CASE NO. CR	CASE NO. CRIM 24552					
SUPREME COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE)						
OF CALIFORNIA,						
OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF, -VS- KEVIN COOPER, DEFENDANT.						
)	SUPERIOR COURT NO. CR-72787					
-VS-)	MOTIONS					
KEVIN COOPER,	*.					
DEFENDANT.)						
)	•					
ADDEAL FROM THE CHRENTON	COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY					
APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR	COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNT					
HONORABLE RICHARD C. G	ARNER, JUDGE PRESIDING					
REPORTERS' TRAN	SCRIPT ON APPEAL					
APPEARANCES:						
FOR PLAINTIFF-RESPONDENT:	HON. JOHN D. VAN DE KAM					
	ATTORNEY GENERAL					
·	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 110 WEST "A" STREET					
	SUITE 600					
	SAN DIEGO, CA 92101					
FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT:	IN PROPRIA PERSONA					
•						
OCCUPATION BY:	LEONARD D. GUNN					
REPORTED BY:	C.S.R. NO. 1109					
	AND					
	JUDITH L. MORRIS C.S.R. NO. 2400					
	OFFICIAL REPORTERS					
- 0						
VOLUME 39						
PAGES 2745 THROUGH 2882	_					

٠	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	A								
1										
2	2 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDING	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO								
3	3									
4	4 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE) OF CALIFORNIA,)									
5	•									
6	6 CR-72787									
7		000 :1								
8	KEVIN COOPER,) Pgs. 2745 thru 28	382, incl.								
9	Defendant.)									
10										
	DEPOSITE DATES MEANCEDIDE	DEDODEES! DATIV EDANSCRIPT								
11	THE WOVERNIE BIGUARD C CAPMED JUID									
12		BEFORE HONORABLE RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE								
13		DEPARTMENT 10 - SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA								
14	Tuesday, June 5, 1984									
15	5 APPEARANCES:									
16	6 For the People: DENNIS KOTTMEIER District Attorney									
17										
18	District Attorney	c								
19	Donuty District At	torney								
20	O For the Defendant: DAVID MCKENNA									
21	Public Defender By: DAVID NEGUS									
22	Deputy Public Defe	nder								
23	Official Reporter									
24	and									
25	Official Reporter									
26	6 C.S.R. No. 2400									

	•					
1						
2						
3	$\underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{D}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{X}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{O}} \qquad \underline{\mathbf{W}} \ \underline{\mathbf{I}} \ \underline{\mathbf{T}} \ \underline{\mathbf{N}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}} \ \underline{\mathbf{E}} \ \underline{\mathbf{S}}$					
4						
5	WITNESS PAGE					
6	MICHAEL D. HALL					
7	Direct Examination Resumed by Mr. Negus 2750 Cross-Examination by Mr. Kochis					
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Negus 2788					
9	CARL SWANLUND					
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Negus					
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kochis					
12						
13	000					
14						
15	<u>INDEX TO EXHIBITS</u>					
16						
17	EXHIBIT FOR IDENTIFICATION IN EVIDENCE					
18	H-235 Report by Swanlund 2861					
19	H-236 Xerox copies of shoe impressions 2850					
20	H-237 Xerox copies of show					
21	impressions 2850					
22	S-13 Photograph 2850					
23						
24	000					
25						
26						

SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 1984; 9:40 A.M.

DEPARTMENT NO. 10 HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

The Defendant with his Counsel, DAVID NEGUS,
Deputy Public Defender of San Bernardino
County; DENNIS KOTTMEIER, District Attorney
of San Bernardino County, and JOHN P. KOCHIS,
Deputy District Attorney of San Bernardino
County, representing the People of the State
of California.

(Leonard D. Gunn, C.S.R., Official Reporter, C-1109,

Judith L. Morris, C.S.R., Official Reporter, C-2400)

THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel. Before we start, in looking at the transcript of yesterday's proceedings, I note, of course, that the testimony of Mr. Hall is there, but it does not reflect his testimony in the index. So you might wish to add his name to the list of witnesses in the index and the fact that his testimony starts on page 2691. Somehow it was omitted.

MR. NEGUS: Also before we begin, your Honor, there are a couple other matters that I'd just like to briefly take up.

First off, if you recall, during the motion to

0-1

17 ·

suppress there were some photographs of a big board in the squad room at the West End substation. And at that time I know I informally -- I don't know whether I did on the record -- requested copies of those photos. The reason for it is that what the photos depicted is the assignments of who was doing what during the course of the investigation and that material is available to me in no other way.

I requested it at various times through laws and stuff which I've been told don't exist. There is a photograph, in the photograph is Sergeant Arthur's home phone number, I believe. I'm willing to have that deleted by whatever means the prosecution wishes to do. But I would request copies of those photographs.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, we've already given
Mr. Negus one of the photographs that shows a portion of
the board and some of the exhibits that were on the board.
I assume the hatchet; is that correct?

MR. NEGUS: That was introduced into evidence. I never got a copy of that.

MR. KOCHIS: Anything that's been introduced into evidence I don't have a problem with giving Mr. Negus. However, the other photographs he's talking about are simply photographs of the squad room of the West End that shows the assignments that were given to various officers on a day-to-day basis, contains the home phone numbers I

believe of some of the investigators and lawyers in the

case, and I can't imagine how it's relevant to Mr. Cooper's

defense.

MR. NEGUS: I believe we determined the only

home phone number was Sergeant Arthur's.

THE COURT: May I see the photograph in question?

MR. ARTHUR: I don't have it in court this morning.

MR. KOCHIS: We can have it available at 1:30.

THE COURT: Would you bring it back at that time and I'll take a look at it.

Do you have any stipulations for me this morning?

MR. KOCHIS: Stipulate that everybody is present,

Mr. Cooper's here.

THE COURT: Nothing is happening in this case other than in open court and on the record, but you two can privately sit down and try and save us some time.

Are you not able to do that?

MR. NEGUS: I don't believe we are. I don't see a practical way to accomplish, shorten anything by way of stipulation.

THE COURT: You know, we've now had on this motion alone at least 31 witnesses testify, and examination, Mr. Kochis, by you has been meager. Most of their testimony is undisputed. And if we have 30 more of them in the future, we should be able to sit down with his witness list and the desired testimony and offer a

Q-3

expected to testify. I don't know why it's taken so long.

MR. NEGUS: I don't think that that would protect Mr. Cooper's rights, and I don't think Mr. Kochis and I could agree on the weight to be given the testimony and which of the various conflicting stories --

written stipulation or read into the record what each is

THE COURT: All right, Counsel. I'm going to require tomorrow morning an offer of proof as to each and every witness you have expected to testify and the expected testimony of each and every one of those witnesses. And I will in the meantime examine the authorities previously filed with reference to the Hitch motion and I'm going to perhaps see if I can shorten it in some way --

MR. NEGUS: Could I have the rest of the day --THE COURT: -- consistent with your rights to try and expedite these proceedings.

MR. NEGUS: Could I have the rest of the day to try and prepare that offer?

THE COURT: No, sir. I'm letting you off each day at 4 o'clock. I'm letting you off Fridays, Saturdays, and Sundays. And I've really not given you any grief at all up to this point. But I'm telling you, if you're simply taking a position now that, Judge, there's nothing we can do except grind out the sausage in a word-by-word, item-by-item, every witness what did you see, the manner that we're going, then I want an offer of proof tomorrow.

∆-4

7 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

.

You surely have your witnesses scheduled and you can tell me generally what you expect their testimony to be. I insist upon some effort at expedition.

MR. NEGUS: I don't know how I could expedite. It would seem that as far as -- for example, yesterday you got upset when I asked Detective Hall about some photographs --

THE COURT: Yesterday I what?

MR. NEGUS: You got upset.

THE COURT: I deny it emphatically. I have not gotten upset. I am not upset at this time. I may have interjected and made a suggestion trying to do something, but I'm not upset and I don't like your use of the word.

Proceed. I'll hear from you tomorrow morning.

MR. NEGUS: Your Honor, I can't proceed under these situations. This is a very complicated case. I am working alone. And I can't -- I cannot be -- I cannot work where I am placed under the pressure of having to try and come up with a detailed offer of proof for another 30 witnesses and examine Mr. Hall at the same time. I don't have a script. It's impossible to prepare the script given the time limits that we've had, and I can't work under those particular constraints that you're putting on me.

I don't think that I could render Mr. Cooper effective counsel if I'm placed under pressure to do this,

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that, and the other thing all within the scope of time which I don't have to do it. And I --

THE COURT: Do the best you can, Mr. Negus. I'll hear from you tomorrow morning.

MR. NEGUS: I would object to proceeding under these conditions.

THE COURT: I order you to proceed at this time with this witness. Either conclude your questions with this witness or ask him to step down. Proceed.

MICHAEL D. HALL,

called as a witness by the Defense and having been previously sworn, resumed the stand and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

BY MR. NEGUS:

- In the master bathroom, was there a four-inch pool of blood on the floor?
- Yes, sir. Α
- And where was that?
- I have to refer to my notes for the exact location. A
- Okay. Q
- Located 37 inches from the south wall and 42 inches from the west wall was an area of pooled blood which was 4 inches in diameter.

What do you mean by pooled? Does that mean that the Q blood was in a liquid form? 2 Very saturated, very saturated. Maybe some blood 3 spotting in the carpeting and so forth. 4 Showing you the photographs of the carpet that we 5 have, H-169, 168, 167, 165, 164, and 163 --6 The carpet where? Α 7 Master bathroom. Do you see that pool in the carpet? Q 8 I believe it would be right here, sir, where it's Α 9 marked 43. There's a little darkish area which appears 10 to be of clotted blood. 11 Would you circle that for me? 12 Α Yes, sir (indicating). 13 Has there on the bare spot in the carpet approximately Ö 14 a 40-inch trail of large blood drops leading towards 15 the foot of the bed? 16 There was blood droplets leading from that bare spot. 17 Did you measure the length of the trail of blood spots Q 18 and was it 40 inches? 19 Approximately, yes, sir. Α 20 Again, on H-67, taking the orange pen, could you Q 21 trace where those blood drops were? 22 Well, from what I recall offhand, the blood droplets. Α 23 extended straight, pretty much straight out. 24 You're talking about the little orange specks now? Q 25

I'm talking about blood droplets and stains,

26

Α

0-7

1 combination. 2 These were large drops, though; is that correct? 3 Basically I would say they were very noticeable that 4 I would make mention to it in my report. 5 In your report you referred to them as large blood 6 droplets and large stains, is that correct? Page 7. 7 My pages are not marked, numbered, so --8 Up at the top under the report area it says page 9 number 7. 10 Okay. Yes, sir, it does. 11 And those are what you're talking about extending along 12 Forty inches from the area. 13 Along approximately the line that you have drawn there 14 on photograph H-67? 15 Basically, but they were not, you know, one right after 16 the other. I mean, they were somewhat off the line. 17 The screen door from the living room to the grass 18 outside that was ripped or cut, did you seize that 19 screen door so that it could be examined and determine 20 the cause of the cut? 21 No, sir, I did not. 22 Why not? 23 Α I didn't feel it was necessary. 24 On the bed in the master bedroom, the waterbed, was 25 there an impression that appeared to be consistent with

Q-8

26

corduroy pants?

Α

When I referred to it in my report as consistent
with, I was referring to it so that I could later
when reviewing my report -- I didn't mean necessarily
that it was corduroy or pants. I referred to it as
a knee impression possibly of corduroy basically so
I could go back and remember what it would look like.
The lines were running north to south on the sheets.

(NO OMISSIONS)

What you wrote was, again, on page 7, "The pattern consisted of lines running north and south in direction 2 indicating that the impressions were from pants, possibly 3 that of corduroy? Correct. Α 5 What substance were the impressions in? 6 Α There was a brownish or reddish brown substance. 7 Did it appear to be blood? 8 Possibly. Α 9 Was the impression photographed? 10 I believe it was, yes. Yes, it was. 11 Α Showing you photograph H-152, does that appear to be a 12 photograph of the impression that you described? 13 It appears to be, yes. 14 Could you circle in orange that particular impression? 15 (Witness complied.) 16 Α Did you ever see any of the physical evidence that was 17 taken from 2991 English Road, the vacant house near the 18 Ryen residence? 19 Did I ever see any of the evidence? 20 Α 21 Right. 0 Right offhand, I would have to say no. 22 Were you present when the existence of evidence from the 23 Ryen house was reported to you at briefings? 24

I believe you will have to be a little more detailed

because I'm not sure. It's very vague.

1-1

25

Q Were you ever told by the people who had processed 2991 that a pair of bloody corduroy pants had been seized from that house?

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I would object. That calls for hearsay. It can't be used to impeach this witness. It's not his statement.

THE COURT: I don't know of an exception on that,
Mr. Negus.

MR. NEGUS: Your Honor, I expect to prove that there were statements attributed to various officers in the press that a pair of bloody corduroy pants were seized from the Lease residence. I expect Mr. Swanlund and other people who were involved in the processing of the Lease residence to deny that, and I believe from what I have been led to know about the state of the physical evidence in this case, that those bloody corduroy pants no longer exist.

Therefore, I'm trying to track knowledge on the part of various Sheriff's officers. And if at any time they were acknowledged to exist, that would be evidence.

MR. KOCHIS: He is attempting to use a newspaper article, apparently, to establish the existence of a particular item through a hearsay statement.

THE COURT: I can understand what he is trying to do and I can sympathize, but I'm trying to figure out the exception to the hearsay rule.

Clearly what you are doing, "Did anybody tell you

1-2

that a pair of bloody corduroy pants had been removed, words to that effect, this calls for hearsay.

What is the exception?

MR. NEGUS: Prior inconsistent statement.

THE COURT: Of whom?

MR. NEGUS: Captain Schuyler. I would make an offer of proof I believe that that's what Sergeant Swanlund is going to testify to. And I think we have had several other people.

THE COURT: I think I can let it in then strike it if it receives a negative response and if it's not connected up later on. But that's what this case is about, really, is alleged suppression or failure to preserve evidence.

All right. Overruled with that understanding.

I trust that you will remind me later on, Mr. Kochis, or immediately after this if an appropriate answer is not received.

- (BY MR. NEGUS) Did you ever hear such?
- A I don't recall hearing anything of that nature. I had really nothing to do with that Lease residence.

THE COURT: Excuse me. The question was -- I didn't hear the first part of your answer -- "Did anybody ever tell you about that?"

THE WITNESS: I don't recall anything about corduroy pants.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kochis, do you now move

to strike the answer and the question?

MR. KOCHIS: Yes.

MR. NEGUS: Well, if he has never heard anything about it, then I believe there is no basis for striking it. That was the foundational question. Not getting the response to the foundational question, I don't see that there is any basis for striking.

The question was objected to as calling THE COURT: for hearsay.

This question was just foundational for MR. NEGUS: something that might have called for hearsay.

THE COURT: I will strike both the question and the answer.

Proceed.

(BY MR. NEGUS) During the time on June 5th while you were working processing, doing the crime scene on the Ryen residence, up until 9:05, the time the last body was removed, did Sergeant Arthur ever ask you whether or not you were finished processing the living room?

I don't recall offhand.

Have you gone over your testimony in the preliminary hearing prior to testifying in this particular hearing?

Yes, sir, I have.

MR. KOCHIS: If I could have the volume first, it

1 - 4

5 6

1

2

3

4

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
would help.
1
            MR. NEGUS:
                         14.
2
        (BY MR. NEGUS) When was the first time that you noticed
3
        the damage to the screen?
4
        Basically on the exterior check that I conducted.
5
        And that would have been approximately 9:30 in the
6
        evening?
7
        Yes, sir. It was after 9:00.
8
        Prior to that, were you consulted about having a
9
        briefing in the living room?
10
        No, sir.
11
    Α
        And do you recall being asked at the preliminary hearing,
12
        page 118 and 119, whether or not before sunset you were
13
        asked whether you were through processing the living
14
        room?
15
        What is your question now?
16
        Do you remember being asked at the preliminary hearing,
17
        line 26 of page 118 of your testimony through 119,
18
        line 1, "Did anybody ask you before sunset whether or
19
        not you were through processing the living room?"
20
        My answer was, "No, sir."
21
        So nobody asked you?
22
    Q
        I don't recall anybody asking me.
23
    Α
        At that point in time, prior to sunset, you had not
24
        finished processing the dining room area, either; had
25
```

1-5

26

you?

2

3

6

7

11

12

13

several occasions I left the master bedroom and went through the rooms looking for evidence that would stand

5 I did not see anything.

> So later that night, after 9:00, I went back into the dining room area and the living room area.

Basically, no, sir, but I would have to say that on

out, such as blood, weapons, things of that nature.

At that point in time, there were people from the rank 8 of captain and above from the Sheriff's Office in that 9 area; is that correct? 10

I don't recall them being in there at the time that ${\bf I}$ documented, say, in the dining room the liquor cabinet on the west wall.

- Do you recall people eating in the dining room? 14
- That was way later that night. 15
- Approximately 10:00? 16
- Probably after 10. It was late. 17
- Were the results of spraying the house with luminol ever 18 19 made known to you?
- I knew that it was done. The exact results I couldn't 20 expound on that. I don't know. 21
 - After the house was sprayed with luminol, did you ever go back and attempt to locate, for example, additional evidence in the living room?
 - No, sir, I didn't. I did my crime scene investigation strictly on the 5th and 6th.

1-6

24

22

23

26

On the 5th was there anything done to attempt to limit 1 the areas on the carpet in the master bedroom where 2 people were walking? 3 Well, I think it is, number one, that as an investigator 4 and even other investigators, as a matter of practice, 5 you watch where you step. Comments were made by 6 Sergeant Arthur on the 5th: "Watch where you step. 7 There is blood on the floor." 8 Was there any attempt made, for example, to clear a path 9 over the carpet where it could be determined that there 10 wasn't any blood or trace evidence? 11 I would have to say that Sergeant Arthur, myself and 12 the criminalists and Deputy Duffy from I.D., we were 13 cautious of the areas that could be contaminated. 14 Prior to any parts of the carpet being taken into 15 evidence, there were 10, 12, sometimes more people in 16 that master bedroom; is that correct? 17 Well, I don't think there was quite that many. 18 Let's concentrate on just, for example, the time that 19 the bodies were being removed. 20 Okay. 21 (No omissions.) 22 23 24 25

1-7

```
Q
                    There's Dr. Root in there?
          1
2-1
          2
                    Yes.
                    Dave Hammock?
          3
                    Yes, sir.
          4
                    Two people from the body service, Mr. Glenn and
          5
                    Mr. Parrish?
          6
                    Yes, sir.
          7
                   Yourself?
          8
                   Yes, sir.
                   Clifford?
          10
                   Yes.
         11
                   O'Campo?
          13
                   Yes.
                   Arthur?
          14
               Α
                   Yes.
          15
                   Duffy?
               Q
          16
                   Well, I'm not sure about Duffy -- I'm sure he was, yeah,
          17
                   because he was photographing.
          18
                   Stockwell?
               Q
          19
         20
               A
                   Okay.
         21
               Q
                   Schechter?
         22
               A
                   Okay.
         23
                   That's an even dozen.
                   I didn't count them.
         24
               Α
                   It was crowded?
         25
                   There was a few people, yes.
         26
```

Q	At that	point :	in time	was	ther	e any	atter	npt 1	nade	to
	try and	locate	people	in	safe	areas	with	all	that	
	traffic	traffic in there?								

- A I think attempts were made as far as investigators knowing not to step in blood. As far as me going up to Sergeant Arthur and saying watch out for that particular bloodstain, no, I did not.
- Q Let's focus on the transporting of the bodies. Was there any attempt made to sort of make a path for the body people to come in when they are removing the bodies, or were they just getting them -- were they just allowed in the room essentially with no direction?
- A It wasn't -- I was not aware of it. I'm sure possibly they were escorted in by somebody. I don't know.
- Q What sort of equipment did the body people have when they were removing the bodies?
- A There were body bags, and as far as gurneys or stretchers, I honestly don't recall, if they had wheels on them or whatever. I don't know.
- Were the body bags laid down on the rug?
- A I'm really not sure.
- n Do you recall where the gurney was being placed when the bodies were being removed?

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I'm going to object.

That assumes a fact not in evidence. He doesn't remember

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

a gurney. He remembers body bags. He doesn't remember anything else.

THE COURT: If that's the foundation, sustained.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Do you remember where the carrying device, be it stretcher or gurney or whatever it was that you mentioned, was laid when the various bodies were removed?
- A If you're talking about a specific location, I don't know. I couldn't tell you if it was on the left or right of the victim, to the north or to the south. I don't know.
- O Do you recall if you made your notes, for example, about the impressions in blood leading from the bare spot of the carpet, the stains on the rug, before or after the body people were there?
- A I believe it would be before.
- Q Are you sure about that or is that just --
- A I'm pretty sure, yes.
- On the 6th, when Mr. Gregonis and Mr. Ogino arrived, did you attempt to reconstruct with them the crime?
 - A We talked. I don't know what you mean by reconstruct.
- 22 Q Did you attempt to figure out what happened?
- 23 A Not necessarily, no.
 - Q Did you discuss the patterns of bloodstains on the walls and carpet?
 - A Yes, sir, we did.

	İ	
1	Q	Did you attempt to interpret those patterns?
2	· A	I requested how would you describe this, this pattern,
3		and so forth. As far as if blood came from one person
4		or two persons or whatever, no.
5	Q	Did you attempt to do any interpretive work with them
6		as to if a pattern meant one thing what sort of
7		evidence needs to be seized in order to document that?
8	A	No, I don't believe so.
9	Q	Whom were you talking with primarily during this
10		period of time?
11	A	I believe both criminalists were present.
12	Q	Mr. Ogino and Mr. Gregonis?
13	A	Yes.
14	ΰ	How long were you working with them there in the master
15		bedroom?
16	A	I have no idea.
17	Q	They arrived at 2 o'clock, right?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Ω	And the search, let's assume the search warrant was
20		served at 4:15.
21	A	All right.
22	Ω	Had you finished working with them in the bedroom by
23		the time the search warrant was served?
24	A	Yes, I was completed. My crime scene investigation was
25		done.

At 4:10 in the afternoon was the time that you showed

2-4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

25

Mr. Gregonis the stains in the refrigerator; is that correct?

- Α Okay.
- Q Had you been working with him continuously up until that time in the bedroom before you took him off to show him the stains in the kitchen?
- I was in and out. I couldn't say I was in one specific Α location for two hours straight or an hour. I was constantly moving throughout the house.
- Were Mr. Kottmeier and Mr. Kochis at the house on 0 Monday afternoon?
- Α Yes, sir, they were.
- Was Sergeant Swanlund likewise? Q
- Yes, he was there. Α
- Did Mr. Gregonis ever request from you more time to process the crime scene before the search warrant was served?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection, that would call for hearsay.

THE COURT: I think you had laid a foundation for that by Gregonis at some point, have you not?

MR. NEGUS: Mr. Gregonis hasn't testified yet, but he will. And I expect it to be a disputed fact in the case as to what requests were made by the different criminalists of different people at different times on the 6th to have more time, so that it's not offered for

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

the truth of the matter asserted. I'm not even sure that a request does have the truth of the matter asserted, but it's a disputed issue what requests and statements were made.

THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall him asking. If he had, I would have gave him more time.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Do you recall him talking to Sergeant Swanlund, either Mr. Gregonis or Mr. Ogino, about additional time?
- A I don't recall that, no.
- O Do you recall them talking to the prosecutors,

 Mr. Kottmeier and Mr. Kochis, about additional time?
- A No, sir.
- On that date were any of the phones inside the house operating, on June the 6th?
- A I don't believe so. When I checked the phones on the 5th they were not operable.
- Q Was there a phone in the barn or stable area that was operable?
- A Yes, sir, there was.
- Q Did you ever see Mr. Gregonis and Mr. Ogino go out to that stable area to use the phone?
- A Not that I can recall, no.
- Q Did you supervise Mr. Swanlund's people as they were removing the evidence?

10 mention

A	No	,	sir	: •

- Were you in the room with them?
- Α At times.
- What was done to protect the carpet while the furniture was being removed?
- I'm not aware of anything.
 - At that point in time were you aware of the purpose for seizing the carpet?
 - I can only assume, you know.
 - Had you been told that the carpet was being seized in order to preserve bloodstains, trace evidence, and to show it to a jury?

MR. KOCHIS: I would object, that calls for hearsay.

MR. NEGUS: It's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, but for what systematic procedures the prosecutors -- Mr. Arthur's already testified that was his purpose. And so the purpose of asking the guestion was to see whether Sergeant Hall undertook any procedures in order to carry out that purpose or whether he just left it to chance.

> It's tenuous. Sustained. THE COURT:

I didn't hear --MR. NEGUS:

THE COURT: Sustained. I think that's a little tenuous logic that you used there, sir. You got it from Sergeant Arthur.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TANK THE PARTY OF
Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Were you ever told to try and preserve the carpet so that trace evidence could be taken off of it after it was seized?

- A I was, at that point in time when the evidence was being taken, I was done. That was being supervised by another sergeant, and what he told his personnel I don't know.
- Q As far as removing the south wall, you participated in that personally; is that correct?
- A I was present. I watched them.
- Q Who took custody of those pieces of south wall as they were taken off?
- A All the evidence had to be transported, number one.

 Now, who eventually took custody, I believe it would
 be the crime lab that would take custody of that.
- Q With respect to that wall, isn't it a fact that you in fact took custody of the six different pieces of the wall, put your initials on the wall, and took notes of the time at which you took custody of them?
- A Yes, I did. But that doesn't necessarily mean that I took custody of them.
- Q What does that mean?
- A Just means I've documented it that it was cut and I

 put my initials on it. That's evidence. That's blood.

 That's given to the crime lab.
- Q Was the crime lab taking it away themselves, or was it

taken away by somebody else? 1 It all had to be transported in the truck. 2-9 2 Were you involved in the process of cutting the wall 3 out? I was right there watching them. As far as prying 5 on the wall and removing it, I don't remember that I 6 was, no. But I was right there. 7 What was done with the carpet while the wall was 8 being sawed out? 9 There was nothing placed over the carpeting, if that's 10 what you're referring to. 11 Just a little bit was folded back, is that correct, 12 and otherwise it was --13 I don't recall. 14 Showing you photographs H-65 and H-66, do those 15 photographs indicate a before and after view of the 16 removal of the wall? 17 Yes, sir, it does. 18 And do they depict the condition of the carpet while 19 the wall was being removed? 20 The carpeting is pulled back. 21 It would appear that there's a considerable amount 22 of dust and debris and pieces of plaster on the floor 23 after the wall was removed; is that correct? 24

MR. KOCHIS: Well, your Honor, I'm going to

object. "Considerable debris" is vague. It's compound

26

and the photograph speaks for itself.

THE COURT: But you're not offering these photographs into evidence.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I believe at one time all those photographs are going to be offered into evidence. They all have tags on them.

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. NEGUS: They are in evidence subject to a motion to strike.

THE COURT: Well, the photographs that I examined earlier in these proceedings here were not in evidence.

They came in and I was permitted to view them by stipulation of counsel. But --

MR. KOCHIS: They were offered into evidence subject to a motion to strike as to any photograph for which a foundation has not been laid, and I believe from his testimony Detective Hall has laid a foundation for those photos as other witnesses have. So I'm not going to be making a motion to strike as to those two pictures.

MR. NEGUS: They are marked on the back where it says evidence, 5-30-4, which I take it means 5-30-84 they were offered into evidence.

THE COURT: May I examine these photographs particularly while the witness steps down from the stand?

MR. NEGUS: I have no objection.

THE COURT: I've been inhibited from doing so, but it would be very helpful in evaluating the case.

MR. NEGUS: The reason Mr. Kochis and I entered into the stipulation was so that you could do just that, and I have no objection.

THE COURT: If there's anything contrary to that henceforth, would you please let me know. Otherwise, would the bailiff bring me all of the photographs as soon as the witness finishes and leave them here on the desk, the ones that you have mentioned.

All right. As far as the particular objection, however, even with it coming into evidence with that understanding, I assume that's foundational for some other question you intend to ask, so I'll overrule your objection.

Does it not show considerable debris from the removal of the wall?

THE WITNESS: The debris is lying on the concrete slab, not on the carpeting.

(NO OMISSIONS)

```
There are portions of the debris, however, lying on the
1
        carpet in the photograph H-66; correct?
2
        It's turned over. The bottom portion of the carpeting,
3
        not the surface area.
4
        And there is no photograph taken of the carpet, the rest
5
        of the carpet, at the time that the work on the wall was
6
        completed; is that correct?
7
        I'm not aware of it.
8
        You haven't seen any?
9
        I haven't seen any. I haven't looked for any, either.
10
        There may well be one. I don't know.
11
        Can you testify positively that none of the debris that's
12
        shown on the back of the carpet ever got over to the
13
        front surface?
14
        Positively, no, because I never -- No, I can't.
15
        In H-65, there appears to be a dark blood spot. Do you
16
        recall whether that blood spot was still wet at the time
17
        that the carpet was put in that condition?
18
        No, sir, I don't.
19
        Do you recall if the carpet was dried before it was
20
21
        rolled up for removal?
        I feel it was, yes.
22
23
        How?
    Q
        By the condition, the way it looked, I believe it was.
24
        But there was no actual laying it down somewhere to dry
25
```

it?

26

3-1

3

questions I can't answer. And as far as --

- Q I'm just asking you from your observation while you were there, do you remember its being laid out to dry?
- 5 A Taken outside, laid out to dry?
- 6 Q Anyplace, yes.
- 7 A I never observed it, no, being laid out to dry outside of the residence.
- 9 Q You took notes, did you not, of what time the removal of the wall was completed; is that correct?
- 11 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 12 Q That was what time?
- 13 A I would have to --
- 14 Q Do you have your notes numbered by our numbering system?
- 15 A Are you referring to the typewritten?
- 16 Q No.

23

26

- 17 A No, I don't have those with me, the ones I gave you last night.
- 19 Q Showing you discovery page 2839, appears to be a Xerox
 20 copy of your notes. It would indicate that of those you
 21 have noted, the last one was removed at 6:48 in the
 22 evening; is that correct?
 - A Basically, yes, sir.
- Q And at that point in time, the carpet was still in the master bedroom?
 - A I can't say for sure. I don't know. I'm not sure at

A STATE OF THE STA

1

3

Do you know what time that evening the truck left the scene?

5

No, I don't.

6

Were you still there when it left?

7

I'm not sure if I was or not.

the bedroom, yes.

8

Do you know what time you left the scene?

9

It was dark. Probably around 8, I would imagine, or

10

Showing you photograph H-26, and there is a Jacuzzi cover 11

after.

depicted in that; is that correct?

12

Yes, sir, there is. 13

14

Did you ever see a footprint on that Jacuzzi cover on

15

June the 5th?

16

Yes, sir, I did.

17

Did you take notes of it?

18

At that particular time, no. Α

19

At any time did you take notes of it?

20

No, sir, I didn't. Α

21

Why not? Q

22

On Monday, it was taken away by the Sheriff's Office

personnel and put on a truck. When it was taken away,

24

I remembered I hadn't noted it and it was too late.

25

I later contacted Sergeant Arthur and he told me, "It has already been done."

26

Q So you remembered that Jacuzzi cover being taken away on Monday?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you did document, including precise measurements,

- the location of the bloody heel print on the patio slab; is that correct?
- A Yes, sir, I did.

- Q You did that on the 5th?
- g A Yes. At the beginning of the investigation.
 - Q Actually, it was in your notes, in the notes that you took in the evening, that you did that; is that not correct?
 - .A I'm not sure.

Yes, later on in the notes, yes.

- Q Isn't it a fact that you didn't notice that shoe print at any time that -- Isn't it a fact that you didn't notice the print on the Jacuzzi cover at the time that you noted the location of the Vibran heel print?
- A If I understand you correctly, the bloody print on the slab I saw at the beginning of the investigation.
- Q But you measured it and noted its existence in the evening after the body people had left when you were doing your exterior check?
- A Yes. The print on the Jacuzzi was pointed out to me earlier by Detective O'Campo. That was in daylight hours.

í

3 - 4

```
Is there any reason why you didn't attempt to measure
1
       its existence when you were measuring the Vibran heel
2
       print at the time you were taking measurements of the
3
       patio?
4
       I didn't take it then, no.
```

- 5
- Why not? 6
- I don't know. 7
- On June 11th, did you go to Long Beach? 8
- Yes, sir, I did. 9
- And at that point in time, did you begin to process a 10 station wagon belonging to the Ryen family that was found 11 in a church parking lot?
- Yes, sir, I did.
- Were you responsible for seizing any evidence from that 14
- car? 15

- The Crime Lab seized evidence. No. 16
- The car was taken back to San Bernardino by June 12th; 17
- is that correct? 18
- Yes, sir. 19
- And you again participated in the processing of the car 20
- on June 12th? 21
- Yes, sir, I did. 22
- Were you responsible for seizing any evidence on the 23
- 12th? 24
- No, sir. 25 Α
- At the time that you were showing Mr. Ogino and 26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

Mr. Gregonis the blood stains outside the master bedroom, did you point out to them the blood stain on the back of the door that led from the hallway out to the living room?

- I don't recall if I did or not.
- At the preliminary hearing, Volume 15, page 21, lines 25 to page 22, line 2, you stated, did you not, that you didn't point the stain out on the afternoon that you recalled and you had no reason why you did not?
- I don't recall pointing it out to them.
- And you had no reason why you didn't?
 - Not offhand.
 - At the preliminary hearing, you testified there is no reason why you did not point it out?
 - Right.
 - When you were consulting with Mr. Gregonis and Mr. Ogino about the blood stains, what did they tell you as far as interpreting was concerned?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: Mr. Negus?

It's not offered for the truth of the MR. NEGUS: matter asserted. It's offered as to his knowledge at the time he was in the process of gathering, collecting and preserving evidence.

THE COURT: His state of mind, his knowledge insofar as it relates to the matter, is that important?

MR. NEGUS: Yes. 1 THE COURT: It just seems to open this wide open. 2 3

Mr. Kochis, I'm being liberal with counsel, but that's the type of issues that we have here. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Basically, I would consult them about the blood pattern, you know, "What does this mean to you?" They would give me, "Well, it had multiple angles and arches, such as on the south wall."

- (BY MR. NEGUS) Did they tell you the significance of that?
- 11 No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

16

- Did you understand the significance of that?
- Well, they showed "Here is an arch; there is an angle 13 that it's going."

I asked them, "How can I describe this?"

- So your main purpose in getting the information was not to interpret but to just describe it in your report?
- Yes. I don't have the expertise to interpret blood patterns.
- As you were doing the crime scene, were you trying to get that information from the experts that you had with you so that you could --
- I would no way try to testify to blood flight. just trying to get a blood droplet, dripping, droplet with a downward tail, dripping.
- So you were not trying to get their expertise to

3-7

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

interpret for you what had happened during the crime, but just merely how to describe the blood patterns?

- That primarily. I think I asked them a question maybe in reference to pointing out maybe where I thought there might have been an artery that was cut which ended up on the wall, blood splatters on the wall.
- While you were doing that, was there a consideration that you were trying to --

In doing the crime scene investigation, the purpose of it is to document physical evidence so that you can determine the evidence that lead to a suspect and evidence which will determine how many suspects there were, that sort of thing; is that correct?

- As part of it.
- And were you trying to determine how many people were involved in the assault, if you could?
- Not through blood, no.
- Just from your examination of the crime scene, was that Q one of the issues that you were trying to resolve?
- Yes, if we could determine if there was more than one person, how many.
- Did you attempt to get any of the Crime Lab people's expertise while they were interpreting these patterns for you in order to try and solve that problem?
- I don't think they were interpreting the patterns as what you are referring to as far as if there was more

than one assailant or so forth. I strictly asked them, you know, on descriptions for my own knowledge for future homicide investigations.

(No omissions.)

3-9

Q Were you, for example, able to determine what rate of distance the various drops of blood on the wall traveled to reach that where their final end was?

- A I could not determine that.
- Q I know you couldn't, but from the criminalists, you were asking them those kinds of questions?
- A No, not at all, not that I recall.

MR. KOCHIS: Would this be an appropriate time for the morning recess, your Honor?

MR. NEGUS: Fine with me.

THE COURT: Before we break, let me make a couple comments -- you can step down, sir -- about what we talked about before.

Mr. Negus and Mr. Kochis, and particularly you,
Mr. Negus, I don't wish in any way to divert you from the
efforts that you're making in this trial. I certainly
don't wish to add to the workload. I think that you,
both of you opposing counsel, are officers of the court,
and you also have some legal ethics which require you to
conduct the court proceedings in a reasonably expeditious
manner. Certainly I did not intend to ask you to sacrifice
any of your client's rights, one side or the other. And
certainly I recognize that this is an unusual case
requiring considerable work, and you show it, both of you.
You're good attorneys, as I've said before. But all the
more reason why I think you should try and simplify where

Ĕ

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26 possible and where consistent with the exercise of your job as advocates.

There is not a thing wrong in the biggest cases that I have, civil or criminal, with opposing counsel trying to simplify issues or avoid issues of uncontested matters. And that's all I was trying to do.

MR. NEGUS: I don't think there are really any issues that can be simplified with respect --

THE COURT: But witnesses, you see, I was simply -a lot of this, the other examination of these witnesses, is just not being lengthy. Mr. Kochis is not contesting much of the testimony that's coming out. They have been examined before, there are police reports before. I just wish, Mr. Negus, that in some way we could use the prior testimony or reports or state a stipulation to try and save us time. I'm simply dismayed at the duration of the trial --

MR. NEGUS: Your Honor, it's not like I haven't thought about it. And I gave it a considerable amount of attention before I decided to do this. I am not prepared, and I think it would jeopardize Mr. Cooper's rights to go ahead on a stipulation with respect to preliminary hearing testimony, which is the only conceivable stipulation that I --

THE COURT: No, I didn't even envision that. Never have I asked you to put in simply the --

THE COURT: I'm apparently fighting a losing battle. But you see, even to avoid your loss of witness impact, that sort of thing, such a stipulation would be "We would stipulate that the testimony at the preliminary hearing may be received subject to further examination," and then you bring out the salient points.

MR. NEGUS: Any other procedure --

MR. NEGUS: I've thought about that and I think that would jeopardize Mr. Cooper's rights.

THE COURT: So be it. Don't worry about it anymore.

I'm not asking you for an offer of proof, but bear in mind

that you don't own the Court, that we all have our

obligations. I at least should encourage it. That's all

I'm trying to do.

MR. NEGUS: Your Honor, if you'll note, I think I've been as reasonably organized as one could possibly be.

THE COURT: You have.

MR. NEGUS: And I'm trying to move as fast as I can.

THE COURT: I'm not sure about that. But at least you do show organization. All right.

(Recess.)

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) The process of fingerprinting the master bedroom, do you recall at what point in time that was done?

1	A I believe it was done after the collection of					
2		evidence, I would say. To be more specific, you'd				
3		have to ask Deputy Duffy.				
4	Q	The dusting wasn't done until, for example, was it				
5		done after or before the collection of blood?				

- Again, you would have to talk to Deputy Duffy. However, I do know that some items of evidence, some items were removed from the bedroom and taken to the patio area and dusted.
- There was a wine glass on the dresser top? 0
- Yes, sir, there was. 11 Α
- And that was done approximately 5:03? 12 0
- I don't know what time he did that. 13 Α
- But he did that -- you pointed out the fingerprint to 14 him; is that correct? 15
- 16 Α Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

- And he went out and lifted a fingerprint from the wine 17 18 glass?
- Yes, sir. He removed it from the bedroom. 19
 - But there was not like fingerprint -- was there a Q fingerprint powder being used in the bedroom on the wall and stuff prior to the removal of the bodies?
- 23 I didn't see it, no.
 - Showing you H-234, is that the diagram that we referred to yesterday which has the dimensions of the room that you did?

25

24

20

21

22

Yes, sir, it does. 1 Α MR. NEGUS: Thank you. Nothing further. 2 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MR. KOCHIS: Detective Hall, were you present with Detective Duffy 6 each and every time he dusted a particular location 7 within the Ryen home and lifted a latent fingerprint? 8 9 No, sir, I was not. A On June the 11th of 1983, were members of the crime 10 lab present when the car was processed in Long Beach? 11 Yes, sir, it was. 12 Α And do you recall which criminalists were present on 13 the 11th? Criminalist Stockwell, Criminalist Ogino. 15 Was a member of the identification bureau also present 16 on the 11th when the car was processed in Long Beach? 17 18 Yes, sir. Α 19 Who was that? Q Deputy Duffy, Deputy or Detective Roper. 20 Α In your presence, did those members of the identifica-21 tion unit dust certain portions of the car and remove 22 latent fingerprints? 23 Well, they dusted for prints, yes, sir. 24 Did they attempt to lift latent prints? . 25 26 Yes, they did.

4-6

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Q Likewise, were members of the crime lab present on June the 12th of 1933 when the station wagon was processed in this county?

- A Yes, sir, it was.
- 5 Q Which members of the crime lab?
- 6 A It would be Criminalist Stockwell, Criminalist Ogino.
- 7 Q In your presence did they remove certain items of evidence from the car on the 12th?
 - A Items of evidence was removed. Whether or not they actually did the seizing, I'm not sure.
 - Q When you first arrived at the scene on Sunday, June the 5th, and entered the master bedroom of the Ryen home, did the blood on the wall appear to you to be wet or dry?
 - A Appeared to be dry.
- 16 Q Was there blood on the furniture inside the master
 17 bedroom of the Ryen home that also appeared to be dry
 18 on Sunday, June the 5th?
- 19 A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you notice any blood that appeared to you to be moist or wet inside the master bedroom on the 5th?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- Q Was that blood located on the bedding on top of the waterbed?
- 25 A Yes, sir.
- 26 Q And in your presence did the crime lab remove the

bedding from the residence on the 5th of June? 1 Yes, sir. 4-7 2 The blood that was on the carpet when you arrived on 3 June the 5th, did some of that blood appear to be dried when you entered the home Sunday afternoon on June the 5 5th? 6 It appeared to be, yes. 7 Was there some blood on the carpet that appeared to you 8 to be moist or do you recall? 9 Yes, there was. Α 10 When you left the residence in the early morning hours 11 on June the 6th at approximately 3:00 a.m., did most 12 of the blood on the carpet appear to be dry? 13 It was drying, most definitely. 14 When you arrived at the Ryen home for the second time 15 on the 6th at approximately 9:30 in the morning, did 16 you see any wet blood on any piece of furniture inside 17 the Ryen master bedroom? 18 I don't recall any, no. 19 Did you see any wet blood on any wall in the Ryen 20 master bedroom? 21 22 Α No. When CCD started to remove the furniture from the Q 23 Ryen master bedroom in the afternoon on Monday, June 24 the 6th, did you see any wet blood on the surface of 25

the carpet?

1	A	If there was, it would have been minimal.					
2	Q	Do you recall seeing any wet blood at that time?					
3	A	Not right offhand, no.					
4	Ω	Did most of the blood well, strike that.					
5		The blood that you saw on the carpet when CCD					
6		started to remove the furniture, did that blood appear					
7	to you to be dry?						
8	A Furniture, yes, sir.						
9	Q The blood on the carpet, from what you saw?						
10	A	From what I saw it would be dry.					
11		MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing further.					
12		MR. NEGUS: One area I neglected.					
13							
14		REDIRECT EXAMINATION					
14 15	BY	REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. NEGUS:					
	BY Q						
15		MR. NEGUS:					
15 16		MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in					
15 16 17	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall?					
15 16 17 18	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall? Yes, sir, there was.					
15 16 17 18 19	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall? Yes, sir, there was. MR. NEGUS: That's all. Nothing further.					
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall? Yes, sir, there was. MR. NEGUS: That's all. Nothing further. MR. KOCHIS: No recross.					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall? Yes, sir, there was. MR. NEGUS: That's all. Nothing further. MR. KOCHIS: No recross. THE COURT: Thank you very much.					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall? Yes, sir, there was. MR. NEGUS: That's all. Nothing further. MR. KOCHIS: No recross. THE COURT: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	MR. NEGUS: Was there any blood above the sliding glass door in the master bedroom along the east wall? Yes, sir, there was. MR. NEGUS: That's all. Nothing further. MR. KOCHIS: No recross. THE COURT: Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. MR. NEGUS: Chick Swanlund.					

CARL SWANLUND,

called as a witness by the Defense, was sworn and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony
you are about to give in the action now pending before
this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
but the truth, so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated. State your name, please, for the record and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Carl Swanlund, S-w-a-n-l-u-n-d.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Mr. Swanlund, what's your occupation?
- A I'm a sergeant with the sheriff's office.
- Q Do you have a particular assignment?
- 18 A Career Criminal Division.
- 19 Q What is the Career Criminal Division?
- 20 A It's a division that was formed to work major career
- 21 | criminal crimes.
- 22 Q WAs that particular division assigned to the Ryen
- 23 homicide?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q At what time?
- 26 A At what time?

Yes. When was it? 0 On Monday, the 6th, I believe it was. 2 4-10 What was your assignment at that time? 3 To assist as needed with the investigation. There was no particular thing that you were asked to 5 6 do? Me specifically or the division? 7 Well, were you the person from your division who was 8 in charge of assisting on that particular day? 9 I was assigned to go to the Ryen residence to assist 10 Α in the investigation, yes. 11 What was your assignment? 12 My assignment was to oversee the Career Criminal 13 Division officers at that location and to assist the 14 investigators if they had questions, that being 15 homicide investigators, if they had guestions regarding 16 anything at the scene. 17 How long were you at the scene on June the 6th? 18 Several hours. I might have the exact amount of time 19 in my report. I don't recall. 20 Feel free to look at your reports whenever you need 21 22 to. I don't have reports regarding the Ryen residence on 23 the first day we went. That was handled by 24 25 homicide.

Were you taking notes while you were out there?

	ſ	
	ſ	
		}
٠		

ſ			
1	A	No, sir.	
2	Q	You weren't taking notes?	
3	A	I had no reason to take notes. It was homicide	
4		division's investigation. At that particular time I	
5		had no reason to take any notes.	
6 (NO OMISSIONS)			
7			
8			
9			
0			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
1			
21			
ı			
I			
- 1			
- 1			
6			
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	2 Q 3 A 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 1 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

- 1 Q You took no notes at all on June 6th?
- 5-1 2 A No, sir.
 - 3 | Q As best you can recollect, you were there how long?
 - 4 A Until late in the evening.
 - 5 Q About what time did you arrive?
 - 6 A Early in the morning, 8, 9, something like that.
 - 7 | Q When you arrived, was Detective Hall already there?
 - 8 A I believe so, yes.
 - 9 0 When you arrived, at some point in the afternoon, did
 - 10 some criminalists arrive?
 - 11 A There were criminalists there, yes.
 - 12 Q That was Mr. Ogino and Mr. Gregonis?
 - 13 A Yes.
 - 14 | Q When they arrived, did you escort them through the house?
 - 15 A The Ryen residence?
 - 16 Q Yes.
 - 17 | A I don't recall specifically escorting them through the
 - house, no.
 - 19 Q Do you recall having any conversation with them in the
 - 20 house about the physical evidence?
 - 21 A There was a point in time that Mr. Ogino and I had some
 - 22 conversation about some blood splatter.
 - 23 | Q Do you remember approximately when that was?
 - 24 A No.
 - 25 | Q At some point in time, did Mr. Clifford come with a
 - 26 search warrant?

I don't recall if he had a search warrant or not. Do you recall Mr. Clifford arriving sometime in the late 2 Q afternoon about 4:15? 3 I recall Mr. Clifford being there in the afternoon. don't know what time he arrived. 5 What was the nature of your conversation with Mr. Ogino 6 about the blood splatter? 7 The possibility of whether the suspect could have been 8 right or left handed. 9 And what were you looking at to try to make that 10 determination? 11 Blood pattern. 12 Α Where? 0 13 On the wall behind the headboard in the master bedroom, 14 on the dresser next to the bed and possibly some just 15 to the east of that on the wall again. 16 How long did that conversation with Mr. Ogino last? 17 Maybe 10 minutes at the outside, probably. 18 Did you have any conversation with the criminalists 19 about the evidence? 20 None that I specifically recall. 21 Was Mr. Kottmeier at the Ryen residence on the afternoon 22 23 of June the 6th? Yes. I believe so. 24 Α

And Mr. Kochis?

25

26

Α

Yes.

```
And Mr. Cardinal?
        Yes.
2
        And Mr. Stout?
    Q
        I believe he was there, also, yes.
    Α
       And those are all either District Attorneys or Deputy
5
        District Attorneys?
6
        Yes, sir.
7
       Was Mr. Gregonis present when you were talking to
8
        Mr. Ogino about the blood splatter?
       He may have been present, but I don't recall that he
10
        was standing right with us during the conversation.
11
       At some point in time, did you determine that your
12
        assistance to Homicide was going to be the removal of
13
        various items from that master bedroom?
        Yes.
15
    Α
        When was that determination made?
16
        I believe it was either on the evening of the 6th or
17
        in the early morning of the 7th.
18
        You mean after you had been there for a day?
    Q
19
        I wasn't at the initial crime scene.
20
        Let's assume that Sunday, June 5th, was the day that the
21
        crime was discovered, and then on Monday, June 6th, was
22
        the first day that you were there?
23
        Right.
24
    Α
        So when was the decision made?
25
            MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I would object.
26
```

5-4

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

for speculation absent foundation on his part. The decision could have been made without him being present. He could have learned that at a later time.

THE COURT: He first started off asking about the sergeant's awareness of that particular responsibility.

So as far as you know, when was the decision made, not when somebody else may have concluded that that was a good thing?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure when the decision was made. We were sent down that morning.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS: When you left the C.C.D. Office here in San Bernardino to go, that was your assignment; is that correct?
- A No. We went to the substation first and we left the substation and were told to go down there.
- Q On Monday, June 6th, you were assigned to go from C.C.D. here in San Bernardino to the West End Substation?
- A Yes.
- Q From the West End Substation to the Ryen residence?
- A Yes.
- Q How many people did you take with you when you went down there?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection. It's not relevant.

THE COURT: But it's foundational.

THE WITNESS: Probably the biggest majority of the unit was assigned to go down there.

.

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) When you say "the unit", does that mean the people that are under your supervision in the C.C.D. or everybody in the C.C.D?

- A The unit is broken down into teams. The unit is the whole compliment minus the captain, lieutenant and secretary and whatever sergeants did not respond.
- 7 Q So it was you as sergeant plus most of the people below the rank of sergeant?
 - A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q When you got there, did you immediately begin the work
 11 of removing the stuff from the master bedroom?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q What were you waiting for?
- 14 A Some decision needed to be made as to how certain items

 15 were going to be taken out and we needed to get the

 16 vehicle there to transport them.
 - Q What decisions needed to be made about how things were going to be taken out?
 - A We had to do certain things with the bed in order to be able to dismantle it and get it outside. We had to decide how to take the carpeting out. There was a lot of stuff in it that had to be moved. How to try to handle it so as not to destroy evidence, et cetera.
 - Q Let's focus on that process.

Who was involved in making those determinations as to, for example, how the carpet was going to be removed

5-5

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

without destroying evidence? 1 I think with the carpet we made a decision that we had 5-6 2 to roll it was the only logical way to get the carpet 3 out of the residence. 4 Who is "we"? 5 Q I believe I had a conversation with one of the Homicide 6 investigators. I don't recall who else was there. 7 Homicide investigator, was that a Homicide investigator 8 at the residence or back at the substation? 9 I think it was at the residence. 10 When you were making this decision, were you personally 11 aware of any procedures established by the Sheriff's 12 Department with respect to the preservation of large items of evidence like carpet? You mean just how to preserve carpet? 15 How to preserve a large object like a carpet or any 16 procedures that would pertain to carpet. 17 Just to preserve a carpet, you would possibly just store 18 19 it. What about a carpet that had blood on it? 20 We don't generally -- In a homicide, we didn't 21 necessarily -- we don't collect that evidence. The 22 Crime Lab collects that evidence and I generally have 23 no contact with them once they remove an item to find 24 out how they are storing it. 25

On this particular occasion, not having had any

experience in doing the work, did you try and find out 1 from them how they did it? 2 No, I didn't. 3 Were you aware of any sort of written materials that the Sheriff's Office had prepared telling you what their 5 policy and procedure was about how to do it? 6 No. Α 7 You indicated when you were in Homicide --8 You were in the Homicide Department for some period 9 of time before you came to C.C.D? 10 Yes. 11 For how long? Q 12 Approximately three and a half years. 13 During that period of time that you were in the Homicide 14 Department, were you aware of any guidelines or policies 15 that the Homicide Department had established with respect 16 to processing crime scenes and large physical evidence? 17 I'm not sure I understand your question. 18 When you were in the Homicide Department, did somebody 19 ever tell you that the Sheriff's Department has a policy 20 or procedure that's the best way to preserve physical 21 evidence from a crime scene? 22 The Crime Lab collects physical evidence. We don't. 23 That's not the question. THE COURT: 24 THE WITNESS: If he is referring to someone coming 25 to me and asking me and they told me the policy, then, no, 26

I don't.

5-8

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Showing you Exhibit H-194, which purports to be parts of rules and regulations, Sheriff's Department, County of San Bernardino, and has to do with crime scenes.

In your capacity in the Sheriff's Office, have you ever been given a copy of that or in any way informed of its content?

- A Yes, I do recall seeing it.
- O When was that?
- A Very early in my career.
- Q When you were in the Detective Division in the Homicide Division, showing you Exhibit H-193, did you ever see those documents?
- A I may have. I'm not sure.
- O And showing you Exhibit H-195, a document apparently coming from the Sheriff's Training Division. It deals with preservation and collection of physical evidence.

Have you ever seen that document?

A I have no independent recollection of that one at all.

(No omissions.)

Q Finally, H-192, copy of a manual that's Sheriff's Department, County of San Bernardino, have you ever seen that document?
A We're in the process of going through this manual now,

- We're in the process of going through this manual now, and I do not believe that I've covered this area yet in this manual.
- O Did you ever obtain from the sheriff's crime lab a document which they make available to deputies entitled Physical Evidence Outlines?
- A Not that I recall.
- Q When you were making the decisions about how to handle the carpet, had you read any literature about the preservation of physical evidence?
- 14 A I'm sure that I have through my career, yes.
- 15 | 0 What?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

- A I haven't got a list of any of them.
- When you were asked to take the carpet, were you informed that the purpose for taking it was so that blood typing could be done on it, trace evidence could be collected from it, and that it could be shown to a jury?
- 22 A Yes to one of them, no to the other two.
 - Q Which is -- which were you told?
 - A My understanding for the purpose of recovering all of the property, including the carpet, was it could be reconstructed for the jury if it needed to be.

	1	Q	Nobody told you anything about preserving blood for
6-2	2		typing?
	3	A	As I recall, I was told that blood had already been
	4		collected.
	5	Q	Who told you that?
	6	A	I believe it was Sergeant Arthur.
	7	Q	What about collecting trace evidence, like hair,
	8		fibers, soil, that sort of thing?
	9	A	I don't specifically recall anything about trace
	10		evidence.
	11	Q	To your knowledge had the carpet been, for example,
	12		vacuumed for trace evidence?
	13	A	I don't have any knowledge as to whether it was or
(14		not.
	15	Q	When you looked at the carpet, did it appear to have
	16		a lot of trace evidence adhering to it, like hair,
	17		fibers, and so forth?
	18	A	I didn't notice any hair or fibers.
	19	Ω	Did it appear to be clean?
	20	A	Obviously not.
	21	Q.	Aside from the blood on it, did it appear to be clean?
-	22	A	I don't know whether it was cleaned or not. I
	23		couldn't tell if it had been vacuumed or not. I wasn't
	24		looking for that.
	25	Q	In seizing the carpet, did you do anything to try and
	26		preserve any fibers that might be adhering to it or

-

did ;	you	just	ignore	that	part?
-------	-----	------	--------	------	-------

- A I don't specifically recall addressing that particular problem.
- What other decisions about preserving evidence did you make besides what to do with the carpet?
- A We dealt with how we were going to try and carry the dresser out so that we didn't damage the blood splatter that was on the dresser. Those are the things I specifically recall.
- Q Did you have any discussions about where to put the stuff once you had gotten it?
- A Yes.
- Q With whom?
- A I had conversation with, I believe, Mr. Ogino, and I'm not sure if we talked with someone that was there from the identification bureau or whether that was addressed to a telephone call to someone else as far as space was concerned.
- What conversation did you have with Mr. Ogino about how to preserve it?
- A The conversation I had with Mr. Ogino had to do with the fact that they did not have room to store the contents of that room at their lab and that we had to find someplace else to put it.
- Q Did you discuss with Mr. Ogino what type of place would be suitable to put it in?

immediately be transported.

I don't recall if we talked about that or not.

At that point in time, were you aware that blood in

Normally if you seize textiles with blood on them,

they are transported to the lab at our earliest

convenience. But I don't know that they have to

Did you determine whether or not the crime lab had

No, he indicated he had not the room to put those

space, for example, to put just the carpet?

Α

don't recall.

1

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Α

Q

Α

Sure.

contents in the lab. 1 2 I understand. Those contents as a whole or any of 3 them. We didn't get into specifics. He just said that that 4 5 property wouldn't fit in the lab and that we had to locate another area for it. 6 Did you tell Mr. Ogino where it was going to be put? 7 8 When we determined where it had to go, he was aware Α of where we were going to store it. 9 Who determined where it had to go? 10 I'm not sure who made the final decision as to where 11 12 it was going to go. 13 Who communicated it to you? Again, I believe it was Sergeant Arthur, but I could 14 15 be mistaken. The place it was going to go was the loft in the 16 I.D. building on Sierra Boulevard or Avenue, whatever 17 it is over there; correct? 18 19 Α True. Were you aware of that building? Had you been in there 20 before the decision was made? 21 I've been in the building prior, yes. 22 Α Are you aware of how hot it gets in the summer? 23 24 A No. When Mr. Ogino and Mr. Gregonis were in the master 25 bedroom, were they working by themselves for a period 26

6-5

.

A COMPANY

of time making measurements and observing the 1 different patterns? 2 As opposed to being with one of the investigators? Α 3 Yes. 4 Q They were by themselves, yes. Α 5 At some point in time that they were busying 6 themselves in the master bedroom, did your men begin 7 the process of dismantling the bedroom? 8 While they were still in the process of looking at 9 what they were looking at? 10 Yes. 11 12 No. Α What point in time did your men begin to dismantle 13 the bedroom? 14 We began to remove property and take things apart 15 after they had satisfied themselves with whatever it 16 was they were doing with the blood patterns. 17 How do you know that? 18 Q Because I recall I asked them is there anything else 19 they wanted to look at. 20 Who did you ask? 21 Q They were both in an area, and I directed it to them. 22 Α I didn't specifically ask Ogino, I I don't know. 23 didn't specifically ask Gregonis. 24 Do you recall what their response was? 25 Not specifically. 26 Α

At that point in time, was Mr. Kottmeier still on the 1 premises? 6-7 2 I don't recall. 3 Did either Mr. Ogino or Mr. Gregonis ever tell you 4 that before you moved anything out they would like 5 additional time to measure, document, photograph, and 6 analyze the different blood patterns in the master 7 bedroom? 8 9 Α No. Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Kottmeier 10 about timing of the moving of the furniture and things 11 from the master bedroom? 12 Not that I specifically recall. 13 Were those items that were removed by your men 14 removed pursuant to a search warrant? 15 I specifically don't recall. 16 Α You had arranged for a couple of your deputies to get 17 a truck and bring it over there that particular 18 afternoon; is that correct? 19 20 Yes, sir. Α And that was Deputies Benge and Hill? 21 I don't recall which deputies brought it over. 22 Α of the deputies from our division did. 23 Did you have to have all the stuff taken out of that 24 bedroom that evening because you had the truck there 25

.

26

that day?

I think we just tried to get it all out in the one Α 2 day. 3 And did you? Yes. 5 What was the first thing that you took out? 6 I have no idea. Was there any sort of plan of attack devised to try 7 8 and take things out in a way so that they would be 9 least likely to have the evidence disturbed in 10 transit? 11 No. 12 At some point in time did you become aware of a 13 decision made to remove portions of the south wall 14 from the master bedroom? 15 Yes, sir. 16 (NO OMISSIONS) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

.

(

When was that? 1 Later the same day, I believe. 7-1 2 Α Later than what? 3 After we had everything removed from the room. Α You think everything else was removed from the room 5 before you became aware of the decision to remove the 6 wall? 7 Before I was aware of it? Α 8 Yes. 9 Q I'm not sure when I became aware of it. 10 Α Who communicated it to you? 11 Mr. Kottmeier. 12 What did Mr. Kottmeier tell you? 13 That he wanted that section of the wall. 14 Did he tell you what he wanted it for? 15 He wanted to preserve the blood patterns. 16 Was there any discussion about how to take it out 17 between you and Mr. Kottmeier? 18 There may have been. I don't recall whether we had a 19 discussion on it or not. 20 Did you decide the actual technology of how to do it 21 yourself? 22 There were some other C.C.D. officers there and we had 23 originally planned to try to take it off one way. It 24 subsequently didn't work, so he we had to do it another 25 way. It was kind of a trial and error until we finally

```
figured out how to get it off the wall.
          1
                  Who were the C.C.D. officers that were involved in that?
7-2
          2
                  Scott Field was assisting me. I'm not sure who the
          3
                  others were.
          4
                  Were the criminalists helping him?
          5
                  I believe Ogino was there.
          6
                  What was the first way you tried to get it off the wall?
          7
                  Tried to take it out in one piece.
          8
                  But that wouldn't work?
          9
                  No.
         10
                  Then you cut it into sections?
         11
                  Yes.
         12
                  Was the rug removed from the room at that point in time?
              Α
                  Yes.
                  Showing you photograph H-66, would you like to change
         15
                  your answer?
         16
                  No.
         17
              Α
                  And H-65?
         18
                  This photograph appears that the carpeting was pulled
         19
                  back away from the wall.
         20
                  But was still in the room; is that correct?
         21
                  It appears so, yes.
         22
              Α
                  Did you get plaster from the wall on the rug in the
         23
                  process of removing it?
         24
                  I don't know.
         25
                  Did you take any precautions to try to prevent that?
         26
```

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

19

20

- A In the photograph, it appears it's pulled back far enough away so it would not get on the carpet.
- Q In the H-66 photograph, there appears to be pieces of it at least on the back side of the carpet; is that correct?
- A There appears to be something, either plaster or wood, yes.
- 7 Q There was also still furniture in the room at the time 8 you were taking the wallboard out; is that correct?
- 9 A I have no idea.
 - Q In the photograph, there appears to be a little nightstand there that you can still see just pulled back from the wall.
- 13 A You are correct.
- 14 Q Who were the C.C.D. officers that were involved in the
 15 actual process of taking stuff out of the master
 16 bedroom?
- 17 A Probably most of the detectives and deputies in the division were probably there.
 - Q By "there", there were people doing searches of fields all over the place on that particular day; is that correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Were all those people then focused into the process of moving this stuff out?
- 25 A The biggest majority of those people were probably
 26 inside that master bedroom removing property.

```
Were there any records kept as to which ones they were?
1
        No, sir.
2
        Did you have any of them write reports on the work that
3
        they did?
        No, sir.
    Α
5
        Did you write a report on the work that they did?
6
        No, sir.
7
    Α
        How many people are in the unit excluding sergeants,
8
        lieutenant and captain and secretary?
9
        There is a total of 24 minus a secretary, captain,
10
        lieutenant. There were probably a total of about 18,
11
        I think.
12
        Were any of those people in the master bedroom before
13
        the work of removal began?
14
        I don't know.
    Α
15
                         It's 12:00.
            MR. NEGUS:
16
                         Okay. 1:30.
            THE COURT:
17
             (Whereupon the noon recess was taken.)
18
                             --000--
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 1984 1:30 O'CLOCK P.M. 2 HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE DEPARTMENT NO. 10 3 (Appearances as heretofore noted.) THE COURT: Please continue. 7 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED 9 BY MR. NEGUS: 10 Were you present when Ogino and Gregonis went into the 11 kitchen and analyzed the blood stain on the side of the 12 refrigerator? 13 MR. KOCHIS: Objection. Vague as to which residence. 14 I'm not sure the sergeant is oriented as to which house he 15 is talking about. 16 (BY MR. NEGUS) At the Ryen residence. 17 Yes. 18 Did that occur at approximately 4:10 in the afternoon? 19 I have no record of the time. 20 After that occurred, did you ever see Gregonis and Ogino 21 go back into the master bedroom? 22 I don't know. 23 Α After, shortly after that occurred, was that the time 24 that your people started moving stuff out? 25 I'm not sure as to the time we started moving property

7-5

26

Α

out of the house. It was still light out? 2 Yes. Α 3 And you started moving it out prior to you sawing up the south wall? 5 Yes. Α 6 Did you start moving it out as soon as the truck got 7 there? 8 Probably shortly after the truck arrived. 9 At the time, then, that you started moving it out, did 10 Mr. Ogino and Mr. Gregonis come back into the room? 11 They were back in the room, but I don't know when they 12 returned to the room. 13 When they got back in the room, did they ever ask you 14 to be able to use the phone? 15 Possibly. Α 16 Was there a phone set up in the stables for the Sheriff's 17 people to use? 18 No. There was an extension in the stables already there. 19 But was that established as the phone that you all were 20 using at the Ryen residence? 21 22 Α Yes. At that point in time, was Mr. Kottmeier still there? 23 Did you say "at what time was he still there"? 24 At the point in time when you guys started moving the 25

stuff out of the house, was Mr. Kottmeier still there?

26

I believe he was still in the area. Do you recall Mr., I think it was McCarty finding some 2 what appeared to be blood spots on the driveway of the 3 residence that afternoon? 4 Α No. 5 Do you recall any of your deputies finding a piece of 6 Q gauze outside the residence on Monday afternoon? 7 I believe there was possibly some gauze located, but I'm 8 not sure whether it was one of my people or someone else. 9 Was one of your people assigned to collect it? 10 I don't recall that. 11 Showing you photograph H-50, do you recognize the people 12 that are depicted in that photograph? 13 I believe all but one. 14 Can you point out who the people are you recognize? 15 McCarty. I believe that's Stroup. Gregonis. Ogino. 16 And this is possibly Bobby Phillips. I'm not sure. 17 Can you put "G.S." next to Mr. Stroup? 18 Yes. 19 And "D.M." next to Mr. McCarty? 20 (Witness complied.) 21 (No omissions.) 22 23 24 25

26

Q Showing you photograph H-89, there's depicted in that person (sic) a person who has an identical appearing shirt to the person that's in the photograph H-50. Can you now tell who that person was?

- A Bobby Phillips.
- Q Okay. Would you put a BP next to that.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the name?

THE WITNESS: Bobby Phillips.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, for the record, I think he said "depicted in that person" and he meant to say "depicted in that photograph."

MR. NEGUS: That's true, I did.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) You put those initials on photograph H-50; is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Seeing that photograph H-50, does it now refresh your recollection as to whether some blood was found at the approximate spot that Mr. McCarty is gesturing with his finger?
- A No.
- Q Do you recall a piece of gauze being found at the approximate spot that Mr. Gregonis and Mr. Ogino are standing back there in the background?
- A Somewhere in that area, yes.
- Q When that piece of gauze was found, did the criminalists then go out to observe it and take it

into physical custody? 1 I believe so. Α 2 When they got through with that work, did they come 3 back to the house? I don't recall. 5 Do you ever recall seeing them in the house again that 6 evening? 7 They were in and out of the area. I'm just not sure Α 8 of the times. 9 How long before you took the wall out had you started Q 10 work removing the furniture from the place? 11 I couldn't give you a good estimate. It was some time. 12 Hours, minutes? 13 Not really. Α 14 While this work was going on, were you in any sort of Q 15 radio communication with the West End substation? 16 We had radios at that location. 17 Were you broadcasting to them like Code 97 from the 18 truck at the scene or things of that nature? 19 I don't recall if we did that or not. Α 20 Did you ever observe Mr. Gregonis and Mr. Ogino to be 21 examining blood splatter patterns after you were 22 taking, moving stuff out of the bedroom? 23 Not that I recall. 24 Were you yourself engaged in the actual dismantling 25 of furniture and carrying it out?

8-2

•	-1	A	Not for the most part, no.
8-3	2	Ũ	What do you mean by that?
	3	A	I may have helped someone carry an item out, but I
	4		don't specifically recall carrying anything out.
	5	Q	While the stuff was being moved out of the bedroom,
	6		were you in the bedroom the whole time or were you
	7		out doing other duties as well?
	8	A	I was in and out of the house.
	9	Q	Where was the truck brought to when you were moving
	10		the stuff out?
	11	A	The driveway is a circular driveway, and it would
	12		have been to just the southernmost portion of the .
	13		driveway.
l	14	Q	Showing you photograph H-4, an aerial photograph, would
	15		that be the area of English Road with the Ryen residence
	16		marked with a red letter R?
	17	A	It would appear so, yes.
	18	Q	And so would the truck have been at approximately the
	19		spot on the diagram that the letter A appears?
	20	A	It could have been a little further to the east,
	21		somewhere in that area.
	22	Q	Was the rug completely dry at the time that it was
	23		moved out of the house?
	24	A	It appeared to be.
	25	Q	Were there any heavy concentrations of blood on the
	26		21143

~

Α Yes, sir. 2 Had some of them soaked through to the back? Q 8-4 3 Α Yes. 4 Did you feel those to see whether or not they seemed Q 5 to be damp? 6 Α No. 7 Q Did you assign anybody else to do that? 8 A No. Did you do anything to attempt to dry out the rug 9 10 before it was rolled up? 11 Α No. 12 Were you aware in June that if a blood-soaked textile 13 object is not properly dried that it will mildew? 14 A No. When you were consulting with Mr. Ogino about the 15 splatter patterns on the wall, one of the walls that 16 you used to make your interpretation was the east wall 17 between the south wall and the sliding glass door; is 18 19 that correct? Yes, we discussed those patterns. 20 Could the same techniques that were used to remove 21 the south wall have been used to remove that portion 22 23 of the east wall? 24 Yes.

Did you discuss doing that?

25

26

Q

A

No.

1	_	and the state of t			
1	Q	Did you ever discuss it with Mr. Ogino, whether or			
2		not he wanted those particular patterns removed?			
3	A	I don't believe so.			
4	Q	When Mr. Kottmeier communicated to you that he wanted			
5		the south wall taken, did you then call Sergeant Arthur			
6		on the phone?			
7	A	Yes, I did.			
8	Q	And did you at that point in time tell Sergeant Arthur			
9		that you might have to spend several days at the crime			
10	scene in order to do all the work that you needed to				
11	do?				
12		MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I would object as calling			
13	for hearsay and not being relevant, that particular				
14	conversation.				
15		THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.			
16	•	THE WITNESS: I don't recall that portion of the			
17	conversation.				
18	Ŏ	(BY MR. NEGUS) On December 10th, 1983, did you read			
19		an article in the Daily Report concerning the			
20		testimony of Dan Gregonis at the preliminary hearing?			
21	A	No.			
22	Q	Did you ever read such an article?			
23	A	I don't believe I ever read the article, no.			
24	Q	Did you hear about the article?			
25	A	A Yes.			
26	Q	Was what you heard about the article that Dan Gregonis			

had testified that he wanted more time to examine the crime scene and that he said you wouldn't let him?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection, calls for hearsay, and how is that relevant to what he hears after December the 10th?

THE COURT: Yes, sustained.

MR. NEGUS: I think it goes to bias, your Honor.

THE COURT: This happened so long afterwards, Counsel, I fail to see how it goes to bias.

MR. NEGUS: It goes to his bias in testifying.

THE COURT: His bias today?

MR. NEGUS: Yes, and also --

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Well, after reading the arciel, whatever, hearing about the article, whatever it was, did you get ahold of Sergeant Arthur?

MR. KOCHIS: I'm going to object. It's compound, it assumes a fact not in evidence. He's testified that he never read the article.

MR. NEGUS: I changed the question to hearing about the article.

THE COURT: Perhaps on credibility it may be relevant. To that extent, I'll permit the last question.

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) After you heard about the article, did you get in touch with Sergeant Arthur?

A Yes.

Q Did you tell him that you were mad about the article?

MR. KOCHIS: Same objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I told him I was upset about it.

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) And you were upset about an allegation you'd heard that Mr. Gregonis had said that you hadn't given him enough time?

MR. KOCHIS: Well, your Honor, I'm going to object. That assumes a fact that's not in evidence, because we're dealing with a newspaper article, not a report. And there's no foundation as to the contents of that article or what he's heard or whether or not it's accurate.

THE COURT: I'm only taking it as far as it may go upon his credibility, believability, at this date, bias, interest.

MR. NEGUS: If Mr. Kochis wants, I think I have a copy of the news article.

THE COURT: I don't know as it's particularly important.

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) I think the question was, was that which made you upset and what you heard about the article, the allegation that Mr. Gregonis had purportedly said that you didn't give him enough time to analyze this thing?

A Yes.

Q At that point in time, did you have any written documents that you could refer to to refresh your recollection about what had happened?

A Regarding having a conversation with him?

Q Regarding what had happened on the afternoon of June 6th.

A No.

Q Did you have a conversation with Sergeant Arthur about what had happened on June 6th?

A Yes.

Q And that was after you heard about the article?

A Yes.

(NO OMISSIONS)

Q In that conversation, do you remember telling
Sergeant Arthur that you had called him on the 6th to
let Sergeant Arthur know there was possibility of the
need for being at the residence for several days in
regard to removing the items?

- A I still don't recall talking to him about that.
- Q During that conversation that you had with Sergeant Arthur on June 6th when you called to tell him about what Mr. Kottmeier wanted, do you recall him saying anything with respect to how quickly the work needed to be done?
- 11 A No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 12 Q Do you recall Mr. Kottmeier, when you talked to him
 13 when he made his request, saying anything about how
 14 quickly the work needed to be done?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Was your memory when you were talking to Sergeant Arthur

 17 on December 10 sketchy as to the different events that

 18 occurred on June the 6th?
- 19 A Possibly at the specific conversation. Is that what 20 you are referring to?
- 21 Q As to specific conversations, order of events, the time 22 things happened, who was there?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Similar to what it is today?
- 25 A Possibly.
- 26 Q Do you remember telling Sergeant Arthur on December 10

```
that you and Ogino had discussed the possibility of
        seizing that east wall?
2
        Not specifically.
3
        On June 6th was Sheriff Tidwell at the Ryen residence?
4
        I believe he was.
5
        Do you remember what period of time he was there?
6
7
        No, sir.
        Did you ever have any conversations with Sheriff Tidwell
8
        about removing items from the master bedroom?
9
        It's possible. I don't recall.
10
        Do you recall whether or not Mr. Kottmeier's request to
11
        you to take the wall was made in the afternoon or in
12
        the morning?
13
        I would think that it would have been noon or after,
14
        possibly.
15
        I didn't hear you.
16
        Noon or after, possibly.
17
        And once that request was made to you by Mr. Kottmeier,
18
        did you then relatively within a few minutes call
19
        Sergeant Arthur to discuss it with him?
20
21
        Yes.
        You didn't wait several hours after you talked to
22
        Mr. Kottmeier to call Sergeant Arthur?
23
        No.
24
        And Sergeant Arthur told you to take the wall; is that
25
        correct?
26
```

1 A That's correct.
2 Q Did you ever have any conversations with Bill Baird
3 about removing any of the evidence on the 6th?
4 A I don't recall.

What precautions were taken in removing the different items of furniture and putting them on the truck so as not to disturb the dried blood that was on it?

8 A Basically, the way they were handled, carried.

9 Q In other words, they were not put in any protective 10 covers or anything of that nature?

11 A They weren't wrapped or anything, no.

12 Q Were they tied down in the truck?

13 A No. It was a closed truck.

14 Q They just put them on the floor of the truck not tied

15 down?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Were they put up against one another?

18 A I don't specifically recall anything shoved up against 19 one another. However, I did not get into the truck.

20 Q Do you know who was in the truck?

21 A Several of the deputies were carrying the property out.

22 Q Who?

26

23 A I didn't see the bodies. Several of the deputies were
24 carrying the property out and putting it in the back of
25 the truck as they carried it out.

Q Do you know the identity of the other 17 deputies that

were there that day?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That assumes a fact not in evidence. He testified that a majority of the people were there, not all of them.

MR. NEGUS: There were 24 total and I think we got it down to 18 that were there.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. We shouldn't have to go back and recalculate mathematically. Put it in a general sense.

(BY MR. NEGUS) Do you recall the names of the deputies that were there that day?

THE COURT: Does he have to do it once again? We have gone through it. We can search the record again.

MR. NEGUS: There is no record. That's the problem, your Honor. The reason I'm having him do it this way is there is no record.

THE COURT: He testified to it before.

MR. KOCHIS: Not this witness. He mentioned three people.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MR. NEGUS: If I had the records, I wouldn't do it this way, I assure you.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Who was there?
- A I recall Gary Stroup, Scott Fields, I believe Harvey walker was there. I believe Coronado was there. I believe Tesselaar was there. McCarty. Phillips.

9-4

```
If I didn't say Stroup, Stroup was there.
            I believe Smith was there. I believe Hill was there.
2
        And I'm sure I've missed some.
3
        Was Benge there?
        I believe so.
        Acevedo?
        I believe Acevedo was there, also.
        Moran?
8
        He may have been. I don't specifically recall.
    Α
9
        Robert Hall?
10
        Again, he may have been. I don't specifically recall.
11
        Mascetti?
12
        I believe Mascetti was there.
13
    Α
        Hagen?
    Q
14
        Yes, I think he was there.
    Α
15
        Can you recall anybody from the unit that wasn't there?
16.
        The lieutenant, the captain. I don't believe Mauldin
17
        nor English nor Zinner were there. The secretary wasn't
18
        there.
19
        Was the rug rolled up inside the house and then taken
20
        directly into the truck?
21
        No.
22
    Α
        Where was it rolled up?
23
        The rug was rolled up inside the house. It was rolled
24
        up, removed from the residence and set on the grass for
25
        a short period of time.
26
```

```
Q
                  Was the grass damp?
9-6
                  Not that I recall.
          2
                  Was the rug from the bathroom removed before the rug
          3
                  from the bedroom?
                  If I recall correctly, it was all removed in one piece,
          5
                  I believe.
          6
                  The items like there was also removed besides the
          7
                  furniture an exercise bicycle, exercise board, ironing
          8
                  board, various clothing items; is that correct?
          9
                  I believe there were some of those removed, yes.
         10
                  Everything that remained in the bedroom was removed; is
         11
                  that correct?
         12
                  There were closets that weren't emptied.
         13
                  Anything else besides the closets?
         14
                  I don't believe so.
         15
                  When the exercise bike and those type items were removed,
         16
                  was there anything special done to wrap them or protect
         17
                  them?
         18
                  I don't believe anything was wrapped.
         19
                  Was anything special done to protect them?
         20
         21
                  No, sir.
              Α
                  At some later time after June the 6th, was there a
         22
                  supplemental request made that you remove various doors
         23
                  and things?
         24
```

25

26

Yes.

Was that request made to you?

Yes.

9-7

By whom? 2

I don't recall if it was directly from Mr. Kottmeier or 3 from Mr. Kottmeier through Sergeant Arthur. 4

- What was it that was requested be removed? 5
- Some doors and some jamb frames. 6
- Were there specific doors that were named or was it 7 placed in terms of any door that had blood on it? 8
- Specific doors. 9
- Did you ever get a request from either Sergeant Arthur 10 or Mr. Kottmeier to remove any doors or frames that had 11 blood on them? 12
- Yes. 13
- Any door? 14
- No. I misunderstood you. No. I was requested to take 15 specific doors that had blood stains on them. 16
- But you also left doors in the place that had blood 17 stains on them; is that correct? 18
- Α Yes. 19
- And that was because you weren't requested to take those 20 doors? 21
- Α That's right. 22
- Showing you two photographs, H-174 and H-175, there 23 appears to be a doorway from the hallway into the 24 living room. That door with some finger stains on it, 25 was that one of the doors that you did not take? 26

Yes. Likewise, the doors on the closet which is depicted in 9-8 photograph H-110, the closet with the women's clothes in it, you didn't take those doors, either; is that correct? No. (No omissions.)

....

And you likewise did not take the sliding glass Q 1 doors that are shown slightly to the right of 10-1 2 Mr. Kochis in photograph H-49; is that correct? 3 That's correct. Α 4 And the sliding glass doors had blood on them, did Q 5 they not? 6 Yes. Α 7 And the closet doors in H-110, those to the left had Q 8 blood on them; is that correct? 9 I don't specifically recall whether those doors did Α 10 or not. 11 Showing you photograph H-109, would that refresh your Q 12 recollection that they did in fact have blood on them? 13 Yes, it appears that it does. Α 14 When you were taking the doors, were you just Q 15 following orders or were you exercising independent 16 judgment? 17 I was following orders. Α 18 When you were told to take some of the doors that had Ω 19 blood on them, did you inform whoever it was that was 20 telling you that that there were other doors that had 21 blood on them? 22 Not that I recall. Α 23 Were you asked? Q 24 Not that I recall. Α 25

On the night of June the 8th, was the Ryen house

26

Q

sprayed with luminol? 1 Yes. 10-2 Α 2 At whose direction was that done? 3 I was at the location with them, but I don't recall who specifically said have it done. 5 Did you participate in the decision to do it? Were 6 you there when it was being made? 7 Not that I recall. 8 Did you ever have any discussion as to whether or not 9 spraying the place with luminol would have the effect 10 of destroying evidence? 11 Not that I recall. 12 Who made the decision as to what parts of the house to 13 spray with luminol? 14 I don't know. Α 15 Were some of the areas of the house that were sprayed 16 with luminol areas that had already visible blood on 17 them? 18 Again, I don't recall whether they had blood on them 19 20 or not. Do you recall seeing some blood on the north sink in 21 the master bathroom? 22 I believe there was some traces that could have been 23 Α diffused blood. 24 Do you remember if those traces were sprayed with 25 luminol? 26

(~

They were. Α 1 Does H-166 depict some of those traces? 2 3 Α Yes. Who was actually doing the processing of the bathroom 4 with luminol? 5 I believe it was Ogino. Α 6 Were there any discussions that you heard that spraying 7 that bathroom would make that blood that was visible 8 untypeable? 9 No, I don't think so. 10 Did you see any attempt to collect that blood prior to 11 it being sprayed with luminol? 12 No. Α 13 While the luminol processing was going on, was there 14 anybody there from the crime lab that specializes in 15 serology? . 16 To be honest, I'm not sure what any of their 17 specialties are. 18 Do you know which division or part of the sheriff's 19 department made the decision to spray with luminol? 20 I mean, I.D., homicide, CCD, crime lab? 21 It wasn't CCD, but no, I don't. 22 Α From June 6th to June 9th, were you basically -- or 23 June 6th to June 10th, were you basically in charge 24 of the house, had the keys to it, and were responsible 25 for its security? 26

A Yes. 1 During that period of time, were sheriff's personnel 10-4 2 at the scene using bathrooms inside the Ryen 3 residence? 4 I don't know. 5 Were sheriff's personnel eating inside the Ryen 6 residence? 7 I don't know that either. 8 On June the 6th, were any photographers from the Q 9 press brought to the Ryen residence? 10 I believe there were photographers there, yes. 11 Were you as the person in charge of the residence Q 12 consulted about them coming before they arrived? 13 I don't believe so. Α 14 On June the 8th, were there likewise again photo-Q 15 graphers at the Ryen residence? 16 I don't know about the 8th. I'm not --Α 17 Do you recall a day in which the photographers came Q 18 and actually went inside the master bedroom? 19 I believe we discussed that before, and I think that 20 Α was -- yes, I think that was a day when they were 21 inside the residence. 22 That day that they were inside the residence, did you Q 23 appear on television that night with a shot of you 24 sliding the screen door closed in front of 25

26

photographers?

Α If I did, I didn't see it. 2 10-5 That day that the photographers went inside the 3 master bedroom, were you consulted about them coming 4 before that occasion? 5 About them coming in the residence? 6 0 Yes. 7 Α Not that I recall. 8 When you seized the carpet, were you aware that you Q 9 needed to dry it? 10 That I needed to dry that carpet? Α 11 0 Yes. 12 No. 13 Were you aware that you needed to dry carpets in 14 general? 15 I know that they should be dry, yes. 16 Did you plan after transporting the carpet to open it 17 back up to allow it to dry? 18 MR. KOCHIS: Well, your Honor, I'm going to 19 object. That assumes a fact that's not in evidence, and 20 that is that the carpet was wet. This witness has 21 testified that his recollection was it was dry before it 22 was removed from the house. 23 THE COURT: Lay a better foundation. 24 MR. NEGUS: I don't think it assumes a fact not 25 in evidence. The question was did he plan after

transporting the carpet back to where it would be stored

÷

to open it back up to allow it to dry. I don't think that 1 assumes a fact one way or the other, just talked about 10-6 2 what he planned. 3 THE COURT: It talks about a state of mind after moving the carpet. 5 MR. KOCHIS: He had to assume to allow it to dry. 6 If it's dried, there's nothing to unroll to dry at the 7 I.D. bureau. 8 THE COURT: We can go into it in more detail in 9 further questioning. Overruled. 10 Had you made any such plans? 11 THE WITNESS: We planned on after transporting it 12 taking it to its location, opening it back up, laying it 13 back out. 14 (BY MR. NEGUS) To allow it to dry? 15 I don't specifically recall planning to allow it to 16 dry. I specifically recall having some conversation, 17 I believe it was with Ogino, that we wanted to lay it 18 back out fully open. 19 Do you recall testifying in Volume 15, page 58, 20 line 9, that --21 MR. KOCHIS: If I could just have a minute, your 22 Honor. 23 I have it. 24 (BY MR. NEGUS) -- you planned to after transporting 0 25

it back up to open it up and allow it to dry?

I said that. 10-7 And do you recall being asked if that was because the reason for that was there was still blood on the carpet and answering yes? That there was still blood on the carpet, yes. (NO OMISSIONS)

```
1 Q And that you weren't sure why but that you knew that you ll-l 2 needed to dry it?
3 A I also said that, yes.
```

- What did you do once it got transported to the place where it was going to be stored to open up the carpet and allow it to dry?
 - 7 A What did I do?
 - 8 Q Yes. Did you do that?
 - 9 A I didn't go with the carpet to do anything.
- 10 | Q Your men did, right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And your men were essentially doing what you told them to do; is that correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Did you tell your men to do anything to open up the carpet to allow it to dry?
- 17 A I don't believe that I told them when they got it there
 18 to open it up and allow it to dry.
- 19 Q Did you ever send them back later to do that?
- 20 A No.
- Q Did you ever check up on the carpet to make sure that that step had been taken?
- 23 A NO.
- 24 Q Why not?
- 25 A That particular evidence was the Crime Lab's
 26 responsibility. We simply transported it for them.

Why was it the Crime Lab's responsibility? The Crime Lab is the one that picks up physical evidence 2 at a homicide scene. 3 In this particular case, there wasn't any Crime Lab folks at the spot you delivered the evidence to, right? 5 That's correct. 6 And the Crime Lab people didn't make the decision to 7 seize the evidence; is that correct? 8 I don't know who made the decision. 9 You weren't told by any Crime Lab people what to take, 10 right? 11 No. 12 The Crime Lab people at the scene were working under 13 your direction helping you to dismantle some of the 14 stuff, right? 15 No. 16 Didn't Ogino and Gregonis help your people dismantle 17 the bed? 18 They may have. 19 And that was essentially you guys were in charge of 20 doing that, right? 21 Yes, but I didn't direct them to do anything. 22 They just pitched in? 23

But the dismantling of the bed was being done under

your direction? You were responsible for getting that

I would assume so.

24

25

26

.

11-2

ر از ارسمندها از این ساله د داشه خوسانیان آسانیان در

```
work done and making sure it was done right?
           1
                   I didn't supervise the dismantling of the bed. It was
11-3
           2
                   being done by us with the Homicide unit.
           3
                   Weren't you responsible for all the C.C.D. people that
                   were at the scene there?
           5
                   Basically.
           6
                   So you were responsible for whatever your people did
           7
                   whether you stood over their shoulder and watched them
           8
                   or not, right?
           9
                   I suppose so.
           10
                   And that would include responsibility for them taking
           11
                   apart the bed in a responsible, correct and nondestructive
           12
                   manner; is that right?
           13
                   I suppose so.
           14
                   The same as far as getting the carpet to some place of
           15
                   safety where it could be preserved properly; is that
           16
                   correct?
           17
                   Yes, sir.
               Α
           18
                   Did you ever communicate to Bill Baird or anybody in
           19
                   the Crime Lab what you had done with the carpet once
           20
                   you moved it?
           21
                   I don't believe so.
           22
                   Did you ever tell anybody that, "Hey, we took it there
           23
                   but we didn't unroll it to allow it to dry out"?
           24
                   Not that I recall.
           25
                   And you never submitted in your reports what you did
```

```
with it so people could read and see what you had done,
1
        right?
2
        No.
    Α
3
        Why didn't you do that?
4
        I wasn't responsible for those reports. The reports
5
        were being prepared by the Homicide Division. We were
6
        simply doing what they told us to do.
7
        Your position is you were not responsible for any
8
        report -- Did you report to the Homicide people as to
9
        what you had done? Did you tell Mike Hall that you
10
        hadn't unrolled the carpet to allow it to dry?
11
        I don't recall telling anyone I did not unroll the
    Α
12
        carpet.
13
        So why didn't you tell them?
14
        I don't recall anyone telling me that they did not unroll
15
        the carpet.
16
        Let's assume -- Did you tell --
17
            There were two people with the truck, right?
18
    Α
        Yes.
19
        And they were partners one of another?
20
        From the same division, yes.
    Α
21
        So your people divide up into partners?
22
        Generally.
    Α
23
        Were these two people partners?
24
        Who were they, again? I don't recall them.
25
        Let's assume they were Benge and Hill.
```

- 1 A If it was Benge and Hill at that time, they were
 2 partners, yes.
- Q Did you tell them that your plan was that once you got it to the place that it was going to be stored at, that it would be unrolled and allowed to dry?
- 6 A I don't remember.
- 7 Q When you rolled up the carpet, did you put any paper on 8 it to prevent transfer of trace evidence from one surface 9 to another?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Why not?
- 12 A Two reasons. One, I didn't have any paper. And secondly, I didn't think of it.
- 14 Q Paper was available to you if you had wanted it; correct?
- 15 A I would suppose within reason.
- 16 Q The Jacuzzi cover which you can see at least portions of 17 in photograph H-27, did you remove that Jacuzzi cover?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q When did you remove that?
- 20 A I believe it was on the 9th.
- 21 Q Who asked you to remove that?
- 22 A I believe Sergeant Arthur did.
- 23 Q Was that transported to the same spot as the furniture?
- 24 | A I can only assume that it was.
- 25 Q You told somebody to take it there?
- 26 A Yes.

Do you remember whom? The detective that went with them was Detective Stroup 11-6 2 and there was a civilian employee of the Sheriff's 3 Office that drove the vehicle. 4 On June the 7th, did you go to a vacant residence at 5 2991 English Road which was some hundred and some-odd 6 yards to the east of the Ryen residence? 7 Yes. 8 Α And were you in charge of processing that particular 9 house for evidence? 10 I was in charge of doing the crime scene investigation 11 12 of that residence, yes. What does that entail? 13 Diagramming the residence, recovering -- I shouldn't 14 say recovering. The Crime Lab recovers evidence --15 preparing reports, describing the residence, physical 16 evidence, what I observed at the scene. 17 Did you have the same general responsibilities that a 18 Homicide detective in charge of the scene would have? 19 20 Yes. Did that include making sure that all physical evidence 21 that might have some evidentiary value was removed from 22 23 the house? 24 Yes. In that house, were there found any brown corduroy 25 pants with blood on them? 26

: -

```
I don't believe so.
1
        Did you find any bloody pants inside the house?
    Q
2
    Α
        No.
3
        Did you ever tell anybody that you found some?
4
    Α
        No.
5
        Do you know a man named Chico Rosales?
6
7
    Α
        Yes.
        Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Rosales
8
        about what you had found inside the house?
9
        I may have. I don't recall.
10
        Did you ever have any conversations with Sheriff Tidwell
11
        about what you had found inside the house?
12
        I'm sure I did.
13
        Did you ever have any conversations with Captain Philip
14
        Schuyler about what you had found inside the house?
15
        I'm sure I did.
16
        But you have never told them anything about corduroy
17
        pants with blood on them?
18
19
        No.
        Did you ever tell any of those three individuals about
20
        a can of half eaten food found inside of the house?
21
            MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, at this point I would object
22
    to that because it's hearsay. It's not inconsistent with
23
    anything he has testified to in these proceedings.
24
            MR. NEGUS: I will withdraw the question.
25
         (BY MR. NEGUS) Did you find a can of half eaten food
26
    0
```

· .

```
inside the residence?
        I don't recall that I did.
2
        Did you ever tell Captain Schuyler, Mr. Rosales and
3
        Sheriff Tidwell that you had found such a can?
        No, not that I recall.
5
        Did you find any partially washed clothing -- Was there
6
        a washer-dryer in the kitchen area of the house?
7
        Yes.
8
        Did you find any partially washed clothing in that
9
        washer-dryer?
10
        No, sir.
11
        Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford from Homicide at the
12
        time that you had found such partially washed clothing
13
        inside 2991?
14
        No.
15
    A
        Did you ever tell Sheriff Tidwell, Mr. Rosales,
16
        Mr. Schuyler, that you found such clothes?
17.
        No-
18
    A
        Near a light switch on the --
19
            Back up.
20
            Was there a counter area in the living room of the
21
        residence at 2991?
22
23
        Yes.
    Α
        And at the end of that counter area, was there a light
24
        switch?
25
26
        Yes.
    A
```

```
Near that light switch, did you see anything that
           1
                   appeared to be blood stains?
11-9
           2
                   Yes.
           3
                   Did you, in your report -- Well, did you take notes
                   of your investigation of the residence at 2991 English
           5
           6
                   Road?
           7
               Α
                   Yes.
                   Do you still have those notes?
           8
               Q
           9
                   What happened to them?
           10
                   I destroyed them.
           11
           12
               Q
                   When?
                   Some time ago.
           13
                   How long ago?
           14
                   I don't recall how long ago. It has been some time.
           15
                   Why did you destroy them?
           16
                   I generally destroy my notes.
           17
           18
                   Why?
                   Once I reduce them to typed interviews, whatever they
           19
                   are, then compare them, if they compare, I destroy
           20
           21
                    them.
                   In your typed report, is there any mention of that blood
           22
                   near the light switch?
           23
           24
                   No, sir.
```

25

26

Why not?

I don't know.

Showing you Exhibit S-32, does that appear to be a diagram of the residence at 2991? 2 Yes. 3 And was the blood that you saw on the wall somewhere near the area which has been circled in red there? 5 Yes. Α 6 Did you put that circle there at the motion to suppress? 7 I believe I did. 8 Were Mr. Ogino and Mr. Stockwell from the Crime Lab 9 the persons that were taking evidence or packaging the 10 evidence that was found inside 2991? 11 Α Yes. 12 Did you point out those blood smears to either 13 Mr. Ogino or Mr. Stockwell? 14 As I recall, I did, yes. 15 To which one, if you recall? 16 I believe it was Ogino. 17 Did he ever do, in your presence, any presumptive tests 18 on that to determine whether or not it was blood? 19 I believe so. . 20 (No omissions. 21 22 23 24 25 26

And that's a presumptive color test where he takes 1 a little bit and puts it on a piece of filter paper 12-1 2 and sees if it changes color? 3 Yes. Α Was the result of that test positive for a presumptive 5 test for blood? 6 7 As I recall it was. Did you ever see Mr. Ogino take that blood, put it in 8 a little container of some sort? 9 At this point, I don't recall if he took it or not or 10 if I saw him take it. 11 Can you describe generally the size of the blood spot? 12 Q As I recall, it wasn't very large. Could be guessing, 13 something quarter size or something like that. It 14 wasn't very big or very much of it. 15 The size of a 25-cent quarter, you mean? 16 Q Not covering that entire area, but it was within that 17 Α 18 area. Do you recall what its shape was? 19 Q 20 No, sir. Α Did it appear to be like -- could you tell whether it 21 was like a finger impression or a drop or a splatter 22 23 or --As I recall, it was a smear type, but I don't know 24 Α 25 what caused it.

I mean, did it have any significant features like

Q

```
1
         ridge patterns or anything of that nature?
2
     Α
         No.
         In the residence at 2991, did you discover any foot
3
         impressions?
5
         Yes.
6
         Shoe impressions?
7
     Α
         Yes.
8
         How many?
9
         There were two that I recall.
     Α
10
         Where, if you could indicate on the diagram with a
         green grease pencil with a little X, were they?
11
         There was one in the area between the game room and
12
         the family room somewhere in this area, and there was
13
         a second on the tile in the entryway. And I do not
14
15
         recall exactly where that was.
                                          I think it was
16
         somewhere in this area.
         Somewhere near what was referred to as the front door
17
18
         at the south of the residence?
19
         Yes.
20
             MR. NEGUS: Could we take a break now? There's
21
     a few exhibits I have to fish out.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.
23
              (Recess.)
24
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
25
                          The footprint that you got in the
          (BY MR. NEGUS)
         game room, was that footprint photographed?
26
```

There's no foundation on his part unless he's prepared those.

THE COURT: If you can, you can answer it. If
you know of your own knowledge. Otherwise, I'll sustain
the objection.

THE WITNESS: I can't answer.

THE COURT: You don't know?

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Did you assign Miss Smith to do drawings of footprints in the English Road residence?
- A Yes.
- I'm asking you to look at Exhibit MM -- excuse me,
 H-237, a page that's been numbered as B in the upper
 right-hand corner and page 775 in the bottom righthand corner. Did you see a footprint such as
 depicted on that drawing in the 2991 residence?

THE COURT: Mr. Kochis, it occurs to me, however, that as opposed to objecting if you could stipulate based upon your knowledge of what further witnesses might testify to as to the source of the footprint, it would save us perhaps from calling further witnesses.

MR. NEGUS: I doubt it.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, he's going to call Marti Smith regardless of what I do with this witness.

THE COURT: Okay.

200 mm

t 12-5 MR. NEGUS: And this is foundational to some other questions and that's why I'm doing it.

MR. KOCHIS: Well, your Honor, I could stipulate that this exhibit was used at the preliminary hearing and Martha Smith testified at the preliminary hearing that these were shoe print impressions she recorded either on top of the Jacuzzi cover or inside the 2991 Lease residence.

MR. NEGUS: It's my understanding it takes longer stipulating to it than doing it, because I have to ask the questions anyway.

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Negus.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Did you see such a footprint as shown in Exhibit H-237, page 775, in the 2991 residence?
- · A I don't recall seeing it.
- Q Did you see a footprint such as shown on page 776 of the same exhibit in the Ryen residence?
- A I don't recall seeing that either.
- Q Did you see a footprint such as shown on page 777 of the same exhibit in the 2991 residence?
- A No.
- Q I think I said Ryen residence as to page 776. But did you see that footprint in the 2991 residence?
- A Not that I recall.
- 26 Other than the two photographs which you've already

identified, did you cause any other footprints in 1 2 the 2991 to be photographed? 3 Not that I recall. Did you see any footprints on the spa at 2943 English 4 5 Road, the Ryen residence? 6 MR. KOCHIS: Objection, vague as to time. THE COURT: All right. Sustained. 7 8 (BY MR. NEGUS) At any time. 9 Α Yes. When was the first time that you noticed footprints on 10 11 that spa? 12 Either the 7th or the 8th. 13 When you noticed them --Let me clarify that. It was either the 6th or the 14 Α 15 7th, I believe. Do you recall testifying at the preliminary hearing 16 that you first saw the footprint on June 7th? 17 MR. KOCHIS: If I could have a volume and page 18 19 number, your Honor. MR. NEGUS: I didn't figure it out. I was just 20 asking the question. I think it's Volume 15, starting 21 from page 78, line 18, all the way over to 79, line 3. 22 (BY MR. NEGUS) Do you recall first stating at the 23 preliminary hearing that it was the 7th or the 8th 24 and then coming to the conclusion it was probably 25

12-6

26

the 7th?

I don't specifically recall it, no, but if you say I 1 said it, I did. 2 Was the date that you became aware of the footprints 3 on the spa the same day as the vacant residence at 4 2991 English Road was discovered? 5 It's possible. 6 After you became aware of the footprints on the spa --7 how many footprints were you aware of on the spa? 8 There were several, but I don't -- maybe three. 9 Did you assign Marti Smith to draw pictures of them 10 on the morning of the 8th? 11 Yes. Α 12 And did you have those footprints photographed? 13 I think they were photographed, yes. Α 14 Did you request somebody from I.D. to do it? 15 I don't recall if I requested somebody to go up there 16 or not. 17 If you'd look at the pages that have Number 1 and 2 18 in the upper right-hand corner on that Exhibit H-237, 19 do those appear to be the footprints that you saw, 20 two of the footprints that you saw on the spa? 21 It's possible, yes. 22 And does the one that has the number up at the upper 23 right-hand corner that has the address 2991 on it 24 appear to be consistent with another one of the 25 footprints that you saw on the spa? 26

A	I'm not sure which one you're talking about.
Q	There's one that has a
A	The one with the number 1, or the one of the two you
	gave me to look at?
Q	Excuse me. The one with the A on top in the 2991.
	Does that appear to be consistent with one of the
	ones you saw on the spa?
A	Yes.
	(NO OMISSIONS)
	•
	·
	·
	·
	Q A Q

```
1 Q Was there any attempt made to lift those footprints
2 off the spa, physically remove the impressions?
```

A Not that I'm aware of.

When you removed the spa cover, did you do it in such a way that those impressions were preserved?

6 A Yes.

3

13-1

7 Q What steps did you take to make sure that they remained on the spa cover?

g A That they remained on the spa cover?

10 Q Yes.

11 A Made sure we didn't stack anything against them while
12 they were transported. I don't know what happened to
13 them once they got to San Bernardino.

14 Q You told Mr. Stroup to take them to the I.D. office?

15 A Yes.

16 Q While you had control of the residence at 2991 English
17 Road, did you have anybody posted at the doors to keep
18 them out?

19 A No.

20 Q Did you take lists, keep lists of who went in and out?

21 A No.

22 Q Did you have anybody else do that?

23 A No.

Q Do you have any knowledge of how many people went into that room?

26 A No.

```
Did you assign anybody else the responsibility of
    Q
1
        determining that information?
2
        No.
3
                         Nothing further.
            MR. NEGUS:
            THE COURT:
                         Mr. Kochis.
5
6
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
7
    BY MR. KOCHIS:
8
        Sergeant Swanlund, directing your attention to the
        2991 English Road residence, the Lease residence, while
10
        you were inside that residence, did you ever see any
11
        member of the press inside the Lease residence?
12
        No.
13
        Did you ever see any civilians inside the residence?
14
        No.
15
        On how many days were you involved in the processing of
16
        that particular residence?
17
        Three.
18
        Did you have a key to the residence after you arrived on
19
        the first day?
20
        Yes.
21
        For example, when you left the residence the first time,
22
        was that in the early morning hours on June the 8th,
23
24
        1983?
        Yes.
25
        And is one of the reasons the residence was left at that
```

13-2

26

time was the fatigue of the various people processing 1 13-3 the residence? 2 Α Yes. 3 Did you secure the residence in any fashion when you left in the early morning hours on June the 8th? 5 I locked the doors. Α 6 When you returned that day later in the day to continue Q 7 processing, was anyone else inside the home? 8 Α No. 9 Did you have to let yourself in with the key? 10 Α Yes. 11 Is that the procedure you used during the three days 12 during which you assisted in the processing of that 13 residence was to lock it when it wasn't being processed? 14 Α Yes. 15 Is it fair to say that while you were there on those 16 three days, the only persons inside the home were 17 members of the various divisions of the Sheriff's Office 18 in San Bernardino? 19 Yes. 20 Α Was anyone called from the Identification Bureau to 21 assist you in processing that residence, the Lease 22 residence? 23 Yes. 24 Α Do you recall which members of the Identification Bureau 25

responded to that request to take photographs and to

26

```
dust for fingerprints?
                  Totally?
13 - 4
              Α
          2
                  Yes. The names of the persons you recall.
          3
                  Detective Duffy, Lieutenant Bellomy, Detective Roper.
                  I believe that was all from I.D.
          5
                  Were members of the Crime Lab called to assist you in
              Q
          6
                  processing that residence?
          7
                  Yes.
              Α
          8
                  Was Mr. Ogino one of those people?
          9
              Α
                  Yes.
          10
                  Was Mr. Stockwell one of those people?
          11
                  Yes.
              Α
          12
                  Was any other member of the Crime Lab present inside
          13
                  the house that you recall?
          14
                  I don't believe so.
          15
              Α
                  Was there any member of the Homicide that appeared at
          16
                  that house during the three days you were processing it?
          17
                  Yes.
          18
              Α
                  Was Sergeant Arthur one of those people?
          19
                  Yes.
          20
              Α
                  Was Lieutenant Bradford likewise in the house?
          21
          22
              Α
                  Yes.
                  Do you recall seeing any other persons from the Homicide
          23
                  Division inside the Lease residence during the three
          24
                  days that you were there processing?
          25
```

Not that I recall.

26

Α

Were you the only person from C.C.D. who assisted in the processing of the Lease home? 2 I had other investigators assisting me through parts of 3 it. What were their names? 5 Marty Smith was in the house. Detectives Stroup and 6 Fields were in the residence. They are the ones that 7 were with me most of the time. 8 Did you then take notes as you would have taken were 9 you still back in the Homicide Division of the 10 measurements, for example, of the various rooms in 11 that particular house? 12 Yes. 13 And the measurements of certain items of evidence which 14 were later removed from the residence by the Crime Lab? 15 Yes. Α 16 Sometime after you took your notes, did you use your 17 handwritten notes to dictate a report which was later 18 typed by a secretary in the Sheriff's Office? 19 20 Α Yes. After the report was typed, did you read the report? 21 22 Α Yes. And did you compare your typewritten report with your 23 handwritten notes to see if you had incorporated the 24 substance of your handwritten notes in your typewritten 25

26

report?

Yes. Directing your attention to a document which has been 2 marked for identification as Exhibit H-235, do you recognize that report? Yes. 5 Does that appear to be a copy of a portion of a 6 typewritten report that you did in your investigation 7 of the Lease residence? 8 Yes. 9 After you compared the typewritten report with the 10 handwritten notes, did you, at some time after that 11 point in time, discard your handwritten notes? 12 Yes. 13 Was there a washer and dryer in the Ryen residence, the 14 residence located at 2943 Old English Road? 15 Yes. 16 Did you have occasion to examine that washer and dryer 17 inside the Ryen residence while you were at the residence? 18 Yes. Α 19 Did you ever find any clothes that appeared to be damp 20 inside either the washer or the dryer inside the Ryen 21 22 residence? 23 Α Yes. Did you communicate that to other members of Homicide 24 during the briefing? 25

I communicated it to other members of Homicide, but I'm

.

26

Α

not sure that we did it at a briefing.

- Q Was Mr. Ogino present at the Ryen home on the day the carpet was removed?
- A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

- Q And did he at one point on that day tell you that the Crime Lab did not have the facility to store all the items that were going to be removed from the Ryen master bedroom?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Did you make him aware that those items, including the
 11 carpet, were going to be stored at the Identification
 12 Bureau on Sierra Way?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Was that prior to the time the carpet left the Ryen
 15 residence?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q While you were at the Ryen house, did you ever see a

 18 photographer other than a member of the Sheriff's Office

 19 inside the Ryen master bedroom?
- 20 A Not that I recall.
- 21 Q Did you ever see any member of the press inside the
 22 Ryen master bedroom while you were at the Ryen residence?
- 23 A Not that I recall.
- 24 Q Did you ever see any member of the Sheriff's Office use 25 the rest room facilities, use the toilet, in either 26 bathroom inside the Ryen home while you were there?

Α No. 1 Did you ever see any Sheriff's deputy use a sink that 2 was in either bedroom of the Ryen home while you were 3 there? 4 No. Α 5 Did you ever see any member of the Sheriff's Department 6 eat any meal inside the Ryen home while you were there? 7 No. Α 8 Over the recess, do you recall my directing your attention 9 to a report of an interview which took place between 10 yourself and Sergeant Arthur on the 10th of December of 11 1983? 12 Α Yes. 13 In fact, do you recall Mr. Negus, I believe this morning, 14 asking you a number of questions about your statements to 15 Sergeant Arthur during that interview? 16 Yes. Α 17 And do you recall during this recess that your attention 18 was directed to two paragraphs in the report about a 19 conversation you had with Mr. Ogino about the southeast 20 bedroom wall? 21 Yes. Α 22 Do you recall having a conversation with Mr. Ogino as 23 to whether or not he desired that southeast wall to be 24 taken? 25 Yes. A

26

```
Did he tell you whether or not he wanted the wall?
13-9
                  Yes, he told me.
          2
                  What did he tell you?
          3
                  He said no.
              Α
                  And did he tell you why it was not necessary in his
          5
                  opinion that that wall be taken?
          6
                  Yes.
             Α
          7
                  What did he tell you?
          8
              Q
                  Because of the patterns, the blood patterns that were on
          9
                  the dresser would be sufficient for him to make a
          10
                  determination.
         11
                  Is that a portion of the conversation you remember taking
          12
                  place between yourself and Mr. Ogino back at the Ryen
          13
                  home back in June of 1983 on approximately June the 6th?
         14
          15
              Α
                  Yes.
                  When you were told to seize the carpet from the Ryen
          16
                  home, did you take certain steps in your mind to preserve
          17
                  the carpet?
          18
          19
              Α
                  Yes.
                  For example, was one of those steps to remove the carpet
         20
                  from the Ryen home itself?
         21
         22
              Α
                  Yes.
                  Did you wrap any furniture or any other object inside
         23
```

25

26

No.

the carpet as movers do when you took it out of the home?

Did you then cause the carpet to be placed in an area

that the public does not have access to? 1 2 Yes. And would that be in the storage building that the 3 Identification Bureau uses on Sierra Way? 5 Α Yes. To your knowledge, is that a building that's locked and 6 only people associated with law enforcement or the 7 Sheriff's Office have access to? 8 Yes. When the carpet was removed -- Strike that. 10 When you were inside the home, the Ryen home, on 11 the 6th of June, you had a chance to look at the carpet, 12 I assume? 13 Yes. 14 Did the carpet appear to be dry when you were inside the 15 home on the 6th? 16 17 Yes. Did you see any wet puddles of blood on the carpet in 18 the master bedroom when you were inside the master 19 20 bedroom on June 6th? 21 No. (No omissions.) 22 23 24 25

13-10

26

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

1

When you first arrived at the Chino Hills area on

June the 6th, prior to the time you entered the

Ryen home, did you and your division initially

participate in the search of a portion of the roadway

and the grounds to the residence?

6 A Yes.

Q

- Q Do you recall about how long your division, CCD division, was involved in the search of the grounds and the roadway of the Chino Hills area before you yourself entered the master bedroom?
- A Probably close to noon.
- Q Directing your attention back a year and a half or two years ago to when you were a member of the homicide division, were there certain procedures you would employ when you arrived at a crime scene that you would include contacting other divisions within the sheriff's office?
- A Yes.
- Q For example, would you contact the identification bureau?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Would you have them arrive to photograph and to dust for fingerprints a particular crime scene?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Would you likewise cause the crime lab to be present at a crime scene?

Α Yes. 14-2 Was that so they could pick up items that may have 2 evidentiary significance? 3 4 Yes. Did you cause those steps to be taken when you 5 processed the Lease residence at 2991 Old English 6 Road? 7 Yes. 8 And when you were there assisting in the removal of 9 items from the Ryen house, did members of those 10 divisions appear to be present at the scene? 11 Yes. 12 Had you been made aware through briefings or other 13 conversations with members of the sheriff's department 14 that processing of the Ryen home had started the day 15 that the homicide was discovered? 16 Yes. 17 And were you aware that the crime lab had been 18 present, for example, on June the 5th at the crime 19 scene processing the scene? 20 Yes. 21 Α Were you likewise aware that the identification bureau 22 had been there the day before you had been and started 23 24 processing?

25

Yes.

And that homicide had done the same?

26

A Yes. 1 14-3 When you were assisting in the execution of the 2 search warrant on June the 6th at the Ryen house, 3 was Detective Clifford there? 5 Yes. Was Detective Hall there for a period of time? 6 7 Yes. And did they tell you which items were to be removed 8 from the master bedroom or had that been done earlier? 9 We were instructed -- yes, it had been done earlier. 10 MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing further at this time, 11 your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Negus. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION . 15 BY MR. NEGUS: 16 The clothes that you saw in the washer-dryer at the 17 Ryen residence, did you ever make any statement to 18 Mr. Clifford or anybody else in homicide that those 19 clothes somehow showed that the suspects had washed 20 themselves in their clothing after the crime? 21 22 No. Α Did you ever yourself draw such an inference from 23 the clothes that you saw in the washing machine at 24

the Ryen residence?

We speculated. That was a possibility.

26

25

. ,

14-4

Did the clothing in the washing machine at the Ryen residence appear consistent with coming from the Ryen family?

MR. KOCHIS: I would object. That would call for some speculation absent some foundation that he was aware of what type of clothes the Ryens may have had.

THE COURT: For what it's worth, let's hear his conclusion. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question, please?

- (BY MR. NEGUS) Did the clothing in the washer-dryer in the Ryen's laundry room appear to be consistent with coming from the Ryen family?
- I don't know. I didn't remove the clothing from the washer or the dryer and examine them.
- When you were in the 2991 residence, did any deputies from CCD other than those people that were assisting you come through to have a look?
- Not that I specifically recall.
- Do you recall any of them coming in to use the phone?
- No.
- Do you recall Mr. Phillips being in there?
- Phillips was in there prior to the time I was. 23 Α
 - Was that when he first showed you into the house?
- 25 Yes. A

24

26

That was approximately 3 o'clock in the afternoon on

1		the 7th?
2	A	Approximately.
3	Q	Do you recall him being there after that?
4	A	Not specifically.
5	Q	At the Ryen residence on the 6th, what bathroom
6	٠	facilities were being used?
7	A	I have no idea.
8	Q	When you were made aware at the briefings about the
9		processing that had gone on the day before at the
10		Ryen residence, were you told what had been taken?
11	A	Not every item, no.
12	Q	Were you told in general, I mean?
13	A	Generalities, yes.
14	Q	What were you told?
15	· A	I was told that there were blood samples taken from
16		the bedroom, numerous blood samples, from the carpet
17		specifically, and that's all that I recall.
18	Ō	When you say numerous, were you told that there were
19		numerous samples taken from the carpet in the master
20		bedroom?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Would you consider three to be numerous?
23	A	It would depend on the amount of specimens they had
24		to deal with.
25	Ω	As you saw that carpet, would you consider the
26		number three to have been numerous samples from that

carpet?

MR. KOCHIS: Well, your Honor, I would object as not being relevant what numerous means to him. He's heard the statement.

THE COURT: It's argumentative as well, Counsel. Sustained.

Q (BY MR. NEGUS) Was your understanding when you heard there were numerous blood samples taken and you saw the particular carpet in the master bedroom that numerous was only three?

MR. KOCHIS: Again, I would object as not being relevant.

MR. NEGUS: His state of mind as to what precautions he took as far as the carpet is concerned.

THE COURT: There's got to be a limit to it somewhere. Sustained.

- Q (BY MR. NEGUS) When you had this conversation with Mr. Ogino about the blood splatters, you now can remember talking about seizing or not seizing some patterns from the east wall?
- I recall that he and I had a conversation. After looking at that report, I recall the fact that we talked about the dresser.
- So what changed your mind from your answer, when I asked you the question you said you don't recall it, to Mr. Kochis asking you the question with him

showing you Mr. Arthur's report? 1 I had not reviewed that report. 2 But that's what changed your mind about your ability 3 to remember; is that correct? 4 5 It refreshed my memory. In testifying in court, have you had any discussions 6 with members of your unit about how to answer 7 questions by defense lawyers? 8 Other than truthfully, no. 9 Have you ever discussed with people in your unit 10 saying the answer "I don't recall" to questions by 11 12 defense lawyers? MR. KOCHIS: I would object. It's irrelevant, 13 unless we're talking about this case. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 THE WITNESS: No. 16 (BY MR. NEGUS) I notice that you used "I don't 17 recall" many times in answer to my questions and I 18 don't believe any times in response to Mr. Kochis's 19 20 questions. MR. KOCHIS: Objection, that's argumentative. 21 THE COURT: Sustained. 22 (BY MR. NEGUS) Do you have a practice in your 23 testifying to use "I don't recall" many more times 24 in response to defense lawyers' guestions than to 25 26 prosecutors?

و ا

A No.

14-8

Q In connection with this case have you ever discussed with any people in your unit answering "I don't recall" to defense lawyers' questions?

MR. KOCHIS: Objection, that's been asked and answered.

THE COURT: Wasn't it?

MR. NEGUS: It may be late in the afternoon. I forgot that I did it, if it was.

THE COURT: I'll permit it again.

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: That's no twice.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. KOCHIS: No.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, we've had the photographs that we discussed earlier this morning brought into the courtroom, and perhaps for the record -- I'm not sure how to make the record complete.

If the Court's going to view them and make a decision as to whether or not Mr. Negus gets copies of them, I'm not sure that they shouldn't be marked so that the Court can make a decision.

THE COURT: Well, they should be. They probably should be marked in any event for identification,

Acres of Section 1

5

8

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

regardless of how I rule, or for identification -- well --

MR. KOCHIS: And then if they're marked, my objection about their confidential nature seems somewhat moot. That's the problem I have.

THE COURT: I'm not going to tell anybody their phone numbers, Mr. Kochis, if that's what you're concerned Take a look at them, Counsel. Is anybody's phone number reflected there other than Sergeant Arthur?

MR. NEGUS: 591-1821, extension 590. It's the investigator's office.

MR. KOCHIS: I'm aware of that. The other phone numbers appear to be business numbers, your Honor.

THE COURT: Can we excise the sergeant's number? MR. KOCHIS: We can do that. My objection is the photographs depict a list of assignments that were given to various people.

THE COURT: That's why he wants them.

MR. KOCHIS: How is that relevant to leads that were pursued that did not materialize and those that were? My objection is that that was simply not relevant. The mechanics of who they choose to assign a particular task to or what task they feel should be investigated or pursued in terms of an investigative lead is not discoverable.

THE COURT: Do you contend that the assignments reflected on the board in any way conflict with testimony ...

of the officers here?

MR. NEGUS: I have not had a chance to study it.

I had heard numerous assignments referred to which we haven't had any reports of. I have heard and my investigator has discovered numerous leads which weren't followed up for one reason or another, many of which are the subject of this particular motion.

I asked early on for a list of which investigators went where doing what and was told that none such existed. At least that was my remembrance of it, that nobody kept any logs of where exactly the investigators would go.

MR. KOCHIS: I don't recall that specific request.

THE COURT: Mr. Kochis, that is relevant, which is either material and relevant or discoverable, the material in itself or which may lead to such material, relevant material. And considering the nature of the motion here it would probably be helpful to counsel to pursue. I see no problem.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, just to put it in perspective, every photograph we give him probably adds a day to a motion somewhere along the line.

MR. NEGUS: That's not true. Some of them have been shortened.

THE COURT: How many photographs are there?
MR. KOCHIS: Three.

14-11

MR. NEGUS: One of which is already in evidence.

MR. KOCHIS: I have obviously no objection to the photograph which is in evidence. By that I mean I have no objection to the identification bureau being requested to make a copy for Mr. Negus, if he's not satisfied with the one that's in evidence, if he needs one in addition.

THE COURT: I'm confident that Mr. Negus is not going to misuse the photographs in any way. If it's not material to him, it's no big expense to produce it as well.

I'll order those reproduced. Do you want to quibble about whose budget it comes out of?

MR. KOCHIS: No. We'll give them to him tomorrow or whenever it's convenient.

Your Honor, can we have a stipulation that we can remove from any photograph that's going to be given to Mr. Negus the phone number, home phone number of any law enforcement officer in the photograph?

MR. NEGUS: No problem.

MR. KOCHIS: Including Mr. Arthur's?

THE COURT: That's acceptable.

MR. KOCHIS: I will show these to Mr. Negus and we will have a copy made for him at the earliest opportunity.

MR. NEGUS: That's fine.

THE COURT: On another point, I received last week sometime a call from the Judicial Council person in

charge of assignments who told me that Judge Lowe in San Diego would like more definition as to expectation. And to help him perhaps get the media off his back, I'm not sure, I have now received the assignment to San Diego subject to the conclusion of pretrial motions.

I feel I should communicate to Judge Lowe our latest estimates. Can we refine it any? Mr. Negus, I don't think that a request for a break between here and there is unreasonable at all. Could we shorten that to about one week as opposed to two? I'd like to keep it moving as much as possible, considering the fact that I'm going to be on vacation a week and I don't know when you take your vacation.

MR. NEGUS: I don't take my vacation until the end of the case, Judge, at which time I'll take a lot of vacation, I suspect.

I wish I could be more precise. One of the problems is that we keep getting more information as we go along. My investigator has not finished his investigation. I still haven't received all the reports from my expert witnesses. We have lots of other things that we're still doing.

THE COURT: Is your investigator working full time on this?

MR. NEGUS: Nigh on to.

MR. KOCHIS: And the problem that creates is when

14-13

his investigator interviews someone, they call from the sheriff's office, the sheriff's office takes a report, we give it to Mr. Negus, and Mr. Negus considers that new discovery.

(NO OMISSIONS)

MR. NEGUS: I wasn't even considering that stuff, but there is other stuff that keeps coming in and I don't know, I cannot state to you that when we get through with these motions that I'm going to feel that I'm ready to go to trial within a week or two.

THE COURT: I know you can't predict accurately in the future, but let's give them the best estimate we can.

MR. KOCHIS: It's not a good idea for Mr. Negus to give the Court his estimate at this time in the afternoon.

THE COURT: How do you know that? Are you inside his head in some way?

MR. KOCHIS: We talk from time to time.

THE COURT: He is in more control than either you or I as far as an estimate.

MR. NEGUS: If I told you what I think would be something that I could live by, I think it would probably upset your afternoon. I mean if you had to pin me down to something I could say I feel competent to be ready to start jury selection and once we started jury selection, without any gaps, I'm sure it would upset your afternoon.

THE COURT: Don't worry about upsetting my afternoon. Why should today be different? Disregard to challenge to the panel and further motions for change of venue, disregarding those two that I can make my own prediction on in that regard, they would probably have to be made in the other jurisdiction, would you prefer to think about it

and let me know later? It doesn't have to be done this afternoon.

MR. NEGUS: Let me ask you what do they need to know? I mean is it for their real planning purposes or what?

THE COURT: I really don't know. I honestly don't know. I can tell you this much.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I can shorten it. It would not surprise me if at some day this week Mr. Negus told the Court that if he had to pick a date at which time he could absolutely, with some certainty, say he would be ready to step into the courtroom for jury selection, it would be very close to Labor Day.

THE COURT: That's September 3rd.

MR. KOCHIS: First week in September.

THE COURT: If I might finish my thought of a minute ago, I try to keep you people candidly advised. At one of the later discussions with Sacramento or the Judicial Council person from Sacramento, she told me that Sacramento was no longer available without further checkback to them because of their workload, and I think that that perhaps is the problem in San Diego. Maybe the delay might work to your advantage.

But it's possible that they might have. I'm going to send a copy of this to Judicial Council. It's possible we may not have a courtroom in San Diego.

"As far as estimating, then, in our latest estimate concerning remaining pretrial motions, illness, planned vacations, is mid-August or beyond fairly close?"

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm not upset.

MR. NEGUS: I will tell you frankly one of the things that has also been preying on my mind is if we are going to San Diego, one of the things that is going to bother me about San Diego is ending up with a jury of only people who are retired military officers, and I think we have a greater problem of trying to get a jury if we are trying to pick a jury in the middle of August.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, along that line, any juror that is going to be able to sit for six to seven months is going to be either retired or unemployed.

MR. NEGUS: I don't think that's true.

THE COURT: Or a housewife.

MR. KOCHIS: Or works for a government agency that will pick the tab up or private industry like General Telephone.

so that problem is going to exist in any county that we are in.

THE COURT: Those are considerations that I haven't been as concerned about as you have been, if at all. But I know nothing of the venue laws. Once I decide that a fair trial can be had in a jurisdiction, I don't think I have

15-4

to consider all these others.

MR. NEGUS: My experience has been that you pick a jury in August and it takes you twice as long to do it as if you do it after the summer because everybody has filled up their vacation time.

MR. KOCHIS: The Court is aware that when we do death penalty qualifications, we usually have a procedure in the first three or four weeks where we only use potential jurors for a day.

THE COURT: I believe I left those copies on the desk of the procedure used by a Los Angeles judge in jury selection in death penalty qualifying. They are similar to what we did in the Gray case to some extent. I had copies made for each of you.

MR. NEGUS: I'm not familiar with the Gray case. I know what it was.

THE COURT: I will look at these photographs. See you tomorrow at 9:30.

(whereupon the proceedings were adjourned.)

--000--