it was away from the action of the crime.
- Did you suspect that might be an assailant's blood?
- That was one possibility that had crossed my mind.

  The -- the later possibility that crossed my mind at that point was that it was a blood drop that somehow the assailant had gotten on himself and dropped at that point from one of the victims.
- What what training did you have in your in your particular career as a criminalist with San Bernardino County as far as teaching you, you know, what — what procedures were to be used as far as collecting and preserving physical evidence is concerned?
- A Could you restate the question. I'm not sure --
- You've worked for San Bernardino County for about five years?
- A Yes, sir.
- when you -- when you came to work, was this your first job as a criminalist?
- A Yes, it is.

4b

What training were you given as far as procedures at

criminalists and learning from them how they collect

- 8 Was there ever any -- any written materials that you 9 were furnished?
- Myself, no. 10

evidence.

- 11 Did you receive any instructions from Mr. Longhetti?
- 12 Not specifically, no, not from him.
- 13 Did you ever receive any instructions that in any 14 crime scene you should never overlook the possibility 15 of finding the assailant's blood along with that of 16 the victims'?
- 17 I can't say that I have ever received instructions 18 like that, no.
- Was the content of the conversation with -- between 19 20 Mr. Ogino and Mr. Baird -- did you hear both sides 21 of that?
- 22 No, I did not.
- Did you hear Mr. Ogino's side? 23
- 24 Not really, no. A.
- Did you hear parts of it? 25 Q.
- 26 Yes, I did. A

1 Did you hear -- did you hear Mr. Ogino request more 2 time? 3 Again, I don't remember specific words at this time. 4 In gist, that was what the conversation was about, 5 I believe. You can --6 Did you -- okay. Excuse me. 7 -- ask Mr. Ogino, simply. 8 Did you hear Mr. Ogino articulate reasons why he 9 wanted more time? 10 I don't recall at this point. 11 Was Mr. Ogino raising his voice or getting excited 12 during the conversation? 13 Again, I don't recall at this point. 14 In general, Mr. Ogino is not the kind of person that 15 normally raises his voice or gets excited about 16 things; is that correct? 17 I would say in general, no. 18 Were you -- did you believe at that point in time 19 that the urgency with which a request to your 20 superiors for more time would be treated would depend 21 on how loudly and vociferously the request was made? 22 Did I believe at that time? No. 23 Do you believe that now? 24 A No. 25 When you -- when you had -- when Mr. Ogino, with you 26 present had talked to Sergeant Swanlund, had Sergeant

Swanlund communicated to you that it was Mr. Kottmeier who wanted the evidence taken out of the bedroom?

- A I don't recall at this point.
- Q Do you recall why he went to Mr. Kottmeier?
- A Basically I believe it was kind of a coincidence, that we were talking up at the crime scene again after

  Mr. Kottmeier was leaving. We asked him at that point.
- This was after you had been told by Sergeant Swanlund but before you contacted Mr. Baird?
- A We contacted Mr. -- or, Sergeant Swanlund after we contacted Mr. Kottmeier.
- Well, did you -- when you -- when you talked to
   Mr. Kottmeier, did you know that the -- that you were
   not going to have more time at that -- to analyze
   the scene?
- A I don't recall at this point. We were -- Mr. Kottmeier indicated to us to talk to somebody in charge with the Sheriff's Department to -- in order to find out whether we did or did not.
- Where did the meeting with Mr. Kottmeier take place?
- A It was out on the lawn, to the -- I would say the northeast lawn portion of the house.

(No omissions.)

Ç	Showing you photograph H-49 which shows, amongst
	other things, yourself, Mr. Kochis and Mr. Ogino,
	is that the approximate location where you had your
	conversation with Mr. Kottmeier?

- A I would say yes, possibly a little bit further north of that.
- Q North on that photograph would be to the left of it?
- A To the right.

Oh, excuse me. I believe it's further north, to the right, if that's looking at the east side of the house.

- Q When you talked to Mr. Kottmeier, from where had you been coming?
- A I believe we were coming from the road, but I'm not sure.
  - What had you been doing at the road?
  - A It's possible at that time that we were collecting the piece of gauze and the possible bloodstain in dirt from the roadway.
  - When -- at that point in time, had the truck arrived
     in which the stuff was being packed?
  - A I don't believe so, no.
  - Had -- people from the CCD were there in the bedroom
     with you; is that right?
  - A They were in the bedroom, yes.
  - 0 were they taking things apart?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection, vague as to what point in time are we talking about?

THE COURT: Clarify it, please.

- 9 BY MR. NEGUS: When you were doing your -- when you and Mr. Ogino were going around the room doing your analysis, were they in there starting to take things apart?
- A While Mr. Ogino and myself were in the room taking a look at the blood spatter, I don't believe they were, no, at that point.
- Q Well, when you met Mr. Kottmeier, had they begun to take things apart?
- A Again, I don't recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

- Did you and Mr. Ogino tell Mr. Kottmeier that you wanted more time at the crime scene?
  - A Okay. Again, Mr. Ogino did the talking. I believe that was the gist of the conversation.
  - Q How long did that conversation last?
  - A A couple of minutes at the most.
- 20 Q What did Mr. Kottmeier respond?
- 21 A Essentially his end result was to ask the person in 22 charge of the Sheriff's Office personnel at that 23 point for additional time or request.
- Q Did Mr. Kottmeier indicate that he was the person that had decided to have the stuff removed?
- 26 | A I know that he indicated at least the wall or the south

wall of the master bedroom was to be removed, and I believe that it was from his request. I don't recall what the -- who requested the additional stuff to be removed.

- How did he communicate to you that it was his request that the south wall be removed?
- A I don't specifically recall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

 Was it -- did he appear defensive in communicating that to you?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That would call for speculation.

THE COURT: Perhaps. Sustained.

- Q BY MR. NEGUS: Did he communicate to you a -- his belief that you were questioning his decision?
- A Again, I don't recall whether he was or was not.
- Did he give you any reason why he was referring you to the sheriffs?
  - A No, he did not.
  - Q Did he give you any reason why he wanted to remove the south wall?
  - A He gave reasons based on previous case history, I quess.
  - 0 What was that?
  - A He mentioned something about the lack of bloodstain evidence in the Manson case, very quickly something about that, and that that was misplaced or lost

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

somehow in that case.

- Q Did he indicate to you basically that words to the effect of, well, we're definitely going to take the wall, and that Mr. Kottmeier didn't want there to be any further question of blood evidence like there was in the Manson case?
- A Something like that, yes.
- When Mr. Ogino talked to Sergeant Swanlund, did

  Sergeant Swanlund say no right away or did he go and

  consult with somebody?
- A I believe his answer was no right away, but I'm not sure at this point.
- When you went -- when you talked to Sergeant Swanlund, had Mr. Kottmeier already departed?
- A Or in the process of departing, yes.
- Q Do you remember Mr. Swanlund went over to talk to Mr. Kottmeier?
- A No, sir, I do not.
- When Mr. Ogino talked to Sergeant Swanlund, did he indicate that he wanted more time to analyze the blood patterns?
- A Okay. Again, I don't recall the specific wording of what Mr. Ogino said.
- Q Just the gist.
- A I don't know whether he said the blood spatter patterns alone. I'm not sure.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

10

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

But that was part of what he said?

A Again, I'm not sure.

Q Did he also indicate that he wanted time to collect additional evidence?

- A Again, I'm not sure exactly what Mr. Ogino said, but it was requesting additional time.
- It was your belief at that point in time -- was it
   your belief at that point in time that if you were
   given more time, you and Mr. Ogino, you would be able
   to find more evidence?
- It was my belief at that time that it was a possibility that we may have found more evidence. Whether we would have or would not have, I don't know at this point.
- Q But that possibility was based upon the need to do additional work in processing the bedroom?
- A Yes, sir.
- After your conversation with Mr. Baird, no additional scientific work was done at the scene; is that correct?
- A That is correct, yes.
- Q On December 27 last year, did you talk to Mr. Forbush about these issues?
- A I don't recall the specific date.
- Q You did talk to Mr. Forbush?
- A Yes, I did.
- And it was about -- it was about the -- it was about

your testimony at the preliminary hearing where you said you had not been given enough time; is that correct?

A Yes, it is.

- At that point in time, did you tell Mr. Forbush that -that the question of extra time had been broached by Mr. Ogino to Mr. Longhetti?
- A I may have, yes. I was unclear on that. I believe it was Mr. Baird rather than Mr. Longhetti that we talked about extra time.
- Q Is your belief now -- is that based on your own memory or something other people have told you?
- A Basically, talking with Mr. Ogino as to who he talked to requesting further time.

(No omissions.)

26

1 After you got off the phone on that conversation in 2 the stables things were being boxed up; is that 3 correct? Or shortly afterwards, yes. 5 And you and Mr. Ogino essentially participated in the 6 work of dismantling the bedroom? Yes, sir. 7 When the -- amongst the items in the master bedroom 8 from which you might -- might be able to get evidence, 9 was the carpet one of them? 10 That was one of those, yes. 11 In order to assure that evidence would be obtained from 12 the carpet, was it necessary to take any particular 13 precautions in handling it? 14 As it was being collected, you mean, or --15 Just handling the carpet from the -- from the -- just 16 in general. 17 Okay. As far as if you're going to take it for the 18 physical evidence that was on it, it would have 19 preferably been processed at the scene, first of all. 20 Why is that? 21 Basically to eliminate contamination from other 22 sources. And then other things that -- well, that --23 that basically is my -- what I would have done, is 24

I would have processed it at the scene for the evidence.

What do you mean by "processed"?

- A To look over it, possibly vacuum it for any trace evidence in connection with the blood spatter to see if there's any -- anything unusual on the carpet that we may want. That's basically it.
- Q Would you also want to take blood samples from various areas on the carpet?
- A It depends. And that would be connected, again, with the blood spatter patterns. I believe there were a number of samples taken from where the victims were reported to have been lying.
- Q Okay. Were there four samples in fact from the carpet, is that correct, of blood?
- A. I'm not sure exactly the exact number. Four sounds about right.
- They were -- you have your -- your summary there of the evidence collected; is that correct?
- 17 A Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And A-26, A-27, A-28 and A-30 are the only samples of blood that were taken from the carpets in the master bedroom and the hallway; is that correct?
- A Yes, sir, it is.
- Excuse me. I believe A-43.
  - q That's the bathroom, is it not?
- A Yeah. I'm not -- I'm not sure where that's from. And then A-44, A-45.
- 26 Q A-43, 44 and 45 are all taken from the master bathroom;

is that correct?

A Okay. From Mr. Stockwell's evidence report, that is what it says, from the bathroom.

- So the -- the only samples that were taken from the from the carpet in the -- in the -- in the master
   bedroom would have been those which were directly
   underneath the victim; is that right?
- A Yes, sir.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- And in order to do the work that you wanted to do, it would have been necessary to take additional samples than just those known samples; is that correct?
- A It all depends on what we would have found out from the blood spatter evidence. Possibly yes, possibly no.

THE COURT: Are you about to conclude?

MR. NEGUS: Conclude? I'm -- we can take a break, if you want.

THE COURT: I thought you might be about finished with the witness. You're not?

MR. NEGUS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's take the morning recess.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.

Mr. Kottmeier, good morning.

BY MR. NEGUS: When the -- why was it important to -to take the trace evidence from the carpet at the

21 22

23

24 25

scene rather than after, say, the carpet is collected?

- A As I said before, to minimize the contamination from other sources.
- Q In this particular case, did you see the carpet actually being taken away from the scene?
- A. Yes, I did.

Were adequate precautions taken during that work to preserve the carpet as best as could be for trace analysis, given the decision to take it away?

THE COURT: Counsel, I'm getting so bored with this same evidence. We've gone through it with every witness now. I know what's happened to that carpet from the word go.

MR. NEGUS: I'm sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: As there has been no contrary evidence to speak of, why do you belabor it?

MR. NEGUS: That's not true. If there had been no contrary evidence, Your Honor, I wouldn't be doing it.

Mr. Kochis has brought in evidence that -- that -- that it was done correctly. I mean, that's been his -- I certainly don't think he's conceding that it was done wrong.

THE COURT: Well, that's argument, sir. That's not changing the facts.

MR. NEGUS: Well, but I'm trying to bring out the facts that are -- he has -- he has produced -- he has produced, Judge, testimony from his witnesses that this is

Same and a

to bring out testimony from prosecution witnesses that

it wasn't.

THE COURT: I wrom you but I'm not cutting you

THE COURT: I urge you, but I'm not cutting you off, Mr. Negus. Belabor it. Go ahead.

the way it was done correctly, and I think I'm entitled

MR. NEGUS: I'm sorry, Judge. But belabor it, I'm going — I'm afraid I'm going to do.

THE COURT: Proceed.

BY MR. NEGUS: Were adequate precautions -- well, take
 it back. Start again.

Given the decision to take the carpet away before trace evidence was collected, were adequate precautions taken in the removal of it?

- A In the idea of taking the carpet for specifically trace evidence, no.
- Q What was not done?
- A Well, again, the preferable thing to do here was to collect the trace evidence before it was moved.
- Q Okay.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- A The next thing that should have been done, if it was collected for trace evidence, is to isolate the carpet from other objects and things, plus isolating different parts of the carpet from different parts of itself.
  - Q And that wasn't done in -- in transporting it out?
- A No, sir, it was not.
  - Q And in fact was the carpet left in the room while the

.....

```
1
         south wall was being removed?
 2
         I believe the carpet was pulled back from the south
3
         wall at the point when it was being removed.
                                                        I'm
 4
         not sure if it was up against the wall. I don't
5
         believe it was.
6
         Was it back a couple of feet?
7
         Sounds reasonable, but I'm not -- I don't remember.
8
         Showing you H-65 and H-66, did that depict the approximate
9
         location of the carpet?
10
         When the wall was being removed, yes.
11
         Yes.
     A.
         Yes, it does.
12
         And was the debris from the wall being transferred
13
         onto the carpet during that process of removal?
14
         Okay. I don't recall specifically, but there is
15
16
         obviously some debris on the carpet, as in the
17
         photograph.
         What sort of information can you get from collecting
18
         trace evidence off the carpet?
19
             MR. KOCHIS: Objection. Irrelevant, unless it's
20
     this case.
21
22
         BY MR. NEGUS: In this case.
         Okay. That --
23
             THE COURT: Overruled.
24
```

THE WITNESS: That depends on, basically, you know,

Go ahead.

6b

25

the evidence itself. Possibly nothing. Possibly you could find hairs or fibers of anyone who was in the room previous to the collection.

- Q BY MR. NEGUS: Given the way that the carpet was treated in this particular case, would the existence of hairs and fibers collected after the removal of the carpet from the -- from the Ryen master bedroom have any remaining relevance?
- A They could, yes.
- Q How?

- A Basically if you found a large number of hairs or fibers, something like that, that indicates that it is not simply something that was deposited from transportation or such.
- Q Just isolated fiber and hairs which might have been significant before the -- before the -- the carpet was treated the way it was would no longer have significance?
- A Okay. Given the basis on this case and the way the carpet -- the condition of the carpet in the first place, I don't think that hairs or fibers would have had much significance, anyway.
- Q Why not?
- A Because it was a very unclean carpet. It was obviously not a well-kept house.
- 9 What difference does that make?

A That would tell me that any fibers or hairs could have been very historic. They could have been there for, you know, as far as I know, two or three months.

- Q Is there any way to determine from looking at some kinds of hairs as to whether or not they had been there for any length of time?
- A There is a possibility that you could look, if a hair was removed forcibly, you could possibly look at it at the root end for the presence of isczymes or enzymes which were still fresh in the hairs.
- Q Wouldn't just the presence of -- having a -- an unshriveled root on the hair be indication that it's fresh?
- A Okay. I don't know enough about hairs to tell you whether it would or would not.
- Q Was the carpet still damp while it was in there?
- A There were portions of the carpet that were damp, yes.
- Q Was there anything done that you saw to dry the carpet before it was transported away?
- A It was laid out on the lawn for a short period of time, yes.
- Q Was that sufficient to dry it?
- A I do not know. I didn't test any of the spots to see if they were dry.
- Q Did you see anybody else doing that?

No, I did not. Did you make any protest to anybody about the way the carpet was being treated? No, sir. (No omissions.) 

0 - - 7 - 7

Q	Why	not?
---	-----	------

- A Basically, because it was obvious to me that any analysis at the scene was complete, and the analysis -- any analysis that we would do would be at the Sheriff's loft or wherever it was being taken.
- Would it have been futile to try and have the carpet
   handled better at the scene than it was?
- MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That calls for speculation on his part.

THE COURT: Yes, sustained.

Q BY MR. NEGUS: Did you feel it would be futile to attempt to have it handled better at the scene?

MR. KOCHIS: Same objection. His feelings are going to call for speculation.

THE COURT: Yes. Without better foundation, it is a good objection. Sustained.

- A BY MR. NEGUS: Given the fact that the carpet was being taken to the loft, why didn't you try and ensure that it was handled as well as it could be under the circumstances?
- A Okay, for what purposes, the purposes of collecting trace evidence?
- Q For preserving it for all its various evidentiary value.
- A Basically, because at that time we did not have the proper tools or things to do it with.

۵	What	wou ld	have	been	necessary?
*		# O G # C	100 4 6	veer.	71CC C 2 2 CT 7 1

- A To preserve all the trace evidence, I would say you would have to wrap it in a brown paper before it was removed from the room.
  - Q So for lack of brown paper, you didn't make that request?
- A Essentially, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

- Q Why didn't you try and get brown paper?
- A Because it was obvious at the time, that the carpet was going to be removed at that time.
- Q What do you mean it was obvious? How was it obvious?
- A Well, it was being pulled up and removed.
- Well, was the situation that the people that were

  rulling it up and removing it weren't paying any

  attention to advice from criminalists?
  - A No, it was not. We did not give them advice as to how they were collecting the carpet.
- 18 0 Isn't that your job?
- 19 A. In some aspects, yes.
- 20 Q Why didn't you do it?
- 21 A At this point I don't recall.
- 22 Q Did you specifically ask that the carpet not be
  23 treated the way it was, that you be given more time
  24 to do it properly?
  - A No, sir.
- 26 Q Did you ask -- did you ask that you be given more time

3

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

to analyze the carpet?

- A Well, given the context of the previous statements about Mr. Ogino asking Mr. Swanlund, the carpet would have been included in that, any analysis that we would have done of the room.
- Q After the carpet was taken -- you knew it was going to be taken to the ID loft; is that right?
- A I believe at that point I knew that it was going to be taken to Property. I have never been in the Property Division, so I don't know whether it was a loft or whatever.
- Why didn't you go down the next day to try and collect blood samples from it?
- A At this point, I don't know.
- Had you gone down the next day, would it have been likely that any blood samples that you would have collected would have still been able to be typed for -for various genetic markers?
- A I believe so, yes.
- Had there been any hairs with sheaths on them, would they have been still able to be typed for genetic markers, had they been deposited during the crime?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That would call for speculation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Q BY MR. NEGUS: Mr. Gregonis, your basic specialty is

وسادين دوس

```
1
         serology; correct?
2
         Yes, it is.
3
         And amongst the things that serologists do is they
         type hairs for isoenzymes; is that right?
5
         That would be one thing that can be done, yes.
6
         And proteins?
7
         Yes. Well, as far as proteins I'm not sure, but
         enzymes, yes.
8
         How long can a hair remain deposited on a scene before
9
         it loses its ability to be typed for isoenzymes?
10
        ·Okay. As far as my experience in hairs, it would be --
11
         I've typed them three or four days old.
12
         So if you'd gone down on June 7, it's possible you
13
         could still have been able to type the hairs that were
14
         on the carpet?
15
         If we could have found any, yes.
16
             MR. KOCHIS: Well, Your Honor, that assumes facts
17
18
     that are not in evidence, and I would object on that
     ground. It assumes that there were such hairs.
19
             THE COURT: No. For our purposes, overruled.
20
21
             MR. NEGUS:
                         I didn't hear --
             THE COURT: Overruled.
22
                         I didn't hear whether I got an answer
23
             MR. NEGUS:
24
     or not.
                                       If we could have found
             THE WITNESS:
                          Excuse me.
25
     any hairs like that, yes.
```

Q	BY MR. NEGUS: When you were at the - in the Ryen
	master bedroom, was it possible for you to tell where
	Mr. Stockwell collected his samples from, his blood
	samples?

- No, sir, not -- I can't say that at this point,
  because I don't recall ever looking at exactly where
  he did collect his samples from.
- Q Could you see any places in the Ryen master bedroom
  with patterns of blood from which it was obvious that
  no sample had been taken?
- A Okay. Again, because I did not look specifically for patterns or places where blood had not been taken,
  I don't know. I can't answer that question.
- Q Did you study any rooms of the house other than the hallway, the master bathroom and master bedroom?
- A We walked through various parts of the house, yes.
- Q Did you go into the non-master bathroom?
- A. Yes, we did.

- Q Did you do any analyses in there?
- 20 A I did an ortho-tolidine test for blood on a very small quantity of blood.
  - Q Where was that small quantity of blood?
  - A It was around the sink area.
  - Q Was it like -- was it a drop or a smear or a dilute stain or --
    - A I would say it was fly speck size. Possibly it was

a fly speck. Was that positive? Yes, it was. After you got that positive result, did you attempt to do any further exploration for possible blood in that bathroom? I looked around the bathroom. I did not see any further suspected blood spots. How long were you in there? Two to five minutes, possibly. If a bloody person washes in the basin, is it possible to get water out of a sink trap from which one can type the blood that was washed off? I would say it is possible in the realm of possibilities, yes, given you'd have some way of concentrating the blood. Is that something which is recommended in criminalistic literature as a place to look for evidence if there is some reason to believe that a suspect has washed

I don't recall ever seeing anything like that.

Did -- and when you found the evidence of blood on

the -- on the sink, did you attempt to do that?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

himself?

No, sir.

Why not?

a possibility, yes.

Eliza men

To collect water out of the sink trap? Yeah. That's what you're asking? I don't know at this point. I don't believe I would have thought of it. (No omissions.) 

```
Were -- were Sheriff's personnel using that second
1
        bathroom as a bathroom on June the 6th?
2
        I don't know.
3
        Did you also find a stain on the hallway wall across
4
        from that bathroom?
5
        Yes, I did.
6
        How big was that stain?
7
    Q
        Again, it was fly speck size.
8
        Did you test that?
9
        Yes.
10
        Was that positive?
11
        Yes, it was.
12
        Was there anything left over of either the stain in
13
         the bathroom or the stain on the wall after you
14
         tested it with ortho-tolidine?
15
        There wasn't really a significant amount there to
16
        collect, no.
17
        And you didn't collect any?
18
        No, sir.
19
         Other than the -- other than the gauze and the blood
20
         and the dirt outside, those were the only two items
21
         of evidence that you and Mr. Ogino collected on that
22
         particular day; is that right?
23
         That is right, yes.
24
         Was that because you were not -- you didn't see anything
25
         else of evidentiary significance or that you weren't
26
```

Char

1		given enough time to analyze the scene?
2	A.	Okay. At that point, I believe it was because we
3		didn't really see anything more of evidentiary
4		significance.
5	Õ	Did you do well, was Mr. Duffy there at the scene?
6	A.	Yes, he was.
7	Õ	Did Mr. Duffy point out to you some stains in the
8		refrigerator?
9	A	Pointed out to me, as I recall, stains on a beer can
10		in the refrigerator.
11	Q.	Okay. Did you look at the beer can in the refrigerator
2	<b>L</b>	Yes, I did.
3	Q.	Showing you photograph H-184, do those appear to be
4		beer cans?
5	A	Yes, they do.
6	Q	And do you recall which beer cans it was that was
7		pointed out to you?
8	A.	No, sir, I do not.
9	Q	Directing your attention to the to the stains on
20		the wall of the refrigerator next to the beer cans,
21		were those pointed out to you?
22	A.	Not that I recall, no.
3	ø	If they were pointed out to you and you tested them
4		with ortho-tolidine and the result was positive, would

it have been your duty to collect those stains?

MR. KOCHIS: I'm going to object. Assumes facts

25

1 that aren't in evidence. 2 MR. NEGUS: They are in evidence. 3 MR. KOCHIS: And assumes that there would have been enough left to collect. 5 THE COURT: You can bring that out. Overruled. 6 BY MR. NEGUS: Would that have been your job? 7 It would have been an item of evidence that I would have wanted, yes. 8 9 And it would have been your duty at this point in time to --10 If it was blood, yes, or gave a positive ortho-tolidine. 11 12 Okay. And the amount of blood on the refrigerator, assuming that that were blood, would be enough to do 13 a complete genetic profile of; is that correct? 14 That -- well, I can't tell you from the photograph. 15 16 Depends on what kind of condition the blood's in. 17 Obviously, it's in a moist environment, which, you 18 know, is degradative or just doesn't enhance the blood at all. 19 Well --20

A If it is blood.

Okay. Let's -- assuming that you did an ortho-tolidine test on it and it was positive and that -- and so it turns out that it is blood, is there -- is the quantity there just the quantity -- sufficient to do a complete genetic workup?

£b

21

22

23

24

25

1 There appears that there may be, yes, if it is blood. 2 Now, you said that the refrigerator is a moist 3 environment? 4 Yes, it is. 5 How -- what do you mean by that? 6 It's got water condensing from the cold of the 7 refrigerator. 8 Did you see any signs of moisture on the side of 9 that refrigerator? 10 I don't recall at this point. 11 The condensation that you're talking about only doesn't 12 occur naturally within a refrigerator unless it's 13 opened and -- and moist air comes in from the outside; 14 isn't that correct? 15 I would suppose it depends on the refrigerator. 16 Assuming it doesn't leak. 17 Or depending on what -- whether it's frost-free or 18 whatever. I don't -- I would assume that it's going 19 to depend on the particular model. 20 But just because a blood sample is in a refrigerator 21 doesn't mean that it's going to be -- it's going to 22 be useless for serological typing, does it? 23 No, sir. 24 In the master bathroom, did you see a drop of blood

25

26

A

near a light switch?

Yes, I did.

....

- 1 Q That obviously hadn't been collected; is that correct?
- 2 A. I can't tell you whether it was obviously not collected or not at this point.
- 4 Q Well, it was still there when you saw it on the 6th?
- 5 A Yes, it was.
- 6 Q And there was no sign around it of any -- of any 7 scraping?
- 8 A I don't recall.
- 9 Q You didn't collect that drop of blood, correct?
- 10 A No, sir, I did not.
- 11 Q Any reason?
- 12 A I believe that I thought it might -- may have been 13 collected by Mr. Stockwell on the previous night.
- 14 Q What -- what led you to that belief?
- 15 A. Just that Mr. Stockwell had processed the crime scene 16 previously.
- 17 Q You had no knowledge whatsoever of what Mr. Stockwell
  18 had taken or not taken; is that right?
- 19 A I knew that he had taken some blood samples. I don't
  20 recall exactly or remember -- well, I know I didn't
- 21 know exactly where he had taken them.
- 22 Q Did you know how many?
- 23 A No. sir.
- Q Did you make any attempt to find out what Mr. Stockwell
  had taken and what he hadn't?
- 26 A. No, sir.

- Was -- were you, in talking to Mr. Swanlund and
  Mr. Kottmeier about getting your time, was there
  anything communicated to you about having to get
  the house back to the victims quickly?
- 5 A I don't recall at this point.
- 6 Q On the 6th, did you know how long the Sheriff's
  7 Department would have access to that particular house?
- 8 A No, sir.
- 9 Q I mean, did you have any reason to believe that -
  10 that you would have an opportunity to go back in

  11 there two days or a week later?
- 12 A That I wouldn't?
- 13 Q You would.
- 14 A That I would have an opportunity?
- 15 Q Yes.
- 16 A No, I did not.
- Given your uncertainty as to whether or not, for example,

  just the drop of blood on the -- near the light switch

  had had a sample collected from it or not, would it

  have been better scientific practice for you to have

  found out?
- 22 A Yes, it would have.
- 23 | Q Why didn't you?
- 24 A I don't know at this point.
- 25 Q Were you in fact denied permission to take more blood 26 out of the house?

•	**-
A.	No.

- Ar. Duffy of the Ryen master bedroom?
  - A I have seen some photographs of the Ryen master bedroom, yes.
- A Taken by the Sheriff's Department?
  - A I assume so, yes.
  - Were any of those photographs that were taken by the Sheriff's Department the type that you wanted to take in order to do a crime scene reconstruction?
  - A Obviously -- well, I haven't seen all the photographs, so I can't tell you that.
  - Q I said any of the ones that you have seen.
  - A Some of them could be used. However, they aren't taken the way that I would have taken them.
  - Q How did they differ?
    - Well, again, they are -- most of them are not taken with -- on the perpendicular, and most of them, if not all of them, do not include a scale.
  - Q Why is it important to take it on the perpendicular?
  - A The reason for that, for blood spatters, in particular, is to get the angles proper. Otherwise, you get a distortion of the angles of the blood and the size of the blood drops.
  - And so it's impossible to accurately, or, as accurately as you can with a perpendicular photograph, determine

the direction in which the blood was coming when it hit the wall?

- A I can't say it's impossible. But it is definitely much harder.
- 5 Q And less accurate?
- 6 A I would say so, yes.
- 7 Q Why is it important to have a scale?
  - A The scale is involved so that you can take any measurements that you're going to take of the blood drops and correlate it with the scale.
  - Q In analyzing those blood drops in a multi-victim crime scene, is it important to be able to serologically type representative samples of each of the patterns?
  - A It could be, yes.

(No omissions.)

Okay. Again, it depends on the sample. Your normal,

if there is a normal, blood drop I guess would take a couple minutes to collect.

- The portion of the south wall that is preserved in your crime lab, at some point in time you took 13 blood samples and one control sample from that; is that right?
- A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- And you did some rather -- you did a rather complete photographic documentation of the areas that you took the samples from; is that right?
- A I tried, yes.
- And you wrote on the board itself the -- the location by number of the 13 different samples of blood that you took?
- A I wrote on stickers which I placed on the board.
- Q I'm showing you a xerox photograph of a xerox of a photograph of the wall board with some numbers written on it. Do the numbers indicate by laboratory number, the SSS 1 through 13, of the locations from which you took the blood from that wall?
- A They appear to, yes.
- And you've also typed some of those; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes, I have.
  - Q Some of those that have the ABO typings, some of the typing has come back A?
    - A I believe so, yes.

Ō.	And	some	has	come	back	that	you	couldn't	get a	a	result;
	is t	that r	right	<b>t?</b>							

A Yes; that is true.

- On that diagram, does the A by the number indicate those which came back A and the I which came back inconclusive?
- A It appears to, yes.
- Q Well, do you see any ones that don't match?
- A No, I don't.
- 4 How long did it take you to collect those 13 samples and take the 10 or so photographs that you did on the document?
- A From the time that I started getting it out of the evidence room to the time that I put it back in the evidence room, I would say four hours.
- A How long did it take you to actually -- after you had it out of the evidence locker set up against the wall, however you did it, how long did it take you to take those particular samples and take the photographs?
- A I would say 30, 40 minutes.
- Q What were you attempting to do when you took those samples?
- A Basically, this stems from questions asked during
  the preliminary hearing as to whether what, if any,
  knowledge we could gain from the various patterns
  within this one pattern on that wall as to whose blood

it was, victims in particular, which of the victims
it was, and I collected a series to see if there's
anything different from the original sample that was
collected, which was consistent with Mr. Ryen.

- Well, three of them you weren't able to tell anything; right?
- So far. My testing is not complete at this point. I would like to go back and test that again.
- But on all of those, you did the ABO testing using both the absorption-elution method and the Lattes method?
- I believe that -- I believe I only did the elution on this.
- Is that because you don't expect the Lattes to give you any results?
- Yes, it is.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

25

- You've also run those various enzymes for the Group III analysis, which is transferrin and group specific component; is that right?
- 20 I did some of those, yes. I don't have the particular records of those in court today.
- Well, they're all inconclusive; is that correct? 22
- 23 I believe so, yes. I don't recall any that were of 24 any particular information.
  - The most probable reason that they are inconclusive is because the serum protein transferrin and Gsc have

```
1
         disintegrated with time; is that correct?
 2
         That's the most likely answer, yes.
 3
         And of the various -- well, transferrin wouldn't help
 4
         you distinguish between any of the victims in this
5
         particular case, would it?
6
         Okay, not to my knowledge at this point.
                                                    I haven't
         completed my studies on the transferrin for the
7
         victims.
8
9
         As far as you know, they are all C's?
10
         As far as I know, yes.
         So is the purpose of running that test for transferrin
11
         to determine -- well, transferrin's the hardiest of all
12
13
         the enzymes and serum proteins that you type for your
14
         laboratory; is that correct?
         I would say no. The ones I would say that are hardier,
15
         if you will, are AK and ADA.
16
         They won't distinguish, either of them, 90 percent --
17
         over 90 percent of the population: is that correct?
18
         That is true, yes.
19
         They don't tell you very much?
20
         No, they don't.
21
22
         In this particular case, they wouldn't distinguish
         between any of the victims or Mr. Cooper or anybody
23
         else you've tested in this particular case?
24
         No, sir; that is correct, yes.
25
```

So of the ones which have a potential for giving some

- information, transferrin is the most hardy?
- 2 A I'd say so, yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q Is that why you did the tests on the transferrin to see whether or not any enzyme which has a potential for giving information in this case would be likely to come out?
- A That, and to try to distinguish as to whether any of the A's blood type on this are consistent with Mr. Cooper.
- 10 Q Or A-41?
- 11 A Or A-41, yes.
- 12 Q And if the transferrin doesn't give you a result,
  13 none of the other enzymes which are capable of telling
  14 that are likely to, either; is that correct?
- 15 A I'd say so, yes. That is likely.
- 16 Q When did you take these samples?
- 17 A I took these samples on February the 25th, 1984.
- 18 Q And at that point in time after you took them, you put them in the serology freezer for preservation?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q And that's where they remain, that which is left of 22 them, till today?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Why did you wait until February to take the samples?
- 25 A Okay. Basically, it was after questions at the preliminary hearing that I took them, and as to the

time	delay	between	that	and	when	I	took	them,	I	don't
know	why.									

- Your first testimony at the preliminary hearing was in the month of November; right?
- A I don't recall specifically, no. I believe so, yes.
- Q Had you taken the samples in June, is it likely you would have gotten the same inconclusive results?
- A No, sir.

(No omissions.)

Ō.	Why	didn'	t	you	take	them	in	June?
----	-----	-------	---	-----	------	------	----	-------

- A Basically because a sample had been taken from that area, and it was felt at the time that that was sufficient.
- Well, in June, you didn't know where in the whole thing that the sample had been taken from, did you?
- A That is correct, yes. Plus, again, it appeared that from the patterns and what I saw at the crime scene that it was all consistent with one of the -- one or more of the victims.
- Q The -- two of the inconclusive results that you got were on the periphery of the pattern; is that -- is that correct?
- A Yes, they are.
- Q Do you feel that there's the same probability of them being the same as the other blood on the pattern as those which are in the center?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That would call for speculation.

THE COURT: Well, he's done enough work in the area of sample interpretation. I'll permit it. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I think it's a good likelihood that it is from the same source as the others.

BY MR. NEGUS: The mere fact that you have an ABO
 result of an A would be consistent with that blood
 having been deposited with approximately 35 percent of

the population of the United States; is that correct?

- A It's approximately that, yes.
- O The 13 different samples that you took, did you believe that those were representative of the different patterns caused by individual actions on the wall?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q. And did that wall appear to you to -- to include blood that had got there by arterial spraying?
- 10 A Yes, it did.
  - Q By what's called medium velocity impact blood?
- 12 A Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13 Q And by blood that had been cast off some object?
- 14 A Yes.
  - And the blood that was cast off an object would have been larger drops of blood that were traveling slower than the medium velocity impact was, right?
  - A Okay. I don't recall at this time whether they -they probably would be larger as a cast-off.
  - Basically medium velocity impact blood is blood that is traveling at a -- that's traveling, at least initially, at a speed which is greater than 25 feet per second; is that right?
  - A I don't recall specific numbers. I don't know.
  - Q Greater than the -- than the -- than what's called terminal velocity of blood falling by gravitation?

- A Okay. Again, I don't -- I don't recall specifically.
- The medium velocity impact blood is -- is blood which

  is broken up into smaller -- to smaller fragments

  because it's going faster than a particular speed;

  is that correct?
- 6 A Yes, it is.

8

9

15

16

- And that's the kind of blood that would be consistent with, for example, some sort of weapon striking tissue in a -- in a human head that's already bleeding?
- 10 A I would say, yes.
- Showing you photograph H-284, the medium impact blood would be the smaller dots of blood that are like a spray throughout the pattern; is that right?
- 14 A That is true, yes.
  - Q And, basically, because of air resistance, that kind of blood can't travel more than approximately three feet; is that right?
- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q It can't travel -- it can't travel very far because 20 of air resistance, whatever the distance; is that 21 correct?
- 22 A Basically, yes.
- 23 Q Whereas cast-off blood, because it's larger, can travel
  24 much longer distances; is that right?
- 25 A I would say so, yes.
- 26 Q Perhaps even all the way across the room?

A That I do not know.

On the photograph, would the drop of blood that has
the letter 9 around it be consistent with one of those
larger drops of blood that can travel much longer
distances?

- A I would say it's more consistent with arterial blood.
- Q Could it also be consistent with the larger drop of blood that traveled across the room?
- A No, I don't -- I don't believe so, no.
- Q Why not?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Because it's -- basically, it looks like it's coming on a mostly a head -- head-on type of spray, and it's just a drop, large drop that got there from, it looks like, an arterial blood drop rather than a cast-off blood drop. But there's nothing around it to indicate any further blood from cast-off.
- Is it -- is it your feeling that -- that in order for the -- for it to be cast-off blood there has to be other blood around it?
- A Not necessarily. But that's -- that's the general rule.
- Whether that blood drop, number 9, is arterial or cast-off, it has the potential of having traveled a much greater distance than the -- than the medium impact blood; is that right?
- A Of course, it has a potential, yes.

- And just looking at it, you can't tell whether it did or didn't, right?
- A No, I can't.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Whereas just with the logistics of the room, the medium impact blood would have had to come from the area of the bed; is that right?
- A I would say so, yes.
- Showing you Exhibit H-333, the chart of your -- the samples you took, and Exhibit H-285, the photograph from which that chart is taken, the drop of blood that you had labeled as number 10 would have been one of those larger drops that could have traveled a much longer distance: is that correct?
- 14 A Yes, it is. Or -- or arterial.
  - Q Larger -- whatever. I mean, it could have traveled a much larger distance as arterial blood, or, as cast-off blood, however it got there?
  - A Yes.
  - The pattern that you have that is number one, that
     would be the typical type of cast-off blood that you
     would be looking for; is that right?
  - A That is a possible cast-off blood, yes.
  - And from the spacing of the pattern of those drops on the wall, you can make a determination that the object that was swinging, if that is cast-off blood, was, again, relatively close to the wall; is that correct?

λ	Okay.	I	don't	know.	That is	a	possibility,	but	I'm
	not sur	re	how I	would	do that.				

- A Have you done any experiments along those lines, trying to determine what kind of patterns you get when you fling blood off of an instrument?
- A No, I have not.

THE COURT: Would this be a convenient spot,

## Mr. Negus?

MR. NEGUS: Sure.

THE COURT: Let's resume at 1:30, then.

(Whereupon, at 11:59 a.m. the noon

recess was taken until 1:30 p.m. of

the same day.)

--000--

ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, JULY 9, 1984; 1:32 P.M.

DEPARTMENT NO. 3 HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

(Appearances as heretofore noted.)

THE COURT: Pick it up, Counsel.

MR. NEGUS: I believe Mr. Kochis has a request first.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I did. I have a request that the Court order Mr. Cooper to provide a whole saliva sample so that we may analyze that to compare it to some testing that was conducted last week. I believe Mr. Negus wishes me to question Mr. Gregonis briefly as to the analysis that he did last week, and the reason for his request is so the Court can make a decision as to whether or not my request should be granted.

THE COURT: I'll hear you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION ON LIMITED ISSUES

BY MR. KOCHIS:

- Mr. Gregonis, last week did you go to Emeryville, California?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
  - And did you take with you several items of evidence that were seized in this particular case?
  - A Yes, I did.

```
1
         Were among those items items V-12 and items V-17, two
 2
         cigarette butts, which were removed at some point
 3
         earlier in time from the Ryen Buick station wagon?
 4
         Yes, sir.
 5
         And were you present when those items were tested by
         a Brian Wraxall?
 6
7
         Yes, I was.
         Was Dr. Ed Blake, Mr. Negus' expert, also present
8
9
         during that testing?
10
         Yes, he was.
11
         And was a test conducted on those two cigarette
         butts to determine the Lewis secretor status of the
12
         person who may have deposited any stain on those butts?
13
         Basically to determine the Lewis types.
14
15
         Was there in fact an indication that there was saliva
         on the cigarette butts?
16
         Yes, sir.
17
18
         Did you find some amylase?
         Yes, sir.
19
20
         And did the tests give any type of Lewis reaction?
21
         Yes, they did.
         Are you desirous of comparing that reaction to
22
23
         Mr. Cooper's Lewis type in his saliva?
24
         Yes, I am.
```

Does your laboratory have a sample VV-3 which is a

saliva sample of Mr. Cooper?

25

26

سورين ززرا

```
1
         Yes, we do.
2
         What type of saliva sample is it?
3
         That is a saliva swab.
         And is that swab used in any fashion which causes
5
         the saliva sample to be diluted?
6
         Just the simple mechanical taking of the swab and then
7
         diluting it, once you're trying to get an extract out
8
         of it, will dilute the saliva.
9
         Is that a whole saliva sample?
10
         No, it is not.
11
         Is it necessary for you to have a whole saliva sample
12
         so that you can compare the Lewis secretor type of
13
         Mr. Cooper with the results of V-12 and V-17?
14
         Yes, it is.
15
         Would that test have the potential to exclude
16
         Mr. Cooper as being the person who deposited the
17
         saliva on those two cigarette butts?
18
        Yes, it would.
19
         Does it also have the potential to include him in
20
         that classification?
21
         Yes, it does.
22
             MR. KOCHIS: I have no further questions.
23
             THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Negus?
24
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
25
```

11a

26

BY MR. NEGUS:

 Mr. Gregonis, your laboratory had a search warrant on August 1st, 1983, for a saliva sample from Mr. Cooper; is that correct?

MR. KOCHIS: I would stipulate that my office -the Sheriff's Office prepared such a search warrant and
it was executed at the jail in San Bernardino on August 1st.

- BY MR. NEGUS: Why were not adequate saliva samples collected at that time?
- A The saliva samples taken at that time were taken for the type of test that I normally run in the laboratory, meaning the ABO test called absorption-inhibition.
- Q Why weren't they taken for other reasons?
- A Basically because that's all the tests that I thought that were going to be done.
- Q Why are additional tests necessary?
- the cigarette butts in question, which are from, I believe, the Ryen Buick station wagon, by my testing so far or by the absorption-inhibition test, show the saliva there to be consistent with a non-secretor, which Mr. Cooper is. Mr. Cooper is also what is called by my testing a Lewis a negative b negative, meaning that he does not have the Lewis substance present in his blood. The testing that we conducted last week was for the Lewis substance in the saliva.
- And your results that you got last week were for were consistent with Mr. Cooper being a Lewis a negative

3

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

b negative again; isn't that correct?

- The results that we got are, until we get a sample of his saliva to analyze -- are kind of ambiguous. We do have a very low level of Lewis substance showing up; however, it may be consistent with -- it may be consistent with Mr. Cooper. It may not be.
- But when you got Mr. Cooper's saliva sample in August, you did Lewis typing on it; correct?
- I did it -- I did the typing on his whole blood. I did not do it on his saliva sample.
- What additional information will you get from typing his whole saliva that you don't already have?
- What we will have from that is that there's a possibility that although Mr. Cooper does not have the Lewis substance present in his blood, he may have secreted Lewis substance present in his saliva or he may have other substances in his saliva which will lead to an extremely low level of Lewis substance that we found.
- You've already tested his saliva, though, and you found that; is that correct?
- That is correct; however, the reason why we want more Lewis substance -- or not more Lewis substance, but more saliva, is that the levels of amylase in the saliva swab that we tested are lower than the levels of amylase in the cigarette butts; therefore, it is possible, since we did find Lewis substance in the

cigarette butts,	that look	ing at the	Lewis	substance
that we detected	there may	y be secrete	d by Mr.	Cooper,
but at a very lov	v level.			

- One of the cigarette butts you already determined could not have come from a non-secretor; is that correct?
- A Okay. I do not remember exactly the results on all the cigarette butts.
- The V-12 butt had a Lewis b antigen in it and no Lewis a; is that correct?
- A Okay. That was what I'm referring to. We're looking at extremely low levels of Lewis substance there. In a secretor of any sort, I would expect to find a much larger level of Lewis substance present.
- Of the Lewis b, then, is -- if you have Lewis b and no Lewis a, you're going to be a secretor; right?
- A Generally, yes.
- So you can already eliminate one cigarette as coming from Mr. Cooper; is that correct?
- A No, sir, we cannot. As I explained, I think, previously, is that it is possible that Mr. Cooper may be secreting something in his saliva which is showing as a Lewis b substance.
- Q One of the cigarette butts, you found a low level of Lewis a with no Lewis b; correct?
- A That is I believe correct, yes.
- Q The other one, you found Lewis b, no Lewis a; right?

A No, sir. I believe we found both the Lewis a and the Lewis b substance.

I may be mistaken because I do not have the original test results right now.

- The one that you found the Lewis b in was the one that you had the least amount of amylase: isn't that correct?
- 8 A If you are referring to item V-12 --
- 9 Q Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

- A I believe that's the one that we had a large amount of amylase present. We had more amylase present there than we did in the saliva swabs.
- Q Let's -- there's two cigarette -- three cigarette butts you took up there; correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q One was the marijuana cigarette butt from a junkyard?
- 17 A I don't know the origin of it, but it was apparently a
  18 marijuana cigarette, yes.
- 19 One was a roll-your-own tobacco cigarette butt?
- 20 A Yes.
- 22 A Yes.

- 23 Q The filter tobacco cigarette butt had a -- had Lewis a
  24 and a higher level of amylase than Mr. Cooper's saliva;
  25 correct?
  - A I'm not sure at this point. I don't have the original

results. The roll-your-own cigarette butt had Lewis b and a lower level of saliva than -- excuse me -- a lower level of amylase than Mr. Cooper's saliva swab; correct? I don't believe that's the case. I believe it had a higher level, but, again, I'm not totally sure on that, since I don't have the original result. (No omissions.) 

The second second

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. KOCHIS: No.

THE COURT: You wish to be heard, Mr. Negus?

MR. NEGUS: No, I don't.

THE COURT: Counsel, this seems to me like a reasonable request, and the evidence might well be helpful to determine the issues in the case. It could help either side Good cause is shown. The defendant is ordered to permit a whole saliva sample to be taken.

Who will do that? Mr. Gregonis?

THE WITNESS: I believe I will myself.

MR. KOCHIS: And perhaps I could do that at the recess, when Mr. Negus is present.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. NEGUS: I would request that, if a whole saliva sample is taken and has to be processed within an hour, it would have to be boiled within an hour in order to preserve it, in order to make it any better than the thing that they have. I would request that when that is done, that it be divided 50-50, half going to Mr. Wraxall and half going to Dr. Blake.

THE COURT: Okay. I find nothing wrong with that, if Dr. Blake is available.

MR. NEGUS: Well, Mr. Gregoris is going to do all the collecting. What I'm asking is that he divide the

sample, when he -- when he divvies it up, give half of it to Dr. Blake and half of it to Dr. -THE COURT: Is Dr. Blake located here?

MR. NEGUS: He's located ten feet from Mr. Wraxall, where the other one's going to go.

MR. KOCHIS: They're both in Emeryville. I have no problem with dividing the sample. I'll talk to Mr. Gregonis about the mechanics.

THE COURT: How would you get it from here to Emeryville within an hour?

MR. NEGUS: No. Mr. -- Mr. Gregoris is going to take it back to the -- to the laboratory, I hope, and boil it here in San Bernardino County.

THE COURT: I --

MR. NEGUS: Once it's boiled, then the things that cause the deteriorations, which cause the problems, don't take place, presumably, and it can then be taken to Emeryville at our pleasure, but, hopefully, soon.

THE COURT: All right. That seems fair enough.

So take an adequate sample to later divide up into two fairly equal proportions, 50 percent of it to give to Dr. Blake.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, So ordered.

Your witness, Mr. Negus.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

27 BY MR. NEGUS:

Directing your attention back to the

blood on the carpet, let me show you H-122, H-126, H-140, H-70, H-100, H-59, those photographs. Do all of those appear to be photographs of the carpet in the Ryen master bedroom and hall?

A Yes, they do.

- Providing you letters, for reference. And all of those are photographs which do not show the -- the victims there; is that correct?
- A That's correct, yes.
- Showing you H-212 and H-213 as reference, those are -show the location of the -- the victims?
- A Yes.
- Okay. First of all, directing your attention to H-140, there appears to be a series of bloodstains at the foot of the bed there which are not connected with any of the victims that remain in place. Do you see those on H-140?

MR. KCCHIS: Your Honor, I think I'm going to object as no foundation as to what area of the room A -- H-140 depicts.

MR. NEGUS: Mr. Duffy, I think, testified that he took it. You can tell it comes from the foot of the bed just by looking at it.

THE COURT: Looks like it's close by the bed.
That's sufficient.

THE WITNESS: I can't really tell as to what part

of the bed it comes from, unless it's right here.

9 BY MR. NEGUS: Let me just ask you to -- if you'll note, there is -- be right back.

Showing you, in addition, H-211, another picture of the -- of the bed, you will note on the bed that there is a tourniquet over the comforter, right?

A Yes.

2

3

7

10

13

14

15

16

- Q That there is also a portion of a blue bathrobe showing underneath another portion of the comforter, correct?
- A If that's what it is.
- 1 Q Something blue?
- 12 A Something blue.
  - And then there's another -- there is another portion of the comforter which has the same -- same patterns of stains on it as are shown on the portion of the comforter where the 9 is written in Exhibit H-211, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. So does that help you to locate where it is?
- 20 A Yes, it does.
- 21 Q Okay. Now, that was not where any of the victims
  22 are depicted in the -- in the photographs of the
  23 crime scene, correct?
- 24 A That's correct, yes.
- 25 Q How many samples of blood do you believe should have been collected from that particular location?

A.	Okay. First of all, as I understand it, there's
	another victim that was not present in these
	photographs. And it was my understanding that this
	other victim, Joshua Ryen, in particular, was laying
	at the at the foot of the bed. So that may be his
	blood; I'm not really sure.

Q All right. But -- well, first of all, let me just ask you -- let me back up a minute.

Do you think that adequate samples were taken by Mr. Stockwell in order to do a reconstruction of the crime of the type that criminalists do?

A I --

MR. KOCHIS: Again I'm going to object. The use of the term "reconstruction" is vague. What specifically is he talking about? Did someone die in the room?

THE COURT: We better define the term.

MR. NEGUS: If we could have Exhibit H-16 once again.

(A discussion was held between Mr. Negus
and the clerk.)

- BY MR. NEGUS: Well, just to handle the next -- you have -- you have studied Mr. -- the samples that
  Mr. Stockwell took from the crime scene; is that right?
- A Yes.
- And you're also familiar with the documentation or lack thereof that he provided where he took those particular samples?

12b

```
A Somewhat, yes.
```

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Well, you have looked at the photographs that show the general area from which he took the samples?
- 4 A. Some of the photographs, yes.
- 5 Q And you have read the pillboxes in which he -- in 6 which he wrote his most precise descriptions?
- 7 A I don't know about his most precise descriptions, but 8 I have read his pillboxes, yes.
  - And you took notes of what he wrote on those pillboxes and your own summary of the serological work he did?
  - A Yes, I did.
    - And you have also had an opportunity to view the notes that he provided in the file as to where the general locations are?
    - A Yes, sir.
      - And you have also seen the sketch that he provided in the file of the general locations from which he -he took the blood samples that he took?
    - A Yes, sir.
      - Now, the -- directing your attention to H-16, the exhibit behind you on the board, it lists seven different things that -- that may or may not be able to be determined by analysis of physical -- physical evidence.

        And through the use -- through the analysis of physical evidence, it is sometimes possible to determine the information or inferences about the number of assailants,

## correct?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A I suppose it is possible. I don't see how it would be in this case.
- Well, for example, if you were to find -- if you were to find, in analyzing the -- the -- the sheets, two different types of blood that didn't come from the victims, it would be possible to make an inference that there was at least two assailants; is that correct?
- A That is a possibility, yes.
- Q Okay. It is also possible, using physical evidence, to determine, in some cases, the position of victims when they're attacked?
- A Or at least when they're bleeding, yes.
- Q Okay. And in this particular case, the -- the nature of the attack is likely to cause bleeding almost instantly, correct?
- A It's likely to cause bleeding.
- Q You also are able sometimes to use physical evidence to tell you the sequence in which the victims were attacked, correct?
- A It's a possibility.
  - ρ Okay. And it's also -- it's also possible to use
    physical evidence to determine the number and type
    of weapons that were used in the attack?
- A In general terms, yes.

MR. NEGUS: I don't think he actually stuck with his

And it's also possible to use physical evidence to

1

answer to the contrary.

MR. KOCHIS: The reason for my objection is

Mr. Gregonis testified that in some cases, perhaps certain

of those questions could be answered. He didn't testify

that in this case they could.

THE COURT: That's certainly true. Sustained.

- BY MR. NEGUS: Given the physical evidence in this case, could all those questions have been answered under some circumstances if a proper analysis and collecting of evidence at the crime scene had been done?
- I can't say that the number of assailants can be given. Some of the positions of the victims can be answered. I do not believe, because of the -- the complexity of the scene, that the sequence of the attacks can really be nailed down for sure. As to the number and types of weapons, I think you can say whether it was a -- a gun or a bludgeon or something like -- the -- the difference between a gun and a bludgeon or a, in this case, ax-type of wounds, that type of thing. You can answer whether victims moved from room to room or, to some extent, around the room.

(No omissions.)

..

1 Q You say can't?
2 A Can.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

THE COURT: I didn't understand. Can or cannot?
THE WITNESS: Can, can.

THE COURT: C-a-n?

THE WITNESS: Yes. As to clues as to the identity of the assailant, yes, you can. As to the nature of the victims' resistance, I think the best example there is to look at the bodies, rather than the physical evidences in the room. It is possible to -- you know, some of the things in the room could be shown as to where they moved possibly in their resistance.

Q BY MR. NEGUS: You can -- for example, there was -- in one of the photographs have you seen a pillow that was depicted, showed in photograph H-102?

A Yes.

You may be able to make inferences as to the use of that pillow, for example, in resistance by the victim, if you were to have done a more complete job?

A I can't say it was used in resistance. I would say that it was possibly thrown across the room.

And — all right. So which — you would say that in your belief number one and number three could not be shown by the physical evidence in this particular case?

A I don't believe so, no.

As to number one, at least you'd be able to -- you would

⊥3a

0-1-28-

be able to make -- you might be able to make, had you

A Yes, it would.

at least two assailants?

- And with respect to -- to sequence, is it possible to make some inferences about the sequence in which the victims were attacked, even if you couldn't do -- reconstruct the exact order of all 150 blows?
- A Again, due to the complexity of the scene, I think it would be extremely hard to do, if possible at all.
- Q Part of the examination that's done by physical evidence does involve the examination of the -- of the bodies of the victims; is that correct?
- A Yes, it does.
- And in looking at the photograph which shows -- that shows Peggy's feet, if there were blood splatters on those -- on her feet which had a certain directionality to them that you could tell that they flew through the air and hit her on the foot, and you were able to type that blood on Peggy and it turned out to be, for example,

from Chris Hughes, would that enable you to make inferences that Peggy was lying down on the ground before Chris Hughes was attacked?

MR. KOCHIS: I would object. That assumes several facts which aren't in evidence.

MR. NEGUS: Well, if you look at Dr. Root's testimony, I believe that there were blood spatters on Peggy's feet, which we don't have pictures of, but which he, nonetheless, described in his autopsy protocol, and we don't know whether the "victims" are her blood or not, because it wasn't preserved, but certainly that's something that could have been done.

THE COURT: All right. Based upon that representation, I will permit the question.

MR. KOCHIS: But there was no evidence of any directionality; just that there was blood on her feet.

THE COURT: For purposes -- overruled, Mr. Kochis.

This is the whole point of his -- of his inquiry, Had

they taken samples, they might have been able to tell.

- Q BY MR. NEGUS: Would that kind of inference be possible?
  - I don't think you could say that she was lying there when blood spatters were placed on there. You might be able to give a directionality as to which direction she was in relation to Chris Hughes in your scenario when those blood spatters were deposited.
- Q Well, let's take a different -- let's indicate -- let's

0--284

say that on the sole of her feet there was blood splatters that, if you trace back, came from the area in which it was Doug Ryen -- a different hypothetical -- and that the directionality lying in that position was consistent with the spet where Doug Ryen was found and the blood came back to be Doug Ryen's. Would that enable you to make a sequencing as to -- as to who died first between Peggy or Doug Ryen?

- A It would only tell me where she was, that she may have been in -- or stepped in the puddle of blood, whatever, from Mr. Ryen.
- Q Let's assume that these were not smear marks, but they were splatters. They were described as blood splatters having a directionality to them.
- A Okay. Again, it would only tell me what position she was in relation to Mr. Ryen.
- Q Right. At a time in which blood was being splattered off Mr. Ryen; correct?
- A Yes.
- Q So if it turns out that she's in the position that she's found, you can just look at the blood dripping down her stomach there and determine at one point in time she was in a vertical position when she was bleeding; right?
- A Yes.
- Q So if she -- the blood shows that she's -- that she is

\_

J

in the position that she's found and it traces back to Doug Ryen, one can make an inference that she's lying there, having been attacked and disabled prior to him being attacked; correct?

- You can make that inferences, but I believe there's several other things that you can draw from it also. I don't think that the one thing I'm looking at here is the only The only inference I would make is the relative position is at the time the blood got there that she was lying or in such a position at one time at such an angle or whatever, if you're able to do those in relation to Mr. Ryen. I can't say whether she was there when the blood got deposited.
- Obviously, she could have fallen down, laid in that position, got up, fallen down again, but when you're doing reconstructions, you have to at some time make assumptions based on common sense; is that correct?
- A Yes, you do.
- And so if you made the assumption that if she received the blood in the position that she's finally lying in, she probably didn't get up and get back down again to the same position in the interim, then that would help you sequence the attacks, would it not?
- A Well, again, if you're assuming that she received that blood at that time, yes.
- One of the things that criminalists do when they're

I can't tell from this picture.

Q Can you ---

A I still can't tell from this picture.

It's at least consistent with the shape of a drop of blood?

 A It could be blood. It could be a mole. I don't really know.

Assuming that it were blood that had been dripped into that position, would that enable you to draw any inference about the sequence of events?

A It's a possibility, yes.

If you knew whose blood it was, it would at least allow the possibility that the -- some person was alive and bleeding after Chris had fallen in the position

Basically, what I can say from that is that somehow if

there were blood on there and dropped, say, from a

in which she is found; is that correct?

vertical position, that somehow blood got onto something and dropped down from that vertical position, I still don't think that I could say anything about the sequence as to whether one person -- the blood that got there was from a person who was attacked after Christopher Hughes.

Q Let's -- if you -- are you aware if, for example, you

Let's -- if you -- are you aware if, for example, you have a bloody knife or a bloody hatchet, carrying that, does blood adhere to that hatchet for very long and will keep dripping for an extended period of time?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Y	I	would	say	it	all	depends	how	much	is	on	there.
---	---	-------	-----	----	-----	---------	-----	------	----	----	--------

- Q Let's say there's a whole lot.
- A I'd say that, you know, again, depending on how much is there, it may keep on dripping for some time.
- Q If that were the case, would you expect to find other drips in the general area of -- if that were how the blood got onto Christopher's ankle, would you expect to find other blood drops in that general area?
- A Okay. First of all, if that is blood, it's a possibility that you could find blood drops from a knife or a hatchet in that area.
- 12 Q You'd certainly want to look to find out; is that
  13 correct?
- 14 A If you needed to answer the question as to whose blood 15 it was, yes, as to which of the victims or whatever, 16 whose blood it was, yes, you would.
  - I assume that there's no way, given all the facts that you know about this particular case, that you would be able to tell, assuming that that's blood, whose blood it was; is that correct?
  - A Could you ask your question again?
  - Assuming everything you know about this particular case, without analyzing the drop of blood on his ankle, there's no way you could tell; correct?
  - A Okay. First, assuming it's blood, without analyzing it, no.

I mean there's no -- it's not like the pattern on the south wall where you see a bunch of arterial sprays together and you analyze two of them and there's another one that's just like it, you can assume it's probably the same? There's nothing from which you can draw a rational inference as to whose blood that is?

I would say no.

- The fact that there's just one drop there in isolation falling, a falling drop, would that suggest the possibility that maybe it came from an assailant?
- A Okay. First of all, assuming that it's blood, I don't see that it would necessarily say that it was from an assailant, no.
- Q I'm not asking you --
- A It could be.
  - Obviously, unless you analyze it, you're not going to know for sure, and maybe then you wouldn't know for sure, but is that a reasonable possibility?
  - A That's a possibility, yes.
  - That's one of the -- if you were -- if you were processing that crime scene and you saw that -- saw a drop of blood such as I've described in that position, that's one hypothesis which you would attempt to either prove or disprove; is that correct?
  - A/ If that was a question, yes.

(No omissions.)

Q	Getting back to having — back to the definition of
	crime scene reconstruction which we're using, do you
	think that Mr. Stockwell collected adequate samples
	of blood to answer those questions, if it was possible
	under the evidence to answer them in this particular
	case? Did he give enough evidence to determine whether
	the questions are answered or not?
A.	Okay. As far as questions, I do not believe that he

- A Okay. As far as questions, I do not believe that he collected enough to answer probably one through three.

  The other types -- the other questions, I believe, can be answered to some extent.
- Q Can number 6 be answered completely?
- A Not completely, no.
- There may well be assailants, given the work that he did, whose identity could have been determined by the physical evidence but which we no longer can do?
- A If there were more assailants and if the other assailants were bleeding or depositing other sorts of physical evidence, yes.
- Q He didn't collect adequate samples in order to determine that?
- A Not absolutely, no.
- Q What do you mean by that?
- A Well, I think in order to ultimately answer that question you would have to analyze all the blood samples in the room.

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

Just taking the idea of a representative sample, using the same way that you did on the -- on the -- well, when you took your samples off of the -- of the south wall, the "SSS" series of samples, did you feel confident that you took enough samples to determine all the different types of blood that were on that wall?

- Yes, I did.
  - And you didn't need to take every single drop of blood on the wall in order to do that, right?
- 11 Okay. If I may clarify what I mean about every drop or every sample of blood in the room, I'm talking about a sample out of each pattern in the room.
  - Okay. So how many patterns do you think there were in the room?
  - I would say there had to be a couple of hundred.
- 17 So in order to do that particular work, approximately 200 samples should have been taken? 18
  - I believe so, yes.
- Similarly, was Mr. Stockwell's documentation of where 20 21 he took the blood from adequate to do a crime scene 22 reconstruction as we defined it?
- 23 From what I have seen of Mr. Stockwell's documentation, 24 no.
  - From Mr. Stockwell's documentation, have you talked to Mr. Stockwell about where he got the blood from?

- 1 Not specifically, no. Basically, just looking at his 2 drawings and stuff as to the general areas where he got the blood.
  - From his documentation, there is no -- none of his samples can you tell -- tell where he got them from?
  - You can tell the general area. I believe you can also say that certain samples, for instance, those on the carpet came from beneath the victims, for instance.
  - Okay. But, aside from those known, what -- what he's labeled as known samples from victims, there's no evidence that you can -- that you can even, you know, point to a six-square-inch area and say, "It came from that particular area"; is that correct?
  - That I can't, no.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

- And the only way that anybody could ask is if Mr. Stockwell, based on the documentation you had, as Mr. Stockwell happens to remember; is that correct?
- I think so, yes.
- How long would it have taken you to collect and adequately document 200 blood samples?
- Given the collected and adequately documented, I would say it would take at least a week using two criminalists, plus -
- Well --
- 25 - the two or three days that I would spend with the 26 bloodstain reconstruction.

- 1 Q Let's -- let's -- let's think about that for a minute.
  2 First of all, is there any particular reason why, when
  3 you're collecting the samples, you couldn't just
  4 dictate into a tape recorder item A-25 as "being taken
  5 inches from here and 27 inches from there," and just
  6 describe it as you're collecting it?
  - A Except that that's not our normal procedure, for one.

    I suppose there's nothing inhibiting us from doing
    that except for the fact of getting the tape recorder.
  - Q So basically if somehow the crime lab could locate and procure a tape recorder, there would be nothing preventing you from doing that?
  - A Ideally, no.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Isn't that a perfectly rationable and sensible method used by many criminalists in order to document evidence?
- A I don't really know of any criminalists that do it that way. Plus, another thing, I would want to document it on paper also, in case there was something wrong with the tape recorder.
- Basically, in order to document location, you can sometimes just write on the thing itself you're collecting, like, in this particular case, furniture's being collected, all you have to do is just make a little mark where you collected it right on the furniture right?
- A Well, that's a possibility. But I also want another

record keeping in case the furniture is destroyed or distorted in some way.

- Okay. So even -- even doing it that way, basically in order to pinpoint the location from which you're taking a sample of blood, two measurements are necessary from a fixed point; is that correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir.

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And that takes a couple seconds?
- A I wouldn't say it takes a couple of seconds. You're going to -- again, it depends on the location of the stain itself and your fixed points. It may take half a minute or a minute to do.
- 13 Q And then the actual collecting of the stain takes
  14 less than a half a minute to get it to the pillbox?
- 15 A As I stated before, a couple of minutes. And it also depends on the stain.
- 0 Okay. Well, even taking thread samples, that doesn't take that long, does it?
  - A It will take at least two, three minutes, yes.
  - And if you just have -- basically, the way you collect the stains is you take a piece of paper and you have a pillbox and you go up and take your Xacto knife and just scrape and catch it and put it in the box, right?
    - A Okay. Again, it depends on the stain. If it's a smeared stain, I'm going to be a lot more careful.

If it's a smaller stain, where there's not as much there, I'm going to be a lot more careful and take more time. If it's a large stain that, you know, I can just cut out, that's obviously going to take a shorter amount of time.

- Okay. Most of the samples that you would have needed, over half the samples you would have needed to take from the Ryen master bedroom, could have been done just by -- by that simple scraping method, right?
- 10 A I can't really tell you, because I'm not sure which
  11 samples I would have taken.
  - Q All the ones you took from the south wall were done that way, were they not?
  - A By scraping, yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

- And any reason that basically the 13 samples that you collected in 30 or 40 minutes would have been any more
- quicker than the other samples in the room if you'd
  gone through and taken all the samples out of it?
- A Some of the smeared stains in the -- in the room would have taken a longer period of time to collect.
  - Q At the most, twice as long?
  - A Twice, maybe three times as long.
    - Well, if you were working at the rate at which you
       removed and documented from the -- from the south wall,
       you could have had the whole job done, one person, in,
       say, eight to sixteen hours; is that correct?

λ	No, sir. I believe you're leaving out a couple of
	things. One is the measurements, which I do not do,
	on your on the south wall.
Ō	That's because you wrote you put the little lett.

- That's because you wrote -- you put the little letters there and wrote them in and took pictures instead?
- A Basically, yes.
- O That's just as time consuming as taking measurements, is it not?
- When I answered 30 to 40 minutes, I was answering the amount of time from beginning to start (sic) that I would actually take with the samples, not the amount of time that it took setting up the photographs and putting the stickers on them and drawing the numbers on it.
- Q Have you ever processed a crime scene in which it -you took 200 samples?
- A No, sir.
- A Have you ever done one in which you took 50 samples?
- A No, sir.
- Once the bodies were -- all that work of collecting the samples, with the possible exception of any samples you might want to take from the bodies of the victims themselves, could have been done when you and Mr. Ogino returned to the crime scene on the 6th; is that correct?
- A No, sir. I believe that that would have had to have

been taken originally with the sheets and bedding in place.

So the 200 includes the sheets and the bedding?

A I would say it would, yes.

- 5 Q Why -- why would you need to have the sheets and bedding in place in order to do that?
  - A Because the sheets and bedding may be able to tell
    us a little bit more as to the information about the
    blood spatters surrounding the sheets and bedding.
  - A How many samples do you think should be taken from the wall?
- 12 A The south wall?

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q No, from all the walls of the master bedroom?
- 14 I would say perhaps 70, 80, somewhere in there, if
  15 you're going to want to answer those questions.
  - a All my questions are geared with that assumption in mind.

Okay. So how long would that have taken?

- A Like I said before, including the reconstruction, or, blood spatter reconstruction, the photography of that, the collection of the stains and everything, it's going to take you at least a week.
- 23 Q One person?
- 24 A I would say one person, yes.
  - a Two persons could do it twice -- in half the time?
- 26 A No.

- Q Two or three days for two persons?
- A Probably more like three days, maybe four.
- After -- was there any particular reason, other than just you weren't given the time, that you know of, any scientific reason why that couldn't have been done in this particular case?
- A No, sir.

- And that's what you and Mr. Ogino essentially wanted to do; is that correct?
- A Essentially, yes. At least, we wanted to take a look at the blood spatter to see if there's any more information that we could have gained.
- a And that would have -- the analysis of the blood spatters would also have required you to take samples of them in order to find out whose blood they were, for the most part; is that correct?
- Least assuming a -- a population of six, if you will, of who those blood spatters are from patters are from, then we would have had to definitely take those.

(No omissions.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- The -- once you analyzed the room with the sheets in place, assuming that you've done that, would there be any reason that you couldn't just collect your samples from the bedding once you got the bedding back to the lab, assuming that you packaged it in a way which you didn't -- didn't destroy it or cause contamination?
- Well, the best sample would have been taken as it lied there, because you're not — you can analyze the sheets in their original position.
- But you're not going to -- during your process of doing your crime scene reconstruction, you're not going to take your samples of blood, run back to the lab, run a serological type on it, and then come back again, are you, or is that what you had in mind?
- A Not at all. What I had in mind is basically to relate the blood spatters and such on the sheets with the blood spatters around the room.
- Okay. I understand. So that's essentially a work of analysis? That is, you don't have to -- that particular part of the thing, you don't normally at the scene type the blood? You type the blood later; right?
- A Exactly.
- So what I'm asking you is after you have done the work of analysis and documentation, that is, taking the

pictures of the sheets in place, and analyzing their relationships to various items in the room, presumably then your documentation is going to be adequate that you can be able to find any particular drop of blood that you're interested in by just going back and referring to the sheets; is that correct?

- You should be able to, yes. 7
  - So as far as the actual collecting of stains is concerned, that can be done back at the laboratory? This collecting for typing, that can be done back at the laboratory as well as anyplace else; is that correct?
  - As far as the sheets are concerned, yes.
  - Right, and the other bedding items; is that correct?
  - Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- So the only thing that you have to make sure you collect the samples at the scene are those things which are not easily portable?
- Essentially, yes. 19
  - Given the wide variety of types of blood that were on the bedding, in your opinion, is it best practice for a criminalist to preserve the whole sheets for possible future serological analysis?
    - As to what you've -- if you're assuming that there's more than one blood type on there, I would say, you know, ultimately, yes.

- 1 Q Well, there are, is there not?
- 2 A I believe there's at least two.
- 3 Q At least; is that correct?
- λ Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. So in this particular case then, they should have been frozen in their entirety?
- 7 A If possible, yes.
- 8 Q In your laboratory, are there any constraints put on
  9 you in terms of freezing an item like a sheet? Do
  10 you have any policies thou shalt not freeze sheets
  11 because they're too big?
- 12 A Basically, it's the size. We cannot physically handle
  13 the size of the sheets or other items such as bedding
  14 because of the limited space in our freezer.
- 15 Q Did you -- have you ever requested to get an additional
  16 freezer if you needed it in a case?
- 17 L In a particular case, no.
- 18 Q Do you know if that would have been available to you in this particular case?
- 20 A No, I do not, not at that time, anyhow.
- 21 Q Since then, you learned that you could have?
- 22 A I probably could have, yes.
  - pursuant to an agreement between prosecution and the defense in this particular case, from the sheet, the top sheet, laboratory number A-8, last spring you cut out a portion of that sheet and sent it up to Ed Blake

23

24

in Emeryville; is that right?

- A Okay. I don't -- I believe so, yes. I believe that's the date, the item number.
- I don't remember the exact date, but I believe it
   was some time in the spring, was it not, the last
   time you were in court?
- A That was done, I believe, on March 21.
- And when you did that, you photographed the sheet before and after the portion was cut out?
- 10 A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- Also, there was a particular stain, item A-5t, that came from a comforter, and you likewise photographed it both cut, uncut, in place, and separate before you sent it off; is that right?
- A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q was the same procedure followed before testing on the 17 other 38 stains from the bedding?
  - A No, sir.
- 19 Q Why not?
- As to where they came from and such, you can pretty
  much match as to where they came from just by looking
  at the sheets.
- 23 Q But can you tell what shape they were in?
- 24 A By looking at the exact shape of the blood spot?
- 25 Q I mean is there any -- have you consumed part of those 26 blood spots from -- the 39 blood spots?

- 2 Can you still tell from looking at them the shape of 3 the different stains?
- Some I suppose you could. Some I don't believe you 5 could.
- Why didn't you photograph them before you used them 6 7 up?
- Basically, that's not my normal procedure. 8 A
- 9 There is a stain, I think it's A-8c, that you've 10 analyzed that did not come from Douglas Ryen; is that correct? 11
- 12 No, it did not.

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And that was found on the top sheet in the area which 13 you can't see that's over on the side of the bed next to Douglas Ryen; is that correct?
- Okay. As far as it relates to where it was in relation 16 to this picture, I can't tell you.
  - You don't know where the different things on the sheets came from?
  - I have a location from Mr. Stockwell's drawing, but I don't know how that sheet was laid out on the bed.
  - I am showing you photograph R-286. Let me just circle something for you. I'm circling in black and drawing in the letter "C." There appears to be a hole cut out in sheet A-8 at that particular spot. Does that appear to be the spot in which item A-8c was taken?

2

I can't really tell you at this point.

You can't use Mr. Stockwell's diagram to determine where on the -- this is flip. It's mirror image.

There's a possibility it came from there, but I can't tell you.

- Leaving aside that particular issue, by comparing the patterns on the bed with the pattern on the sheet and just looking at the way the sheet curves, one can tell, can one not, that the spot that I circled with a little "C" would be around on the side of the bed closest to Douglas Ryen?
- I would say it's more -- it's closer to the corner of the bed from -- if that's accurate.

(No omissions.)

Õ	Right.	But	it	doesn't	put	the	area	where	it	1	where
	it w	here	it	the	area	wher	ce it	whe	ere	tha	t
	C does	not s	show	in pho	togra	iph F	i-211,	, corre	et?	>	٠

- A That's correct.
- And at least thus far that drop of blood could have come from Peggy Ryen; is that correct?
- A That is correct, yes.
- In trying to determine the significance of that drop as far as the position of Peggy Ryen, if that's whose blood it is, when she bled, or when that drop was deposited, one has to know both the shape and the direction it's going; is that correct?
- A If that's possible to tell in the first place, yes.
- Q Well, on the -- on the sheet, you can see different directionalities off of some of the drops of blood, can you not?
- A To some extent, yes.
- Q And you can tell smears from spray?
- A To some extent, yes.
- So in order to get the most information from the fact that there was -- the fact that Peggy Ryen's blood was found in that spot, C, could be consistent with it having fell there by gravity, having flown all the way across the room cast off, having been all kinds of different things, right?
- A There's a number of things you could say about it.

Q

And in order to -- in order to properly assess that,
you would have to know something -- the more information
you had about its shape and directionality, the better
you would be able to assess that, right?

Okay. If -- first of all, if you're assuming that the -- that the blood was deposited there when the sheets were in the shape that they're in, that's your first assumption. Then if you -- if you had any kind of pattern to that blood drop at all, then you may

Well, one of the things you're trying to do when you're doing a crime scene analysis is to try and do just that, is it not, to try and determine, first of all, the shapes in that particular location and then to take whatever information you have to see whether it's consistent with other known facts and to try and make as many inferences as you can?

A If you can tell that, yes.

be able to say something.

And without documenting what those -- all those different blood drops look like, a lot of their significance, as far as the reconstruction, is lost; is that correct?

A Except for the fact that you can still type them as far as their --

Assuming -- we know we can type them. But now we're going into the -- the significance of being able to type without knowing what it looks like.

```
1
         If you're referring as to how it got onto the sheet.
 2
         then without knowing what it looks like, no, you can't
 3
         really tell.
 4
         Okay. And, obviously, for the different types of
 5
         questions in which we're interested in this particular
 6
         crime scene reconstruction, the question of how it got
 7
         on the sheet can be just as important as whose blood
         it was, right?
 8
         That is -- well, I can't say it's just as important,
 9
         but it could be of potential importance if you're
10
         going to do that, yes.
11
12
             MR. NEGUS: Can we take our break?
             THE COURT: Sure. Fifteen minutes.
13
             (Recess.)
14
15
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
         BY MR. NEGUS: What did you do with the beer cans which
16
17
         are shown in Exhibit H-184 after you did the presumptive
18
         test on them?
         Okay. I believe the presumptive test was done on one
19
20
         beer can, and that beer can was taken, I believe, by
         Mr. Duffy to Identification Bureau for fingerprints.
21
         Did you attempt to -- to get the -- whatever blood was
22
         on it off before it went?
23
24
        Not at that point, no.
```

Basically because the evidence of fingerprints might

Why not?

25

26

Ð

have been more important at that time.

So you were willing to risk whatever might have -whatever damage might have happened on the -- to the blood in order to get -- try and get fingerprints?

MR. KOCHIS: Well, I am going to object. That assumes that there was a risk in giving it to ID.

THE COURT: All right. Lay a better foundation.

- Q BY MR. NEGUS: Do you know how that the ID people were going to -- were going to process the beer cans?
- A Not specifically, no.
- Q Some forms of processing for fingerprints can be harmful to blood as far as typing?
- A Yes, it can.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q So you were -- were you willing to risk whatever damage might occur to the blood so that they could develop -- try and develop fingerprints?
- A I don't recall my specific thinking at that time.
- You -- do you know whether you considered that issue
   at all?
- I believe I would have, but I don't remember whether
  I did or did not.
- Q Is it possible to remove blood from beer cans without destroying any fingerprints that might be on them, at least the blood that was on that particular beer can?
- A That's hard to say. I, not knowing where the fingerprints were, can't answer that question.

- Q Did you see anything that looked like fingerprints in the area of -- of the particular blood on that beer can?
- A No, sir. They weren't developed at that point.
- 5 Q Did there appear to be any ridge patterns in the blood itself?
- 7 A No, sir, not that I can remember.
  - When items are seized as evidence, these whole items which you're going to take back to the lab for possible serological testing, is there any procedures which should be followed as far as packaging in order to preserve them?
  - A In general, yes.
  - Q What are those?

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

- A Okay. What type of evidence are you talking about?
- An object with blood on it, a small portable object.
- The way I would package a small portable object with blood on it is first of all make sure that the blood on it is dry, and then package it separately into a paper bag or paper object of some sort, Manila envelope or a bag or whatever.
  - Q Why do you see that the blood is dry?
  - A The reason why I want the blood dry is to inhibit the degradative-type changes that can occur when blood is wet for an extended period of time.
  - Why do you want to put it in a paper bag as opposed

to a plastic bag?

2 3

To keep it from maintaining, if you will, any residual moisture that may be in the blood.

5

What deleterious effect can you have on blood if it -if that -- if you do put it in a plastic bag and that

6

moisture is retained?

7

Essentially, depending on how much moisture is there, it will essentially mildew, and it will break down the

Did, in this particular case, you receive some items

with suspected blood on them that were packaged in

Showing you Exhibit H-323, does that, first of all,

ax sheath in it, does that appear to contain the ax

that contain -- that being a plastic bag with an

And is that the plastic bag that it came in?

that I put on this item, I believe it is, yes.

sheath which is item number J-5?

9

blood a lot quicker than it would if it was dry.

10

11

12

13

plastic? Let me be more -- you don't remember offhand?

I can't recall any, no.

plastic bag.

14

Well, let -- let me direct your attention to item J-5. I do have it in my notes that it was packaged in a

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

Yes, it does.

22

From my initials and the case number and item number 23

24

25

26

And I notice that there's a tape on it which has been at one point in time, looks like taken off and then

1 put back on again. Was it - when you got it, was it 2 in that particular condition? 3 That I do not know. It appears as though I may have 4 put the tape on myself. 5 You're not sure? 6 No, I'm not. 7 Do you remember whether it was originally taped and 8 you removed the tape and then put on new tape? 9 No, sir, I do not remember. 10 Did you also -- did you also receive item J-9? 11 Yes, we did. A. 12 And was that a hunk of rope? 13 Yes, it is. It's a bundle of rope. 14 And showing you photograph S-28, with item number 26 15 next to a bundle of rope, does that appear to be the 16 bundle of rope that was item J-9? 17 A Yes, it does. 18 Do you remember whether the plastic bag which item J-9 19 came in was taped or not? 20 I have nothing in my notes as to whether it was or 21 was not. 22 When -- when items degrade, that is -- excuse me, 23 when blood degrades, does -- do -- in a condition 24 where you still have some dampness in the -- in the 25 item and it's sealed in a plastic bag, do some

particular enzymes tend to go -- get disintegrated

```
I would say yes.
3
         Of those that last the longest, would they include
         ADA and AK?
5
         Yes, they would.
6
         And would the ADA and AK, for example, likewise be
7
         more stable than the ABO antibodies that you detect
8
         using the Lattes test?
9
         I would say they would be more stable than the antibodies,
10
         yes.
11
         The antigens, however, which you detect by using the
         absorption-elution test, would be more stable than
12
         the AKA and ADA; is that correct?
13
14
         Yes, they would.
    A
         Is CA II likewise one of the more stable enzymes?
15
    Q
16
         Yes, it is.
17
         With item J-9, when you analyzed the -- it turned out
    Ω
18
         to be blood, human blood, on item J-9; is that correct?
         Yes, sir.
19
     A.
20
         In the analysis of that particular blood, you were
21
         able to get an ABA -- an AB, you were able to detect
22
         the antigen, correct?
         The ABO antigen, yes.
     A.
         Not able to detect the ABO antibodies, correct?
24
         That is correct, yes.
25
     A
26
         You were able to -- to detect the ADA, the AK and the
```

2

before others?

1		CO CA II enzymes, correct?
2	A	That is correct, yes.
3	Q	But you were not able to detect any of the other
4	•	enzymes which you attempted to get?
5	A	That is correct, yes.
6	Q.	Would the results have been consistent with blood
7		that had remained inside of a house for two days
8		when the temperature ranged between 50 and 75 degrees?
9	A	I would say no.
10		(No omissions.)
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	1	

	Q.	Would that result have been consistent with a sample of
2		blood that was still somewhat wet put in a plastic
,		bag before it was tested?

- A That is one possibility, yes.
- 5 Q Item W-5, a piece of a car, seat belt housing from a car, was likewise packaged in a plastic bag; is that right?
- 8 A Yes, it was.
- 9 Q And you only had -- there was only enough blood on 10 it to do one enzyme test; is that right?
- 11 A There was enough blood to do -- to test for at least
  12 carbonic anhydrase and peptidase A.
- 13 Q That's one run; right?
- 14 A That's one electrophoresis run, yes.
- 15 Q And you got no results from that; is that right?
- 16 A No, I did not.
- Q Can placing a cigarette butt in a plastic bag have any effect on the serological work that you can do on it?
- 19 A Again, if it's moist, it can.
- 20 Q What effects?
- A Again, the degradation of the substances that you're looking for.
- 23 Q So that would include all the different things that
  24 you can get out of cigarette butts, Lewis antigens,
  25 ABO antigens, amylase?
  - A Yes.

- Did item J-20 consisting of a napkin and cigarette butt come to you in a plastic bag?
- A Yes, it did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And do you remember whether that was a tape-sealed plastic bag or not?
- A No, sir, I do not. I have nothing in my notes about it being tape-sealed or not.
- Q Do the Lewis and ABC antigens in -- well, in saliva, are they more stable than the proteins in blood, for example? I mean if you're -- are they -- would a blood protein be more likely to deteriorate in a plastic bag if it's still somewhat wet than a cigarette butt?
- A Well, if you're comparing the proteins or enzymes in bloodstain as opposed to the antigens in a cigarette butt, the antigens in the cigarette butt I would say are more stable than the proteins.
- Now, with respect to the amylase, there's two different types of analysis that can be done with that; is that correct? One, you can type it, and, B, you can determine whether it's amylase?
- A Well, you can determine whether it's amylase. In fresh samples, you can determine whether it's -- you can type it.
- Q Does the determination of whether or not a substance is amylase -- does that -- does your ability to do that

last longer than your ability to type enzymes in blood?

- A Given that amylase is an enzyme in itself, I would say that they are probably pretty equal as far as the time is concerned.
- Q Well, isn't it -- isn't there a difference between -between determining whether something is amylase or not and being able to electrophoretically separate and type something?
- As far as amylase itself, you still have to have enzyme activity present, so it's still not degraded to the point that it's not active. And as far as comparison with blood enzymes, if you will, I can't really answer that question. If you are asking whether you can still detect activity, as opposed to electrophoretically type something, you can usually detect the activity longer than you can type it.
- And when you're testing for amylase, when you're looking at cigarette butts, when you're testing saliva, you're looking for activity rather than typing; right?
- A Right.

- In addition to typing blood and saliva, you can also just take human cells and determine various enzymes and blood types from them; is that correct?
- A. Yes, you can.

You mean skin cells and things like that?

Q Right, epithelial.

- 2 Q Item V-18 consisted of a plastic bag with five napkins
  3 which had, amongst other things, epithelial cells in
  4 them; is that correct?
- 5 A That is correct, yes.
- 6 Q And that particular -- those particular items were 7 brought to you in a tape-sealed plastic bag?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Q Does the same conditions that cause deterioration in plastic bags with saliva and bloodstains apply to epithelial cells?
- A Well, first of all, I believe that the things that I typed in this item were not probably -- probably not the epithelial cells. It probably was a mucoid secretion of some sort, possibly somebody blowing their nose on the napkins.
- Well, how about the mucoid secretions? Would they be subject to the same sort of deterioration if still damp in a plastic bag as would blood or saliva?
- A Yes, sir.
- Ω Do you know if the stability of the various enzymes is the same in mucoid secretions as it is in blood?
- A That I do not know, because I don't know the proteilytic type of makeup of mucoid-type secretions.
- Q In your analysis of V-18, there was plenty of stain to analyze; is that right?

ł

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A. The PGM, the fact that I got the esterase D would tell me that maybe there's something else interfering with the PGM. As to whether it could be the degradative

changes, that is a possibility.

Q In -- have you determined the haptoglobin types of the victims in this particular case?

A I believe I have, yes. I don't know as I have the results with me today. Some of the victims I have.

Some I have not. The one that I have not in particular

•

2

3

.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	ļ	And	ne.	5	a	Type	7.3
--	---	-----	-----	---	---	------	-----

I don't know yet.

He is a Type 1, yes.

- The items -- peptidase A in -- in blood is one of the less stable of -- of the -- of the enzymes; is that correct?
- A I would say so, yes.
- And as far as all the different people that you have tested in this particular case, were suspects in the month of June, anyway, everybody was a carbonic anhydrase Type 1; is that right?
- A Up until June, yes.
- Well, the only people that you tested that were -that were 2-1's were people who had known the Ryens X number of years ago?
- A I don't know the history of the people that donated these blood samples, so I couldn't tell you.
- Okay. But those were obtained in November?
- Yes. Well, they were typed in November. I'm not sure when they were obtained. I -- they were obtained at least in October or -- excuse me, October, because I did some typing in October.
- Q Okay. But, anyway, as to the -- as to the information that you had in June, CA II wouldn't have helped you discriminate between any of the possible suspects or victims in this particular case, correct?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Of the known samples that I had at that point, yes, that is correct.

Well, I mean, you had four suspects and five victims at that point in time, right?

I had, as far as I was concerned, at that point I had five victims and how many knows suspects.

Okay. But as far as the samples that you were analyzing, you had how many -- you had known blood from -- from Milton Bulau, Knori, Martinez, and you also had some information from Pittsburgh about Mr. Cooper's blood type; is that correct?

- I believe that was in June, yes.
- So those are -- you had all those -- you had all that information in June?
- That is correct.
- And all the information you had was -- was just -- was CA -- CA 2-1's; is that right?
- That is correct.
- When you analyzed these sample W-2 and W-5, was it obvious to you that there would not be enough blood in those samples to get a complete genetic profile?
- I believe so, yes.
- In -- as far as -- as W -- well, in choosing which test to do on W-5, you only had enough to do one particular electrophoretic run?
- Yes, sir.

- And you choosed -- you chose to do Group IV; is that right?
- 3 A Yes, I did.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 | Q And your results were inconclusive?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q So you got no useful information out of doing the electrophoretic run at all; is that correct?
- 8 A That is correct, yes.
  - Q Why did you choose to do a test, one of which the enzymes wouldn't have discriminated amongst anybody you had involved in the case and the other one was one of the most unstable of the enzymes when you could only do one test?
  - A Okay. As far as that is concerned, I knew at that point, at least, that I had a bloodstain in the house that was a pentidase A 2-1.
- 17 C Oh?
  - A The hypothesis that I had at that time was that this blood may be from the same person that deposited the peptidase A 2-1. And my attempt in doing the peptidase A was to disprove that hypothesis that it was from a peptidase A 2-1 person. That's basically it.
  - Q Well, you hadn't run A-41 for peptidase A on June the 23rd, had you?
  - A No, sir. I ran that at the later date of June 29th.
  - Q So you knew that Mr. Cooper was a peptidase A 2-1 but

3 the information I had from Pittsburgh, for instance, 4 I -- the person in this case was a peptidase A 2-1. 5 And you knew in that particular case that they had 6 Mr. Cooper's fingerprints in the car, did you not? 7 I wasn't sure that it was the car, but I understood 8 that they did have fingerprints, yes. 9 So you could be pretty sure that that peptidase A 2-1 10 was Mr. Cooper's? 11 Drawing an inference from that, yes. If you were to take the information you know about 12 13 A-41 now and attempt to do your test on W-5 from this, 14 from the perception of what you know about A-41, would 15 the Group IV be the best choice? 16 A No, sir. 17 What would? 18 I would do the transferrin. 19 Why is that? 20 Because it is a long-lasting serum protein, and it 21 also, in this case, has a good potential for either 22 saying, "Yes, this is consistent with Mr. Cooper,"

or, "It is not consistent with Mr. Cooper."

Taking haptoglobin, does that -- does that particular

I would say it lasts pretty long, but not as long as

serum protein last almost as long as transferrin?

you didn't know what A-41 was, correct?

Well, I knew that whoever deposited the stain -- or,

1

2

23

24

25

transferrin. Almost -- almost as long.

- Q In this particular case, it's your belief, is it not, that A-41 comes from a very -- from also a rare type of haptoglobin?
- 5 A That is true, yes.

- Q And the haptoglobin, unlike transferrin, will also discriminate amongst some of the victims; is that correct?
- A That is true, yes.
- Q So if you factored in your knowledge about the victims' blood types as well as the type with A-41, would the best choice be to do haptoglobin?
- A Okay. If you assume that both of them last equally long, yes, it would. But my contention is that the -- the transferrin does last longer than the haptoglobin.
- So then, just so I understand, then, you would -- it would be your position that in a situation in which -even given the -- the greater positiveness of the haptoglobin, that because of the longer lasting of the transferrin, that would be the enzyme of choice?
- A I think so, yes, because you have a choice of -- if you're assuming that that blood came from -- or, make the hypothesis that it came from either Mr. Cooper or one of the victims, if you get something besides the haptoglobin 2-lM, I don't see that it tells you very much at all. That's just --

How about if you got a 2? Q.

- If I got a 2, then it would be different, that's true.
- And the chances of getting a haptoglobin 2 are considerably greater than the chances, for example, of getting a transferrin CB, which will be the only thing that would be informative in the transferrin; is that correct?
- That is true, yes.
  - Did you analyze some bloodstains from the front of a T-shirt with the laboratory number CC?
- Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

- 12 And showing you Exhibit H-12, is this the T-shirt?
- 13 Yes, it is.
  - And does it indicate on the T-shirt the -- can you tell -- can you tell where you got the -- the blood from from that T-shirt?
- 17 Yes, I can.
- 18 Where is that?
- 19 It's from, I guess, the middle portion, or, approximately 20 three inches from the bottom, in the front.
- 21 Did you take all the blood off the T-shirt?
- 22 No, sir, I did not. A
- 23 Q Why not?
- 24 Basically, I took the sample that was the most 25 concentrated that I could possibly get the most results out of.

Q Did -- is there enough remaining of that sample to do a complete retesting on it back in the laboratory?

- A I would say, given the nature of the stains on there, no, as far as the enzymes and such like that. Even assuming that they were real fresh, it's hard to get enzymes off of smeared stains like that.
- Q Okay. But what I'm saying is did you keep enough extra -- I mean, when you -- when you clipped it out and put it in and froze it back in June or whenever it was that you did it, did you clip out enough so that you could do everything you wanted and then the -- the defense, if there was a defense, could do everything it wanted?
- A I believe there are some -- is some remaining. I'm not sure as to how much. There may be enough; I'm not sure.
- Q Did you make a picture of the stain before you cut it out?
- A No, sir, I did not.
  (No omissions.)

Õ	Nhv	not?
×-	*****	

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A Basically, that is not my normal procedure.
- 3 Q And why is that not your normal procedure?
  - A Just that I don't do it.
    - Q Well, why did you do it in the case of the -- of the sheets that you were sending to Dr. Blake and not in other situations?
    - A Basically, because we were sending that out to an expert or another expert, and also your request if he needed pictures, to take them, and document that you were there. We took the stain and sent it to Dr. Blake.
    - So it was basically a chain of custody thing rather than a scientific thing?
  - A Basically, yes.
  - Q Did you do anything to like sketch that particular stain before you took it out?

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, objection, vague. I'm not sure whether we're talking about T-shirt now or the blankets.

MR. NEGUS: T-shirt.

THE COURT: Now we know.

THE WITNESS: I was confused too.

No, sir, I did not.

and were taking the samples that you were taking for the purposes of testing them, did -- had you by that --

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

had you at that point in time abandoned your desire to try and reconstruct the crime?

- Not completely, no. I can't say that.
- Is there any scientific reason for not documenting what the stain looks like before you destroy it?
- Except for the time factor, and also I mean the stain -normally what I'll do is if it's a unique type of stain, then I would probably have taken a picture of it, but if it's -- seems to be non-informative or generally non-informative, I don't think I would have taken a picture of it.
- Do you remember whether that particular stain that you cut out was a smear or a drop or what?
- Not specifically, no. I could probably take a look at the remaining stain and tell you what it was.
- Would you be able to get the shape of it from the remaining stain?
- I don't know. I'd have to take a look at the stain.
- As far as you knew, was there any orders or policies or anything preventing you from going back to the loft on June the 13th to collect more blood samples from the furniture?
- Not that I know of, no.
- What about from the car?
- Again, not that I know.
- Why didn't you? a

A I don't know at this time.

Q That would have been the better procedure, would it not?

- A Yes, it would have, at this point.
- Q You have typed for ABO antigens the various stains in laboratory numbers NNN through RRR collected by Mr. Ogino from that furniture in February; is that true?
- 9 | A Yes, I have.

- Q With the exception of two, none of those stains are currently typeable; is that correct?
- A I can't say that none of them are currently typeable but by my technique that I use right now, they're not typeable. I do intend to try more techniques or different technique to try to do that.
- © What different technique do you think will give you results?
- A The technique that I used on this was something called absorption-elution chisum, which is an ammonia extraction method. The technique I intend to use is to extract it and absorb it onto a thread and then do what's called a Howard-Martin elution technique.
- 23 Q When do you expect to have that done by?
- 24 A I really can't tell you at this point. I'm doing other 25 analysis also.
  - Q If you can't get ABO antigens by the chisum technique

of absorption-elution, you in all probability would not be able to get enzyme serum proteins or antibodies; is that correct?

A That is true, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Q So whatever technique you use, you don't expect to be able to get any enzyme results from the furniture?
- 7 A That is true, yes.
  - The two ABO types that you did get a result on from
     the -- from the furniture were from the dresser along
     the west wall; is that correct?
  - A Okay. As far as exactly where they came from, I believe you'll have to ask Mr. Ogino. They do say "sliding door from dresser." I assume --
  - There's a "W" near that too, as well. The dresser -isn't the dresser on the thing distinguished as
    dresser "W" as opposed to a dresser "S" in another one
    of the numbers?
  - A Okay. As far as my notes are concerned, no.
  - Q Do you have the laboratory report there with you?
  - A Yes, I do.

Okay. As far as his report, it does say west dresser.

The -- one of those -- of those, there were four different things taken from the west dresser, from that NNN series labeled as the west dresser; is that right?

```
1
         Yes, there were.
     A
2
         Two of them gave inconclusive ABO results?
3
         That is true, yes.
         One of them was a B?
5
         I believe they were both A's.
6
         Do you have a note that is listed -- your notes of
7
         absorption-elution somewhere in there?
8
         Yes, I do.
9
         Could you check to see.
10
             Well, maybe -- you made at one point in time a
11
         xerox copy for me of your notes; correct?
12
         I believe so, yes.
13
         Showing you what has been marked as page 2937 of the
14
         discovery, does that appear to be a copy of what we're
15
         talking about?
16
         It appears to be a copy of one of my notes, yes.
17
         And does that indicate the notes in which -- which you
18
         did the NNN series?
19
        Yes, it does.
20
        And that indicates one of them is an A and one of them
21
         was a B and two were inconclusive; is that correct?
22
        That is correct, yes.
23
        Is it possible that you just made a transcription error
24
         on the summary where you wrote A antigen when it should
25
         have been the B antigen?
```

That is possible, yes.

```
4712
         And is that in fact what happened?
         I don't know. I don't have my original yet. It does
 2
 3
         appear that way, yes.
         So one of them was the B and one was an A?
 4
5
         Yes.
 6
             If I may, Mr. Negus, may I change that on my
7
         report?
8
         Certainly.
         Thank you.
9
         You're talking about on the summary you mean?
10
         Yes.
11
             (No omissions.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

MR. NEGUS: Can we stop now? I'm about to try to have to regroup and find another area to go into. I can flounder in five minutes, but I think it will give you -THE COURT: Okay. So you'd like to break it now; is that right?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, tomorrow morning, do you have your Court of Appeal?

MR. NEGUS: Tomorrow I have to go to the Court of Appeal in the morning. I'll be back ready to go at 1:30.

THE COURT: All right. Then we'll adjourn the matter until 1:30 tomorrow afternoon. Thank you.

You may step down.

(Whereupon, the matter was adjourned at 3:55 p.m. until Tuesday, July 10, 1984 at 1:30 p.m.)

--000--