SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff-Respondent,

VS.

KEVIN COOPER.

Defendant-Appellant.

CR 72787

Supreme Court No. <u>CR14</u> 24552

Vol. 16

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY
HONORABLE RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE PRESIDING
REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Respondent:

HON. JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP State Attorney General Department of Justice

110 West "A" Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101

For Defendant-Appellant:

IN PROPRIA PERSONA

VOLUME volumes.
Pages 618 to 764, incl.

JILL D. MC KIMMEY, C.S.R., C-2314 and BRIAN V. RATEKIN, C.S.R., C-3715 Official Reporters

SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNT	TY OF SAN BERNARDINO
·	•
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.) NO. OCR-9319
KEVIN COOPER,) VOLUME 8
Defendant.) Pgs. 618 thru 764
REP)RTERS	DAILY TRANSCRIPT
BEFORE HONORABLE	E RICHARD OF MARNER, JUDGE
DEPARTMENT 3	- ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA
Monday,	March 19, 1984
APPEARANCES:	
For the People:	DENNIS KOTTMEIER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	District Attorney
•	DENNIS KOTTMEIER District Attorney
	By: JOHN P. KOCHIS
	Deputy District Attorney
For the Defendant:	DAVID MCKENNA
	Public Defender By: DAVID NEGUS
	Deputy Public Defender
Reported by:	JILL D. McKIMMEY Official Reporter
	C.S.R. No. 2314
	and
	BRIAN RATEKIN Official Reporter
	C.S.R. No. 3715

(C.

1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES P	AGE
3	DANNA, Phil	
4		28 36
5	Cross-Examination by M. Mounts .	30
6	CORDUA, Teresa	-0
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kochis 6	38 62
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Negus 6	71 78
9	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Negus 6	78
10	SNATE, Ponala Edwin	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Negas	0 4.
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rochis	T
13		•
	HERNANDEZ, Ezekiel	1ı
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Negus 69 Cross-Examination by Mr. Kochis	•
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Negus	,
16	MURRAY, Gary	
17	Direct Evamination by Mr. Negus 70	7
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mochis	3 5
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Kochis	Ö
20	MASCETTI, Michael Joseph	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. Negus	0
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kochis	3
23	Redirect Examination by Mr. Regus	4
24	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Megas	-
25		·
26	Continued -	

1	INDEX (Continued)	
2	DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES (Cont'd)	PAGE
3	OGINO, Craig	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Negus	. 726 . 736
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Kochis	740
6	STOCKWELL, DAVID C.	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Negus	. 744 . 751
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Kochis	. 752 . 755
9	Recross Examination by Mr. Kochis	. 755
10		
11		. '
12		•
13		
14		
15		
16		•.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, MARCH 19, 1984; 9:59 A.M.

DEPARTMENT NO. 3

HON. RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

The Defendant with his Counsel, DAVID NEGUS,
Deputy Public Defender of San Bernardino
County; DENNIS KOTTMEIER, District Attorney
of San Bernardino County, JOHN P. KOCHIS,
Deputy District Attorney of San Bernardino
County, representing the People of the State
of California.

property, C. Contamby, C.C.B., official Reporter, C-3314,

Brian Ratekin, C.S.R., Official Reporter, C-3715.)

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in chambers:)

THE COURT: All right. On the record.

Counsel, you have all three come into chambers, and you are without your elient. He's out in the courtroom, and you waive his appearance, Mr. Negus?

MR. NEGUS: I do.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. NEGUS: First, I wanted to just apprise the Court that Carol Mann, a prospective witness, is in the courtroom, and she hasn't been subpoenaed by the prosecution, but it is conceivable that she could be called to testify at trial.

THE COURT: Carol Mann?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

MR. KOCHIS: I believe if she's called to testify, it won't be by the prosecution.

MR. NEGUS: Possibly she would be by the defense.

THE COURT: Is she a person from the community?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

MR. KOCHIS: She's a neighbor of the deceased.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're asking me to

exclude her?

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

RP. DEMT: Pes.

THE COURT: Are you telling me for sure that there's a distinct possibility that she might testify?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you telling me that in some manner that her testimony might be changed if she hears what other witnesses testify to?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

THE COURT: What witnesses are you going to have today? I almost feel an obligation to evaluate those kinds of statements, because I don't like to exclude such witnesses here. They have lived with this nightmare all this time, and they have really got a vital, legitimate interest in observing the proceedings. I don't like to kick them outside. I think we do that, everybody. I mean not just the people in this room. I think it's done in

.

.9

17[°]

 our profession almost by rote nowadays, and I have a dislike of doing that, so, Mr. Negus, I feel that way. Can we avoid it and let her go ahead and sit here or something? She's an interested person in the community.

MR. NEGUS: The problem is that I don't want to be in a situation where I jeopardize my client's fair trial rights, and various — these neighbors have testimony which may bear upon various prosecution and defense theories.

THE COURT: Well, you are roint to do this for surery single without that piece wanter in the court, them, every possible witness?

MR. NEGUS: Everybody -- everybody whose testimony might be affected by what they hear, yes, because --

THE COURT: Well, that's a blanket request on your part then. If I lay that foundation, I am going to simply deny it. I can see certain places where you have overlapping possibilities and a suggestibility factor, which I would like to be able and receptive to, but if it's going to be simply a blanket thing with all the many witnesses that we have, I am simply going to deny the exclusionary request.

MR. NEGUS: The problem is, Your Honor, that, for example, one critical issue which comes up in the Chino Hills witnesses has got to do with the times that various things happened, and all of these different people gave

statements, some of them not always consistent to the Sheriff's Office, about the times that they think various things happened. If they hear the different theories of the case, I believe that, given their strong bias of some of them against the defendant, that that could influence their testimony as to when they — when they say certain occurrences happened.

They also gave equivocal statements about certain things. Mrs. Mann, for example, have a whole bunch of testimony -- or not testimony -- a whole bunch of statements to a imposite officer indicating that there might be motives for other people to have committed the crime, and I don't know why she did that, but she did give a long and extensive statement about it, and a lot of that -- those statements were very nebulous when she gave it to the Sheriff's Office.

When Mr. Forbush talked to her, they were even more netulous, and I -- that may or may not turn out to be crucial evidence in the case. I don't think that it's fair to Mr. Cooper that she be allowed to sit in and listen to all the details and to get a detailed scheme in her mind of the different parts of testimony, and have that influence her future testimony on critical areas.

All these people also had --

THE COURT: I can't see how she would testify to that, in any event.

I

⁻22

 MR. NEGUS: She could.

THE COURT: An opinion type of statement that it could be somebody else --

MR. NEGUS: They are statements that Peg Ryen allegedly has made to her and things that she's observed.

THE COURT: I see no reason to be completely against the position that I have previously taken, even though this is a serious case. I will deny your motion to exclude her.

In the presence of my clerk and all counsel,

a brother-in-law in Ojai. I must attend the funeral tomorrow. I've got to take other members of my family there, as well as the need for me to be there as well. Hopefully, you can -- I will be back on Wednesday, and we've got all day today. I'll come in tomorrow morning on my -- it's not till 2:00 o'clock tomorrow, so I will come in and handle the morning calendar otherwise, and then I will take off at 9:39 or 10:00 tomorrow.

MR. NEGUS: Is it possible that we could do one short witness tomorrow morning, even starting --

THE COURT: If it's short. I mean I want to leave here before, say, 10:00 o'clock.

MR. NEGUS: If we started exactly at 9:30, the man's name is Owen Handy. He has no phone. He lives on a boat.

la

```
That's going to take a long time,
             THE COURT:
2
     though, isn't it?
                              I mean the questions I have of
3
             MR. NEGUS: No.
     him are relatively brief.
             THE COURT: I am perfectly willing to do it,
5
     Mr. Negus, but I've got close to a three-hour drive, I
6
     understand, in an area that I am not familiar with up
7
     there, so let's see, and so I want to get there by 1:00,
8
     so that's going to push me. I need to leave here by
     around tenish.
             IB. YEBB: Mell, D will see it i can get -- we
    have no phone to call him off, so he's already set to come
12
13
         That's the problem I have.
             MR. KOCHIS: Let me see if I can use my resources
14
15
     through Sergeant Arthur to locate him.
             MR. NEGUS: And have him here on Wednesday?
16
             MR. KOCHIS: Have him here on Wednesday.
17
             THE COURT: I am willing to push it and to hurry.
18
     I regret I must do this, but I've got to be there.
19
             MR. NEGUS: Second thing, I have intestinal flu
20
    myself, and if I have to run out, no insult is intended.
21
             Thirdly, could we address the issue of Mr. Cooper's
22
     haircut in chambers so we don't have to do it in open
23
```

THE COURT: Sure.

24

25

26

court --

MR. NEGUS: -- and have -- Mr. Cooper had the deputy

cut his hair. The deputy didn't cut it short enough.

Mr. Cooper told this to Lieutenant Cox, who's in charge
of the jail, Sunday night. Lieutenant Cox says, get me
another order and you can have it shortened.

Could we have another order?

THE COURT: Yeah, sure.

MR. NEGUS: Okay.

THE COURT: Same haircutter then?

MR. MEGUS: Same haircutter; right.

THE TOPET: All right. He's to be permitted -you make, he must be an extremely value person. I can see
preparing for a jury, but this is --

MR. NEGUS: Well, the problem, Your Honor, is that I told Mr. Cooper that I want him neat when he comes to court, because you never know when there's photographers here, and he doesn't just want -- what he doesn't want to do is to braid his hair every day. He's been having to braid his hair every day, and it's a lot of work. It's not that he's vain. He wants to cut it off so he doesn't have to do the work.

Fourth --

THE COURT: Have you verified this in any way?

I feel like, you know -- like I'm really humoring the man to give him two haircuts within a matter of days, if they're going to say that dumb judge back there is catering to his complete whims and vanities. Do they have

any opposition to giving him another trim, Mr. Kochis?

MR. KOCHIS: I haven't checked. I can do that this morning.

THE COURT: Would you do that before I make the order on it? If they have no -- if it's no big deal to them, then, of course, I will give you another order, Mr. Negus.

MR. NEGUS: Last matter -- last matter that I want

to just put on the record, and it's probably not critical plant at the present time, but it could be extremely emilies when we had the record that this occurred, was that when we had the great haircut controversy in open court on Thursday afternoon, at the conclusion of it, Mr. Olin, the uncle of Christopher Hughes, and his mother were laughing openly at Mr. Cooper in a mocking manner, and I indicated to Mr. Kochis at the time that I don't care what victime' rights are, that kind of stuff can take away any semblance of a fair trial; and if it's done in public, I will be protesting and ask them to be expelled if it continues.

It's just an announcement.

THE COURT: Admonish them gently, if you can, Mr. Kochis.

MR. KOCHIS: I think they have been talked to.
I didn't witness what Mr. Negus --

1b

THE COURT: I did a little bit. I didn't see any laughing. The only thing that I saw was at some point when I said you're probably not the most popular man in the County Jail, or words to that effect, I saw them turn to each other and smile.

MR. NEGUS: After you left the bench, Your Honor, they were laughing in a "ha-ha-ha" manner to Mr. Cooper, and I saw it myself.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I will accept that. Admenish them, kr. Acchis. I don't want to have to action the greatle.

Good morning, Mr. Kottmeier.

MR. KOTTMEIER: Good morning.

Just offer so that, at least from my viewpoint, the record is complete. Mr. Cooper turned around earlier in the day and apparently had engaged in some visual eye contact with Mary Ann Hughes, and that he had turned around again on the occasion mentioned by Mr. Negus, so that I think that it's one of those kinds of things; absent an audience to play to, that is, if Mr. Cooper does not make the eye contact, that it might be better in that the tensions won't be so great.

THE COURT: Well, the admonishment should be two ways then.

MR. NEGUS: Mr. Cooper turned around and saw them.

1 2

That's when he started.

THE COURT: Well, that's not proper, and we really should tell them to keep great stony faces out there.

All right. Let's get going, shall we? Thank you.

(Whereupon, the following proceedings

were had in open court:)

THE COURT: Good morning.

death in my family, and I wouldn't be able to spend any time on this case tomorrow. There was one witness perhaps that will not to too long that we may handle between 9:30 and 10:00. I will have to come in briefly tomorrow, but for those of you in the audience, I may not be going on this case at all tomorrow. If there's a problem with reference to your witness' attendance tomorrow, since he's already coming in, and you have communication problems, we'll do our best to handle him; otherwise, there will be no hearings on this case tomorrow, because I've got to travel to Ojai.

Who's the next witness, Mr. Negus?

MR. NEGUS: Phil Danna.

(No omissions.)

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

PHILLIP DANNA, called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defense, was sworn and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: My name is Phillip Danna, two L's in Phil, two N's in Danna. D-a-n-n-a.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- Mr. Danna, what is your occupation?
- A I'm a deputy sheriff with the County of San Bernardino.
- And in June of 1983, did you have the rank of a detective and were you assigned to the West End Sheriff's Office?
- A Yes, I was.
 - On that date, did you go to the California Institution for Men to obtain some information they had on two recent escapees?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q What information were you given?
 - A I was given two, if I recall, two sheets of paper, one

with a subject by the name of Knori and one with the information on Mr. Cooper.

- At the time that you received that information, Mr.

 Cooper was known to you by the name of David Trautman;
 is that correct?
- A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And you don't recall having actually heard the name "Kevin Cooper" on that particular night; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q Did you receive any other information other than just a flyer at that time?
- A. No. It was just a -- what appeared to be a flyer or face sheet or something with a photograph of Mr. Cooper. Or, Mr. Trautman at that time.
- Q At -- a few days later, during the week, did -- did you return to CIM to get some additional information?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And do you remember what -- what day that was?
- A. No, I don't. It was some days later.
- Q Who did you receive the additional information from?
- A. If I'm not mistaken, it was Zeke Hernandez, eventually met with myself, and a reserve deputy who also works at the prison.
- Q Was that Pat Whelchel?
- A Yes.
- Ω And did he accompany you down there the first night as

well?

A Yes, he did.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What additional information did you get from -- from Mr. Hernandez?

- A. It was a portion of some medical records. It's all I can recall, some medical records.
- Q Do you recall whether that was a complete medical file or just certain selected records?
- A. Well, I don't know if it was a complete medical file.

 It was just what -- whatever they handed me.
- ρ Did -- did you make some particular request of them
 for certain information?
- A Yes.
 - 0 What was that?
- A. Any information pertaining to any medical files that they may have.
 - µ And for what purpose were you seeking medical files?
- A. I was asked to go down to the prison and see if I could obtain those documents for the investigation.
 - Q Who asked you?
- A. I believe it was -- I'm not sure. It could have been Sergeant Mauldin, but I'm not positive.
- And were you told why you were getting those particular documents?
- 25 A No.
- 26 Q Included in the documents that you received, was there a

3

5

11

12

14

15

16

19

what's called a chrono, a approximately five by eight -by eight piece of paper which authorized the issuance of
tennis shoes to Mr. Trautman?

- A I don't -- I don't remember exactly what was attached to those papers. I just received a package and delivered it.
- Q To whom did you deliver it?
- A To Sergeant Arthur.
- Q And where did you deliver it?
- 10 A. At the substation.
 - ω Was that in a period of time when the investigative
 command post was still in the West End Substation?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q Do you recall, on June the 9th, a press conference in which it was announced that formal charges had been filed against Mr. Cooper?
- 17 A. I wasn't involved in that press conference, nor was I
 18 near the area where the press conference was held.
 - Q Were you aware that it was being held?
- I couldn't tell you. You're giving me a date, and I

 could really not answer that question as to whether that

 happened on that date. I heard of a press conference

 being given, but I don't know when.
 - © Do you remember whether or not you obtained these documents from the California institution for Men before or after that press conference?

25 26

A I couldn't give you an answer on that.

Did you prepare any report about the receipt of these
 documents?

A. No.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

22

23

24

25

26

On -- on approximately June the 7th, did you interview a gentleman named Larry Lease?

A. Yes.

- And did that interview take place after it had been discovered that an unauthorized person had been living in the residence at 2991 English Road?
- A. Yes.
- Q During that interview, did Mr. Lease describe to you an ax that had been kept on the property at 2991 English -- Road?
- A. Yes, he did.
- Q And did he indicate to you that ax was missing?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - 0 Did he describe the ax?
- 19 A. Somewhat, yes.
- 20 Q Did he describe it to you as somewhere between 12 and
 21 14 inches long, of an unknown color and type?
 - A. Yes, I believe so.
 - Q And he gave you no further description than that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Were you aware of an ax that had been recovered by Mr.

 Roper on English Road near the intersection of Peyton?

A Yes.

2

3

5

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Was the description that Mr. Lease gave you sufficiently detailed to identify the ax discovered by Mr. Roper as the ax that Mr. Lease was talking about?
- A I -- I don't know.
- Q Did you --
 - A I didn't see the ax that was recovered, so I couldn't tell you.
 - Q. Where did your interview with Larry Lease take place?
- 10 A At his home.
- And do you know whether it was the same day that the -
 that it had been discovered that an unauthorized person

 was living in 2991 or a different day?
 - A I just -- I don't know.
 - Q Your report of this interview doesn't indicate a date; is that correct?
 - A Unfortunately, it does not.
 - Q It was typed June the 8th; is that true?
- 19 A Yes.
 - Q Do you know if it was -- if you received the interview from Mr. Lease the same day that the report was typed or the day before?
 - A I -- again, I could not answer that question. I -- I just don't know how backlogged the stenos were on the typing.
 - O The -- were you attending the morning briefings at the

3

5

6

7

8

10

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

West End Sheriff's Office during the first week after the crime was committed?

- A. Most of them, yes.
- Q Did you report on your interview with Larry Lease on those morning briefings?
- A No, I don't recall doing that at all.
- Q Did you ever tell anyone that Larry Lease had identified the ax discovered by Mr. Roper as the ax coming from 2991?
- A. No.
- 11 (. Once your report was typed by the typist, was it then
 12 returned to you for approval?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - And once you went over it, did you then turn it into Sergeant Arthur?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q And was that done on June the 8th, the same day it was typed?
- 19 A. Could have been, yes.
 - Q You -- you don't remember for sure?
 - No. There were times that I were -- was assigned to do specific things involving this case and I was out of the office, and it could have been the day after when I was finally able to proofread.

(No omissions.)

Q At the time that you picked up the medical file at CIM, could Lieutenant Don Smith have been present as well?

- A I don't recall the name Don Smith to a face. If I knew what he looked like, possibly I could tell you.
- Q A relatively short man with -- with bald hair -- bald head and a moustache, Anglo?
- A I don't believe he was there, if it's the same person I spotted outside in the lobby. No. I don't believe he was at that location. He might have been. The person I was dealing with was a sergeant, but I don't remember his name. He was a sergeant that works at CIM.
- Q Was he on the investigative staff or some other --
- A He just worked that area where he had taken myself and Reserve Deputy Whelchel.
- Q Was that where area -- do you know where that was?
 - Let was by the infirmary, I guess, the medical area.

 We waited in a -- in a room, and I noticed a few

 nurses going back and forth, so I would assume that

 would be the facility hospital.
- Q Are you familiar with the building which is the administration building at CIM where the investigators have their office?
- A. I don't believe I am. I could have been there, but I'm not sure if that's the administration office.

1	Q.	Well, when you enter CIM, are you familiar with a
2		sort of large open area with a two-story building to
3		the north and then a prison structure to the south?
		Vac

- Q Designating the building to the north there as the administration building --
- A. That would be the building.

MR. NEGUS: I have nothing further.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

Q Detective, the first day that you went to CIM on this case, was that Sunday, June the 5th, the day

the homicides were discovered?

A. Yes.

Pr MR. ED L. C:

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3a

- Q Who went with you to CIM?
- A Reserve Deputy Pat Whelchel.
- Q Do you recall which CIM personnel you talked to on that particular Sunday?
 - Well, I recall the name Zeke Hernandez as the person I eventually personally dealt with. There was a lieutenant that was on the phone when we first went up to the guard shack, and I had Pat Whelchel make contact with this lieutenant requesting information on the escapees.
- Q Directing your attention to an exhibit which has been

marked for identification as S-33, does this appear to be a copy of one of the two pieces of paper you received from CIM on that particular Sunday?

- A Yes. I believe this is the copy.
- Q And did you then take that back to the homicide officers who were working on this particular case?
- 7 A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

8

9

1û

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- How many days passed, if you can estimate, between the day of Sunday, June the 5th, and the second time that you went back to CIM and received the medical records?

 Describly maybe four to five maps, Just a guess.
- 12 When you picked up the medical records, did you simply
 13 take them back to homicide without reviewing the
 - contents of the record themselves?

A Yes.

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.

MR. MEGUS: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Thank you, Detective.

You may step down.

MR. NEGUS: Teresa Cordua.

21

22

23

24

 $\underline{T} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{S} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{U} \ \underline{A}$, called as a witness by the defendant, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and

```
nothing but the truth, so help you God?
```

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

Please state your name for the record and spell your first and last name.

THE WITNESS: Teresa Cordua, T-e-r-e-s-a C-o-r-d-u-a.

7 8

9

1

2

3

5

6

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- 10 4 What is your occupation?
- 11 . A I am investigator for the Department of Corrections.
- 12 \ a And were you so employed in June, 1983?
- 13 A. Yes, I was.
- 14 Q In June of 1983, did you receive any requests from the
- 15 | San Bernardino Sheriff's Office to furnish tennis
- shoes to them?
- 17 A. In June of '83?
- 18 2 Yes.
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q How many different requests did you receive?
- 21 A I think there were two, at least two.
- 22 Q When was the first?
- 23 A I couldn't give you a date.
- 24 Q Approximately.
- 25 | A I recall one time was on I believe it was June the 13th.
- I have it in my report. I gave a box full of tennis

```
shoes to Deputy Mascetti of the Sheriff's Department.
         Okay. Was that the first or the second time, that
2
3
         you recall?
         That was the first time that I personally had handed
         a box, but I believe there had been other requests.
5
         Were they made to you or to other persons?
6
         Not directly to me, no; to my office, I believe.
7
         When was the second time that you personally were
8
         involved with furnishing tennis shoes?
9
         I believe that's the only time that I personally handed
10
11
         the shoes.
        Did you ever transport any shoes, tennis shoes or other-
12
        wise, from the institution up to the West End Sheriff's
13
14
         Office?
15
         I personally did not, but I know they were.
    A.
16
         How do you know they were?
17
         Because I saw the person taking them.
    A.
18
         Who did you see taking them?
19
        Lieutenant Don Smith.
    Α.
20
         And when was that?
         That was prior to the tennis shoes that I gave
21
        Mascetti, but I couldn't give you the date.
22
        How many -- how many shoes did Lieutenant Smith take
23
24
         up to West End Sheriff's Office?
```

He had a box. I don't -- there were several.

know the amount.

25

```
In the — in the box that you prepared, do you remember how many tennis shoes there were?
```

- A. The number? No.
- Q Were they all the same brand?
- A I couldn't say.

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q Where did you get them?
 - A. I personally got them from the R.C. West gym -- the room, where they store the tennis shoes.
 - 9 Did you go into that storeroom and pick out the boxes yourself or did you have somebody else do it?
- He a laid it myself.
- 12 Q Who was present when you did it?
- 13 A. There were other people there, but I'm -- I was doing
 14 it on my own. I don't know -- they weren't with me.
 - Q They were there with other people working in that room?
 - A. Yes. There was -- there was an officer. He's the gym officer. He's -- he has a Mexican surname. I can't think what his name is, offhand. He was in there.
- 21 Q Was there any inmates in there?
- 22 A. There may have been. There were other people there.
 23 I'm not certain.
- 24 Q The shoes that you got in the -- in the R.C. West gym, 25 there is an office area; is that right?
 - A. It's like a storeroom.

- Q Okay. Well, the side of the gym that's closest to Reception Center Central should be the --
- 3 A. The east side.

6

7

8

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q The east side; correct. There's an office in the center of that of that side of the gym. There's an equipment room where equipment is issued from to the north, and then there's a storeroom to the south.

 Is the storeroom to the south the place you got it?
- 9 A. I couldn't say. It was the room that had all the 10 tennis shoe toxes in it.
- ii a small room?
- 12 A Not little, no.
- 13 Q Was it a room from which tennis shoes were being 14 issued to inmates?
- 15 A. I don't know if they issued them from there. They
 16 store them there.
 - Q Were the tennis shoes that you got new tennis shoes?
 - A. I don't recall if they were brand new.
- The tennis shoes that Don Smith took up to WESO, did -
 did you see those shoes being obtained?
- 21 A No.
 - Q Do you know obtained them?
 - A. No. I only know who had them.
 - Q Did you ever transport any tennis shoes from the institution up to that address at 2991 English Road in Chino Hills?

```
No, I did not.
     A.
          Did you ever see anybody else do that?
2
     Q
3
          No, I did not.
     A.
          Were you ever up at that location?
     Q
5
          No, I was not.
              (No omissions.)
6
7
8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

17

18

22

23

24

25

```
Q Did you ever have an occasion to look at David Trautman's, also known as Kevin Cooper's, central and medical files?
```

- A Yes, I did.
- Q In those files, is there any documentation to indicate that Mr. Cooper was ever issued a pair of tennis shoes?
- As I recall, there is a documentation authorizing or that he had been issued a pair of tennis shoes. It's a doctor making the request.
- The document says he can -- he can be allowed to have a pair of tennis shoes; is that correct?
- A I'm not sure that was the wording, no --
- 12 G Was there --
- 13 A -- because of a medical problem.
 - Was there ever any documentation to show that he actually received them?
- 16 A No.
 - Was there ever any documentation to show that he was to receive a particular brand of tennis shoes?
- 19 A I don't -- I wouldn't know.
- 20 Q In the documentation that you saw, was any particular 21 brand mentioned?
 - A I don't recall. I only glanced at that document.
 - Q Did you ever tell John Clifford that you had seen documentation which showed that Kevin Cooper was in fact issued a pair of Pro-Ked tennis shoes?
 - Could you repeat the first part.

25

26

department.

Did you personally ever relay any information from

Did you ever tell John Clifford that you had seen

רט רט רי רט רי יי

רט כט די כט די זי

```
Mr. Hernandez to Mr. Clifford?
```

2 A Yes.

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q What was that?

A. That Mr. Hernandez had an inmate who had told him about some tennis shoes.

- When you talked to Mr. Clifford, did you ever -- did you ever specify any particular brand of tennis shoes?
- A I don't recall. I may have. I don't know.
 - Q What brand may -- might you have specified?
- 10 A. It could possibly have been Pro-Ked, but I'm not positive.
 - Q Why do you say "it possibly could have been Pro-Ked"?
 - A. Because I'm aware that Pro-Ked tennis shoes are on the yard. And I don't know if that's why I think that or not.
 - Q Did Mr. Hernandez tell you that the same inmate had also told him that the inmate had issued Kevin Cooper a pair of P.F. Flyers?
 - A. I don't remember that.
 - Q Did you ever mention P.F. Flyers to Mr. Clifford?
 - A. I -- I couldn't say. I don't know.
 - Q Are you familiar with the procedures whereby tennis shoes are issued to inmates at the various facilities of the California Department of Corrections in Chino?
- 23 A Just the basic.
 - Q What basics are you aware of?
 - A That they're issued shoes.
 - Q You don't know the specifics of what kind of shoes or how

24

the -- how that process goes on? No, not into the process. 3 Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford that you were familiar with the procedures whereby shoes are issued to inmates 5 at CIM? Are we talking about shoes or tennis shoes? Let's just take shoes in general, all types of shoes. I'm sure that I told him shoes are issued when a man comes in. 10 Did you tell him that you're familiar with that process? 11 The basic part of it, that he gets shoes when he --12 on his arrival. 13 Did you tell him that you knew what kind of shoes that he 14 got what he -- when he came in? 15 I may have. I know what kind. 16 What kind is that? 17 The regular inmate manufactured hard soled shoes, brown 18 shoes, sometimes the high top brown shoes. 19 Did you ever tell him that you were familiar with the process by which inmates get tennis shoes? 21 I may have. 22 And how is that, what did you tell him? According to the facility that a man is in, that it 24 would require a doctor's request to obtain tennis shoes. 25 They're not just given to anyone. Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford that you're familiar with

20

23

3

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

with what kinds of -- what different brands of tennis shoes are available at CIM facilities? I may have, because only one kind really comes to mind.

what do you mean -- what do you mean when you say
comes to mind"?

A. Because I'm familiar with one brand.

7 Q Does that mean that only one brand is available there?

A. No.

Q How many different brands are available?

10 A I have no idea.

11 Q Five, six, twenty?

12 A. I have no idea.

13 Q Certainly more than one; is that true?

14 A. I would have to assume.

Q Why -- why do you say that?

A. Because I don't know.

Q Well, in the -- in the shoes that you provided to Mr.

Mascetti, there were six different kinds of tennis shoes,

19 were there not?

20 A. I wouldn't know.

When you were selecting the shoes yourself from the storeroom, did you just randomly take shoes or did you try to get one of each that was available?

A I took -- I took one of each kind they had.

Q So certainly there was at least more than one available - one brand available because you took more than one; is

24

that not correct?

- A Or style.
- Q Did you ever go to the Security Housing Unit in June or July of 1983 to check on what types of tennis shoes they had available to them there?
- A. No.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- And did you ever go to CIM minimum to check on what brands of tennis shoes they had available to them there?
- A I did not, no.
- Q Do you know to your knowledge that somebody else did?
- A. Not to my -- well, I don't know where Lieutenant Smith obtained his shoes.
- When you gave Mr. Clifford information about an inmate issuing tennis shoes to Mr. Cooper, were you aware that that inmate had a pending CDC 115 disciplinary action for possessing contraband in the prison against him?
- A I wouldn't have known that, because I don't believe I ever knew the inmate's name, only that one existed.
- So when you told -- talked to Mr. Clifford, you had no
 facts one way or the other about that inmate's credibility
 other than he was an inmate?
- A That's right.
- Q Did you ever give a pair of Pro-Ked tennis shoes to Mr. Stockwell from the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office Crime Lab?
- A. Yes, I did.

23 24

25

When was that? That was quite a bit later. The exact date I don't know. Were you aware of the capture of Mr. -- Mr. Cooper in Santa Barbara? Was I aware when he was captured? At that --Is that the question? Yes. Yes, I know when he was captured. (No omissions.)

- Q Did you give the shoes to Mr. Stockwell before or after that capture?
- A I really couldn't say.
- 4 Q How did you deliver the shoes to him?
- 5 A I took them over there to the San Bernardino County
 6 Sheriff's Crime Lab.
- 7 Q How many pair did you take?
- 8 A I took one pair.
- 9 0 At the preliminary hearing you testified, did you not, that prior to giving shees to Mr. Madeetti, you believe that you had taken some tennis shows to MEDO
- yourself?
- 13 A. Yes. I believe I said at the prelim that I was aware

 14 that shoes had been taken to WESO, but I wasn't certain

 15 if I was the one that took them or not, but I knew

 16 that some went.
- 17 Q At that point in time, at the preliminary hearing,
 18 did you indicate that you believed that possibly it
 19 was yourself that took the tennis shoes to West End
 20 Sheriff's Office?
- 21 A. Yes; that I was certain that a box had gone, but I
 22 wasn't certain who. Perhaps even myself had taken
 23 them.
- 24 Q Have you done something since then to refresh your 25 recollection?
- 26 A. No, just that I believe it was Lieutenant Smith who

took the shoes, and I saw him taking them.

- Q What caused you to -- you didn't mention Lieutenant
 Smith taking the shoes when you testified at the
 preliminary hearing; is that true?
- A I don't remember whether I did or not.

MR. NEGUS: If I could read to refresh her recollection from page 142 of Volume 21, lines 16 through 23.

THE COURT: Just a minute.

MR. MOCHIS: I have that page and line.

THE COURT: Okay. So ahead.

Q BY MR. NEGUS:

"Q Was that before or after you gave the tennis shoes to Mr. Mascetti?

"A I gave the criminalist his

tennis shoes after; however, I believe there
were some tennis shoes taken, and I -- possibly

it was myself that took some of them to West

End prior when the -- when they were still

having the watch meetings at the West End.

I believe I provided some tennis shoes then,

but I'm not certain."

Has something happened since then, since you testified to those words at the preliminary hearing, to sharpen your recollection?

A. No, but it -- I'm -- I believe it was Lieutenant Smith,

.

-

```
and I saw him taking the box.
1
         And have you discussed that with Lieutenant Smith?
2
3
         No, I have not.
         Are you familiar with the procedures whereby camp
         jackets are issued to inmates at CIM Minimum?
5
6
         Basically.
         What is -- what is the extent of that knowledge?
7
         That I know they go to the clothing room, and they
8
9
         are issued them.
         Do you know if any records are kept of that?
10
         No. We do not keep records of inmate clothing, just
11
         that they have to turn it in before they leave CIM.
12
         Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford that you were familiar
13
         with the procedures by which clothing -- or excuse me --
14
         camp jackets are issued to inmates?
15
         I would have told him the basic procedure.
16
         Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford that you knew that a
17
         camp jacket was in fact issued to Mr. Cooper?
18
         I would have had a good idea one was.
19
20
         How would that be?
         From the incident report and Lieutenant Shephard's
21
         statement concerning the inmate that had escaped.
22
         What about the incident report led you to believe
```

that a camp jacket had been issued?

Because Lieutenant Shephard's description of the

inmate on the perimeter on Edison was that he was

25 26

23

wearing a CDC camp jacket.

- Did -- in the reports that you had available to you, did Mr. Shephard identify the person that he had seen running down Edison as Kevin Cooper?
- Did Lieutenant Shephard?
- Q Yes. 6

3

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- In the body of his report, I don't recall if the name 7 is given. It's put on the cover sheet of that 8 9 incident report.
- But did Lieutemant Shephard tell you that -- that --10 that that immate that he saw number down Hilson 11 was in fact Kevin Cooper? 12
- He would have -- it was in the David Trautman incident 13 report. 14
- Did he tell you that the inmate that he'd seen running 15 down Edison was in fact David Trautman?
 - Not -- I don't know if it was in the body of his report. Like I say, it was on the cover of the incident report.
 - First of all, did Lieutenant Shephard ever state to you that he was sure that the person he'd seen running down Edison was in fact an inmate?
 - No, not to me.
 - To your knowledge, had he stated to someone else?
- 25 I don't have any idea.
 - In -- you have reviewed his -- the report, the memo

```
that he drafted to go to Superintendant Carroll about the -- about what he observed; is that correct?
```

- A. It's been over -- it's been since last June. That's why I'm not sure if it's in the body of his report.
- But you did last June you -- you reviewed that; is that right?
- A Yes, I did.

3

5

7

÷ 7,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 8 Q I don't remember if I asked this. Do you remember if
 9 it's in his report whether or not the person running
 10 down Edison was an inmate?
 - A Could be respect that?
 - Q In Lieutenant Shephard's report, did it state that the person running down Edison was an inmate?
 - A I believe it says something of the fact that it appeared to him to be an inmate because of the clothing he was wearing.
 - Q And he described the clothing as what appeared to be prison-lasued clothing?
 - A Yes. I believe he describes the camp jacket, the pants and shoes, as I recall.
 - Q He said he was wearing blue denim pants, a white T-shirt, tan shoes and a prison-issued camp jacket brown in color?
 - A It's been a long time. That sounds like possibly it.

 I'd have to see it.
 - Q I'm showing you my copy, which is more colorful than

```
yours.
         Yes.
2
         Is that correct?
         Uh-huh.
         You have to say yes for --
         Yes.
              THE COURT: Counsel, could we take the morning
7
     recess at this point?
8
             MR. NEGUS: Fine.
              THE COURT: Fifteen minutes, please.
10
11
              (Becess.)
              (No omissions.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

THE COURT: Are you ready to proceed, Counsel?

MR. NEGUS: Your Honor, Mr. Kochis contacted the

San Bernardino County Jail. They have no objection to my

proposed order.

THE COURT: I have already spoken with the clerk to prepare another order. And we need the witness back on the stand.

MR. NEGUS: She's here.

THE COURT: Mrs. Cordua.

You remain under oath.

- (BY MR. NEGUS:) Other than the statement of Mr. Shephard, did you have any other evidence that a camp jacket was issued to Mr. Cooper?
- A. Not that I.can think of, other than what was contained in the incident report.
- Q And what was that?
- A. That would be the face sheet that I spoke of, and that contains Mr. Shephard's report.
- At the Preliminary Hearing, did you testify that you told Mr. Clifford that it was possible that a jacket was issued but you would have no way of knowing whether in fact one was?
- A. I'm not certain if that was my exact wording. It would be that there would be no record kept of an inmate issued clothing.

MR. NEGUS: If I could read, Your Honor, from

Volume 21, Page 147, Lines 13 through 15. THE COURT: Go right ahead. 3 (BY MR. NEGUS:) "Q Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford 5 that a jacket, camp jacket was issued to Kevin Cooper? 7 "A I would have no way of knowing 8 it was." Did you provide Mr. Clifford with the description 9 of clothing that was contained in Mr. Shephard's report? 10 11 Yes, I did. And that was from the memo that I showed you just before 12 13 the break? 14 From the incident report. That's the -- that's the thing that I showed you just 15 before the break that we quoted from? 16 17 Yes, a piece of it. Well, that was the complete quoted description we quoted, 18 19 was it not? Yes, but that's not the complete report. 20 Did you give -- did you tell Mr. Clifford everything 21 that was in that report or just the clothing description? 22 I believe the whole report was made available to the 23 24 sheriff's department.

I'm talking specifically about -- about Mr. Clifford.

Did you -- did you tell him that -- him personally that

```
that clothing description?
2
        That's possible, yes. I told him what the incident
3
        report said that the inmate that had escaped had on.
        Did you ever show Mr. Cooper's central file to anybody
        in the sheriff's office?
        I don't recall that I personally did. I am aware it was
7
        shown.
8
        Are you aware of when it was shown?
        The exact day, no.
    A.
10
    Q.
        Approximately?
11
        I couldn't give you a date.
12
        June, July?
    a
13
        No. It would have been in June.
14
        At the beginning of the investigation?
15
        Yes.
16
        And do you know who -- who was the person that did that?
17
        I'm not certain.
18
        And do you know if in fact it was shown to Sergeant
19
        Arthur?
20
        I -- I don't know. I showed the medical file. Are you
21
        just speaking of the central file or and the medical
22
        file?
23
        I'm getting to the medical file. But you showed the
24
        medical file -- file to somebody?
25
```

And who did -- did you show it to somebody in the

26

I had it, yes.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

sheriff's office?

- A I believe I showed it to Sergeant Arthur.
- G And when was that?
- A I -- the day that I -- I Xeroxed some copies out of it.

 It was when they were over at -- back over at the homicide.

 The date I don't know.
- Q It was when the command center, as it were, had shifted from the West End back over to homicide?
- A. Well, they were over there. I don't know if it had shifted. They were over there the day that I -- that I was Xeroxing.
- And did you personally take those papers over to the homicide department?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And gave them to Sergeant Arthur?
 - A. There were several people in the room. I handed them to Sergeant Arthur, I believe. There were others present.
 - Did you ever show contracts between a vendor and -- and the -- and any of the facilities at California Institution for Men to the sheriff's office for the sale of tennis shoes?
 - A. I saw them, but I was not the person that showed them.
 - Q Were you present when they were shown?
 - A. No.
 - Q When did you -- when did you first see the contracts?
 - A. I saw them -- Lieutenant Smith had them, and I believe

he was getting ready to take them to share with the sheriff's office.

- Q Was that in response to a discovery motion?
- A I don't recall. I just recall seeing them.
- You yourself never -- did you ever discuss those contracts
 with any members of the sheriff's office?
- A. No. I also ended some to the District Attorney, I

 believe to Mr. Kochis, right before we started, I

 believe it was, the Prelim, the last time. There were

 some that Lieutenant Smith sent for me to give to him

 when I came up here.
- 12 Q Were those different than the ones that Lieutenant Smith
 13 took to the sheriff's office?
- 14 A. I don't have any idea. I only glanced he -- when he told me, "Take these. This is what they are."
- Q Okay. Was that the same time frame that we're talking about, that -- when -- was there two trips with contracts or just one?
- 19 A. I only handed them once.
- 20 Q To your knowledge --
- 21 A. But this other one, I'm aware that there was a time 22 prior that -- that I saw some.
- 23 Q But you don't remember what month or anything?
- 24 A. No.

2

3

25 Q And did you, like, ever discuss contracts at the
26 briefing -- at the morning briefings or anything like

that?

A Not that I recall.

Are tan shoes available to inmates at the California
Institution for Men?

A. Not that I'm aware of, not tan.

When Lieutenant Shephard wrote in his incident report that the inmate that he saw was wearing tan shoes, did you know to what he was referring?

A. No.

(No omissions.)

Q	Did	you	ever	ask	him	about	that?
---	-----	-----	------	-----	-----	-------	-------

2 A No.

- Q When -- when a person gets one of those medical chronos for the issuance of tennis shoes, from whom do they get the tennis shoes?
- A. I don't know. I would assume the person that works the gym area, but I don't know.
- Q Is it also possible that they can have tennis shoes sent in from outside, from their families or their friends?
- A. Not that I'm aware of.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

BY MR. KOCHIS:

Q Mrs. Cordua, on Thursday, June the 2nd of 1983, did you become involved in the investigation of an alleged escape from CIM?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- A Yes, I did.
- And was that investigation initiated by observations Lieutenant Shephard had made earlier that afternoon?
- A That's correct.
- And, essentially, in terms of sequence, is what took place that Mr. Shephard observed a person on the outside of the perimeter fence on Edison Avenue, wearing what appeared to him to be State-issue prison

```
clothing?
```

- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q Was then a count done of the inmates at CIM?
- A Yes, it was.
- 5 Q Did that count reveal that there were two inmates that
- 6 in fact could not be accounted for?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q Did it reveal that there was at least one inmate that 9 you could not account for?
- 10 A. There was one.
- 11 4 And was that Mr. Trautman or Mr. Khori?
- 12 A That was Trautman.
- During the month of June of 1983, how many inmates in fact escaped from CIM?
- 15 A. Two.
- 16 Q And was one of those Mr. Knori?
- 17 A. Yes, it was.
- 18 Q Was the other one Mr. Trautman?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- 20 Q When Mr. Shephard made his observations, did he
- 21 observe the physical description of the person he
- 22 saw wearing the State-issue clothing?
- 23 A. Yes, he did.
- 24 Q And did that include height, weight and race?
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q The description of the person Mr. Shephard observed,

```
that was consistent with Mr. Trautman's physical
         appearance, was it not?
2
         Yes, it was.
3
         It was not consistent with Mr. Knori who is a Caucasian?
         Mr. Knori had not escaped.
     A.
5
         But Mr. Knori is a Caucasian?
6
         That's correct.
     A.
7
         Did you then go on June the 2nd of 1983 and review
8
         Mr. Trautman's central file?
9
         Yes.
10
         When you opened the file, was there any documentation
11
         inside the file that indicated that Mr. Trautman may.
12
         in fact be another person?
13
         Yes, there was.
14
         Was that documentation from a jurisdiction other than
15
         California?
16
         Yes, it was.
17
     A.
         And did it indicate a name that was either an AKA
18
         used by Mr. Trautman or in fact Mr. Trautman's true
19
20
         name?
         Yes, it did.
21
     A.
         Was that name Kevin Cooper?
22
23
         Yes, it was.
         And were you aware of that name on Thursday, June
24
         the 2nd?
25
```

Yes, I was.

```
Q Were you aware that on Sunday, June the 5th of 1983, the CIM prison was contacted by Sheriff's homicide in connection with the Ryen homicides?
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

9

- And from that time on, was your office providing information to the Sheriff's homicide about the escapees?
- 8 A. Yes, we were.
 - Q Did that include Mr. Trautman and Mr. Knori?
- 10 A. Yes.
- investigation attempting to apprehend Mr. Trautman and Mr. Cooper parallel that of the Sheriff's investigation?
- 15 A. Yes, it was.
 - Q Did you in fact yourself attend briefings at Homicide?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Did they include briefings at the West End?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q Did they include briefings in San Bernardino?
- 21 A Yes, it did.
- Outside of those briefing settings, did you likewise
 have conversations with Sheriff's detectives, including
 Detective Clifford, as to what you knew about TrautmanCooper?
- 26 A Numerous times.

```
Were you keeping records of every time you had a conversation with a San Bernardino County deputy sheriff about Mr. Cooper?
```

- A. No, I was not.
- Were you keeping records of every piece of information you passed to the Sheriff's homicide investigators about Mr. Cooper?
- 8 A. No, I was not.

6

7

12

16

- 9 Can you recall with specificity concretely every day
 10 that you passed information to Mr. Clifford, for
 11 example?
 - A No, I can't.
- 13 Q Can you recall with exact specificity the content of
 every conversation you had with Mr. Clifford about
 Mr. Cooper?
 - A No, I can't.
- 17 Q Did you take brown camp issue jackets to Homicide?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q How many occasions?
- 20 A I took once to West End and once to Homicide.
- Directing your attention to an item which has been marked for identification as Exhibit S-24, which appears to be a jacket, I am going to ask you to examine the jacket and tell the Court if you recognize the jacket.
 - A Yes, I do.

```
Q First of all, with the type of clothing, do you recognize that jacket as a piece of clothing that is issued to inmates at CIM?

A Yes, it is.
```

- And is that what is commonly referred to as a camp issue jacket?
- A. Yes.

. 3

7a

- Q Do the colors on those particular jackets vary among the individual jackets, depending on the type of laundering they receive and the age of the jacket
- 12 A. That's correct.
 - Q The exhibit in front of you, which I believe is S-24, did you take that exhibit to Homicide in San Bernardino and give it to Mr. Clifford?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Is there a reason you took the particular jacket
 that's in front of you on the witness stand over to
 Homicide in San Bernardino and gave it to Mr. Clifford?
- 20 A Yes, there is.
- 21 Q What is the reason?
 - A I asked Lieutenant Shephard to find -- go to the clothing room and get me a jacket that was the same shade as the one he saw on the inmate on the perimeter.
 - Now, you mentioned earlier on direct examination that the Shephard report was kept in the Trautman

```
escape file; is that true?
```

A That's correct.

1

2

3

6

8

9

15

16

- Q Is the theory the institution was operating under during those first weeks in June, 1983 -- was the institution's theory that the man that Mr. Shephard saw was Mr. Trautman?
- 7 A. That's correct.
 - Q In fact, was Mr. Shephard's observation report filed under the Trautman escape?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 2 When you went to Mr. Clifford in Homiciae and gave
 12 him that particular jacket, do you recall the words
 13 you imparted to Mr. Clifford when you explained why
 14 you brought that particular jacket to him?
 - A. Basically, yes.
 - Q What were the words?
- 17 A. That Lieutenant Shephard had picked this one out as
 18 this was the shade of jacket that he saw on the man
 19 on the perimeter.
- 20 Q And you were aware at that time that the institution's theory was that that man was Mr. Trautman?
 - A That's correct.
- 23 Q Did you pass that information on to Sheriff's homicide?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q And is that essentially a jacket that an inmate gets
 26 from CIM if he's issued the clothing?

```
A. Yes.
```

- When inmates get to a State Prison, for example, Chino, are they issued particular types of clothing?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q And does that include the blue -- dark blue bluejean dungarees?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q And the blue denim shirts?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 . White T-shirts?
- 1: A. Right.
- 12 Camp jackets such as that in front of you on the witness stand?
- 14 A. Yes, right.
- When an inmate leaves the institution, must he return all those pieces of clothing back to CIM?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q Did you also pass to Detective Clifford information pertaining to tennis shoes?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Did you pass to Detective Clifford information that
 in Mr. Trautman's medical file there was a report
 indicating that a physician had recommended that he
 be issued tennis shoes for a medical problem?
- 25 A That's correct.
- 26 Q Were you also aware that your -- one of your partners,

Zeke Hernandez, had interviewed an inmate who stated that he had issued tennis shoes to Mr. Trautman?

- A. That's correct.
- Q And did you make that available to Mr. Clifford?
- 5 A Yes, I did.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

14

- Now, Mr. Negus asked you the question about the different types of tennis shoes that are available at CIM. What type of tennis shoes stands out in your mind?
- 10 A Pro-Ked.
- 11 CIM, were there numerous boxes containing brand new
 13 Pro-Ked tennis shoes?
 - A. Yes.
- And were you aware when you talked to Mr. Clifford that inmates at CIM are issued in the gym Pro-Ked tennis shoes?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q Did you pass that information on to Mr. Clifford?
 - A Yes, I believe I did.

(No omissions.)

22

20

21

23

24

25

Mrs. Cordua, then, you were aware as early as June the 2nd that Mr. Trautman's possible true name was Kevin Cooper?

A Yes.

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- The -- the inmate that Mr. -- Mr. Hernandez had talked to hadn't indicated in any way that the tennis shoes that he claimed he had given to Mr. Cooper were given pursuant to any medical authorization, had he?
- A. I don't know.
- Q Did you ever tell Mr. Clifford that the information that the inmate had -- had purported to have issued tennis shoes pursuant to a medical authorization?
- A. I only passed on that there was an inmate, and the rest
 Mr. Hernandez took care of. I only gave the initial
 that there was an inmate available.
- In all the -- in all the -- in all the times that you were talking to the sheriff's office, even if you can't remember what specific information that you gave them, in any of those times would you have given information that would be more than facts that you actually knew?

A. I don't know what you're trying to ask me.

21

22

23 24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Well, would you have -- would you have, for example,
 made up information to give to -- to any of the people
 in the briefings?

- A. No, I would not.
- Q And if -- if in fact you didn't know a fact, you wouldn't tell them that that fact existed, would you?
- A. No, I would not.
- Q So the information that you passed on to the sheriffs during those West End briefings and countless other contacts you had with them was only stuff that was either based on your own personal knowledge or accurately reflected what you had been told by somebody else in the institution; is that correct?
- A. Yes, by some -- perhaps something that someone else had told me.
 - All right. But when you were passing on something that somebody else had told you, you would only pass accurately what they had given to you and not inaccurately; is that true?
- A. That's correct.
- Q The -- the request of Lieutenant Shephard to -- to pick out a camp jacket of the -- of the proper color, was that made by somebody outside the institution or inside the institution?

Let me withdraw the question. I think maybe it's ambiguous.

24 25

3

7

Did -- were you requested to get Lieutenant Shephard to do that by -- by -- by the sheriff's office or did that idea originate with the investigators?

- A I don't really recall. The first jacket or jackets that
 I took up were brand new. And I believe then I asked
 Shephard if -- you know, what color was the one he saw.
 And then I asked him to get me one of that color. I
 don't recall if they had asked me to get that or not or
 if I just went ahead and asked him to get one.
- 10 Q When -- when did -- when did Mr. Shephard pick this jacket
 11 up?
- 12 A. They were already back over at homicide. The exact date
 13 I don't recall.
- 14 Q It would have been in the month of June, though?
- 15 A. I'm not certain.
- 16 Q The -- the day that -- that he picked it out, would that
 17 have been the same day that you took it over to homicide?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q Would it -- within -- within a short period of time,
 20 though?
- 21 A. Yes. I don't remember if I took it that afternoon or locked it up and took it the next morning.
- 23 Q Did you -- did you include that information in the 24 report that you wrote?
- 25 A. No.
- 26 Q Why not?

```
I -- I'm not certain whether I did or not.
 2
         Is there any reason why you would have omitted it?
 3
         I don't know whether it's in there or not.
         Assuming it's not, is there any reason why you would have
         omitted it?
         Not that I can think of.
 7
        According to your knowledge of procedures, did everybody
        every inmate who -- who's issued clothing at CIM minimum,
         are they issued a camp jacket?
10
        No.
11
        How -- how does -- how does -- how does the institution
12
        pick and choose between those that get one and those
13
        that don't?
14
        The -- it depends on the weather.
15
        An inmate may be more apt to get one if it's cold outside
16
        than if it's warm?
17
        That, or his preference, or the type of job that he has,
18
        or a number of things. If he requests one, he can have
19
        one.
        Well, they always have them available?
20
21
        I wouldn't know.
                          I would assume.
22
        Well, have you been over there recently? Do you know if
23
        the have them available now?
        I have -- yes, I have seen them a couple of months ago
```

The place we're talking about issuing them is the -- the

25 26 when they had hundreds.

the laundry room at the north -- excuse me, the western part of CIM?

- 3 That was the one that I was in, yes, on the minimum side.
- 5 Well, those camp jackets aren't available at West or Central or East, are they?
- I don't know offhand. They wouldn't be available at 7 8 Central.
- When an inmate passes from one part of the institution to another, does that inmate give up most of his clothing? 10
- 11 Yes.
- 12 And is reissued new clothing when he gets to the new 13 place?
- 14 Yes.
- 15 Is there any items of clothing that the inmate doesn't 16 give up?
- I wouldn't have any direct knowledge of that. 17
- When -- when the fact that -- that David Trautman was 18 not a first term or not only a first term burglar from 19 Los Angeles became known, did the institution immediately 20 publicize that fact? 21
- Could you restate that again. 22
- When the fact -- on June 2nd, when it became known that 23 David Trautman was not what he seemed to be and was in 24 fact somebody from Pennsylvania with a series of holds 25 and retainers on him, was his identity -- his true

- identity immediately publicized by the institution?
- 2 I have no idea.

5

12

- The institution did release to the public, did it not, a brief statement that a person by the name of David Trautman had escaped from CIM?
- 6 I have nothing to do with that department. I don't know what they release. 7
- During your -- your search for Mr. -- for Mr. Trautman on the -- on the night of June 2nd, his true -- his true identity was an embarrassment to the department, was it 10 11 not?
 - His true identity as David Trautman?
- 13 The fact that he was also known as Kevin Cooper, a person Pennsylvania had wants and holds on, that was an 15 embarrassment to the department, was it not?
- I would have no idea. I don't -- I don't have anything 16 to do with that department. 17
- Did you have any -- any contact with Mr. Bales that night? 18
- I saw him. 19
- Did you hear any of the publicity about Mr. Cooper's 20 escape, say, June 5th, June 6th? 21
- 22 I saw someone on T.V.
- All that publicity on June the 5th and June the 6th 23 mentioned only the name David Trautman and nothing about 24 Mr. Cooper, an escaped mental patient from Pennsylvania, 25 or anything like that; is that true?

A. I -- I wouldn't know.

Q Did you ever release, prior to June the 7th, to any member of the media the information that David Trautman was in fact a person wanted by the State of Pennyslvania with the true name of Kevin Cooper?

- A. I do not make press releases.
- Q So the answer is no?
- A Absolutely.

(No omissions.)

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOCHIS:

- Q Mrs. Cordua, did you see on TV after the Ryen homicides were discovered any of that pretrial publicity?
- A. Some, yes.
- And do you recall that when the crime was first discovered, that the publicity mentioned that there were three escapees from neighboring facilities that were wanted for questioning in conjunction with the homicides?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q And do you recall on the press releases on TV pictures of those individuals being displayed on the TV with their names?
 - A. Yes.

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- When you saw those pictures displayed, the picture of Mr. Cooper was a -- taken from his inmate I.D. card; is that correct?
- As I recall, it was the same one as on the flier, yes.

And the only name that went out on the television was that of David Trautman; is that not correct?

A. I couldn't say for sure.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

MR. KOCHIS: No further recross.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Cordua.

You may step down.

MR. NEGUS: Don Smith.

Do you want to start? We can wait.

THE COURT: Five minutes or so.

TR. MEGUD: Flve minutes is fine.

<u>DONALDEDNIN</u> <u>SMITH</u>, called as a witness by the defendant, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record, and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Donald Edwin Smith, S-m-i-t-h.

2

3

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- Q Mr. Smith, what is your occupation?
- A I am an investigative lieutenant for the California
 Institution for Men in Chino.
- 6 Q And were you so employed in June of 1983?
- 7 A Yes, I was.
- In the month of June of 1983, did you ever furnish any shoes of the types issued to inmates to the
- 10 San Bernardine Sheriff's Office?
- 11 A. Yec. 1 414.
- 12 | Q On how many occasions?
- 13 A. One occasion.
- 14 | Q And when was that?
- A Approximately the week of the 7th -- 6th or 7th of June.
- 17 Q Where did you take them?
- 18 A. I brought them to the West End Sheriff's Station.
- 19 Q And whom did you give them there -- to whom did you give them there?
- 21 A. I believe I gave them to Sergeant Arthur.
- 22 Q And you say it was the week of the 6th or the 7th.
- 23 Do you remember what day of the week it was?
- 24 A I believe it was on a Thursday.
- 25 Q Was that in the morning or the afternoon?
- 26 A That was in the morning.

```
Q Had you been requested to bring those shoes?
```

2 A Yes.

3

Q By whom?

A I don't remember who called. It was from the Sheriff's Department, though.

Q What did they request that you bring?

7 A They requested me to bring samples of shoes that were issued to inmates.

g Q Just any old inmates in the institution?

A Samples of shoes that were available to be issued to limites.

12 Q How many shoes did you bring?

13 | A I believe it was five to six.

14 Q What type of shoes were they?

15 A. They were various types, leather with usually a composition sole.

17 Q Were there any tennis shoes or sporting shoes?

18 A. No, sir.

20

21

22

26

19 Q Where did you get the shoes?

A. I directed a watch sergeant at R.C. Central to go to R and R, Receiving and Release, and get me pairs of the various shoes that were available there.

23 Q What -- where is R and R located?

24 A. It's located in Reception Center Central.

25 Q Do they still have shoes there?

A. Yes, they do.

Q Let's see. Friday, the 9th, you escorted Mr. Forbush, Mr. Kottmeier, Mr. Kochis and myself around the institution. Did we visit R and R when we were there on that day?

5 A No, sir.

2

3

14

- 6 Q Are there any tennis shoes available in R and R?
- 7 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 8 Q After that initial time, did you ever cause any 9 other shoes to be gathered?
- 10 | A. No, sir.
- 11 C. Did yet -- or have you discussed that action on your part in gathering the shoes and taking them up to the West End with Teresa Cordua?
 - A I believe we talked about it, yes.
- 15 2 When was that?
- 16 A. Over the last few weeks.
- And did you tell her that you were the person that had picked up the shoes and took -- had taken them up on the first trip?
- 20 A I believe I did, yes.
- 21 Q Did that conversation take place in your office at
 22 the administration building at CIM?
 - A. I believe it did.
- 24 Q Did you ever attempt to gather contracts within the
 25 various facilities at CIM and certain vendors, the
 26 contracts being for tennis shoes?

-

1

3

5

6

7

Yes, I did.

When did you do that?

Shortly after the first discovery motion prior to the preliminary hearing.

So assuming that that first discovery motion was sometime in the month of September, it would have been in that particular time frame?

- Yes, it would. 8
- Was that the first time that you gathered those 9 contracts 10
- Yes, I believe it was. 11
- Had you ever shown them to anybody before that from 12 the Sheriff's Office or anyplace? 13
- No, I don't believe I did. 14
- Had you ever provided copies of them to anybody 15 before? 16
- No, I don't believe I did. 17
- And did you -- did you bring those -- those copies 18 of contracts up to the District Attorney's Office 19 yourself? 20
- 21 A. Yes, I did.

- Just prior to the preliminary hearing in November, 22 did you find some additional contracts which you 23 then had Mrs. Cordua bring up to the prosecutor's 24 25 office?
 - I believe I brought them up.

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

23

24

25

```
ONTARIO, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, MARCH 19, 1984; 1:35 P.M.
     DEPARTMENT NO. 2
                               HONORABLE RICHARD C. GARNER, JUDGE
             (Appearances as heretofore noted.)
 5
                         I remind you you're still under oath.
             THE COURT:
             THE WITNESS:
                            Yes, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
 8
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
 9
10
    BY MR. NEGUS:
11
        Did you ever trans -- relay any information about an
12
        inmate named James Taylor to the San Bernardino Sheriff's
13
        Office?
14
        No, sir.
15
        Did you ever have any discussions with them about --
16
        about him or about him issuing tennis shoes to Mr. Cooper?
        No, sir.
17
18
        Did you ever attend any of the -- the morning briefings
19
        either at the West End Sheriff's Office or back in
        homicide?
20
        No, sir.
21
        On the night of June the 5th, did -- did you meet with
22
        a detective named Phil Danna at the front gate of the
23
        institution?
24
       Yes, I did.
25
       And what was the purpose for that meeting?
26
```

- A Detective Danna wanted information regarding the recent escapees from CIM.
- One of those recent escapees was a person known to you at that time as David Trautman?
- A Yes.

- Q You were aware, were you not, that the State of
 Pennsylvania had at some point in time attempted to
 place a hold on Mr. Trautman?
- A At that time I was, yes.
- You were also aware at that time, were you not, that, had that information been known to the people who did the classification of Mr. Trautman, he would never have been placed in a minimum security institution --

MR. KOCHIS: I'm going to object.

Q (BY MR. NEGUS:) -- is that correct?

MR. KOCHIS: That calls for speculation on his part as to whether someone overlooked it or didn't do their job or what have you.

THE COURT: He may know what the policy is and the procedures are. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question, sir.

(BY MR. NEGUS:) You were aware, were you not, that, according to CIM classification procedures, that, if the information that Mr. Trautman was -- was -- was wanted by Pennsylvania and had a hold and detainer placed on him had been included in his classification summary, he

never would have been placed in a minimum institution; is that correct?

- At that particular time, I did not have any more details regarding why he was wanted or any details about his case in Pennsylvania.
- Q When did you become aware of those details?
 - A It wasn't until the next after the escape.
 - Q Do you remember approximately when?
 - A Probably Monday, the following Monday.
- 10 Q When did you become aware that Mr. Trautman's true name
 11 was Kevin Cooper?
- 12 A The week after the escape. I don't -- I don't remember
 13 the exact date, sir.
 - Q The same time you became aware of the -- of the significance of the holds and detainers?
 - A That's very likely.

2

3

7

8

14

15

16

19

20

- 17 Q Are you aware of the procedures by which the institution issues press releases to the media about escapees?
 - A. Only in that they are released through the administrative assistant to the superintendent.
- 21 Q That would be Mrs. Stephens?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q In the case of Mr. Trautman, prior to noon on the 7th
 24 day of June, Wednesday, was there any announcement made
 25 that David Trautman had a true name of Kevin Cooper?
 - A I have no idea, sir.

- 1 Q Did you hear any such announcement made?
- 2 A No, sir.
- 3 Q Did you hear any of the publicity about the escape in 4 the -- in the time up to June the 7th?
- 5 A Quite possibly, and on the T.V. news.
- 6 Q On the T.V. news at that point in time, the only name
- 7 that was mentioned in connection with -- with Mr. Cooper's
- 8 escape was the name of David Trautman; is that correct?
- 9 A I don't know that for a fact, sir, no.
- 10 Q At some point in time after June the 5th, did you have
- 11 a -- another contact with Mr. Danna?
- 12 A After June the 5th?
- 13 Q Yes.
- 14 A I had a contact with him, yes, at CIM.
- 15 | Q Approximately how long after June 5th was it?
- 16 A I believe it was around the 7th or 8th of June.
- 17 Q And did that take place in your office?
- 18 A No. sir.
- 19 Q Where did it take place?
- 20 A At the medical clinic.
- 21 Q Is that in the administration building?
- 22 A No, it's not. It's in the hospital.
- 23 Q That hospital is a -- is a smaller building directly
- 24 north of the administration building?
- 25 A. That's correct.
- 26 Q At that point in time, did you release to Detective Danna

```
parts of Mr. Trautman's medical file?
  2
                I gave him Xerox copies of papers that were in the
  3
         file.
         Did you give him the whole file or just parts of them?
 5
         I believe it was the entire file.
         Had somebody requested of you that you provide that to
 7
         Mr. Danna?
         I believe it was Mr. Danna.
         Had you had any prior notice that he was going to make
10
         that request?
11
         I don't recall at this time, no.
         Did Mr. Danna tell you what he wanted it for?
12
         I don't believe he did, no.
13
         Included in the information that you released to Mr.
14
15
         Danna was a chrono dated May 3rd, signed by a Dr.
        Bailey; is that true?
16
        There was a chrono signed by Dr. Bailey. I'm not sure
17
        of the date on it.
18
        And that chrono indicated that Dr. Bailey was prescribing
19
        tennis shoes for Mr. Cooper due to a foot defect?
20
        I believe it was due to knee surgery.
21
22
        Showing you Page 360 of the discovery, there down at the
        bottom appears to be a copy of a chrono from Dr. --
23
        authorized by Dr. Bailey; is that correct?
24
        Well, I can't read the -- any signature at the bottom
25
```

where the doctor would normally sign it.

Nothing other than the discovery.

```
    By "the discovery," you mean documents that I had
    specifically requested through written motions filed
    with the Court?
```

A. That's correct.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

6 7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5

BY MR. KOCHIS:

Lieutenant Smith, did you keep a record of every time
 you had a conversation with somebody from sheriff's
 homicide about the Trautman-Cooper escape?

CROSS EXAMINATION

- 12 A No, sir.
 - Q Did you keep any record of the type of information you passed on to sheriff's detectives about the Trautman-Cooper escape?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q Is it fair to say that you had conversations with sheriff's detectives about the Cooper-Trautman escape on numerous occasions?
 - A Yes, that is correct?
 - Directing your attention back to Sunday, June the 5th,
 the day at which you gave some material to Detective
 Danna from the sheriff's department, did one of those
 pieces of paper consist of a state prison escape bulletin?
 - A Yes, it did.

(No omissions.)

Q On Mr. Trautman?

A Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

23

24

- Q Directing your attention to an item which has been marked for identification as Exhibit S-33, does this appear to be a copy of the bulletin you handed to Mr. Danna on that Sunday night?
- A Yes, it is.
- 8 Q And that piece of paper contains the aliases that
 9 Mr. Trautman was believed to have used; is that not
 10 correct?
- 11 A. Ves.
- 12 \ Q One of those is the name Kevin Cooper?
- 13 A Yes, it is.
- 14 Q You made that available to Mr. Danna on June the 5th?
- 15 A Yes, I did.
- 16 Q Mr. Danna was not the only person to whom a copy of 17 that bulletin was given, was he?
- 18 A On the night of June the 5th, I believe he was the only one I gave it to.
- 20 Q Let's put that night aside for a moment, Lieutenant.
 21 What is the purpose when an inmate escapes for
 22 preparing a bulletin such as S-33's?
 - A We distribute them to the various law enforcement agencies in the area.
- 25 Q Was that done in the case where Mr. Trautman escaped?
 - A Yes, it was.

đ	Would that process have started on June the 2n	d, the
	day you determined that he was no longer at th	e
	institution?	

- A Yes, it would.
- Q Do you recall the first time that you were contacted by the Sheriff's Department and requests were made for copies of tennis shoe contracts? Do you recall what month that was in?
- A No, sir, I do not.

MR. KOCHIS: I don't have anything else.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

THE TOWER: Anything?

12 13

14

15

16

17

22

25

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

BY MR. NEGUS:

- Q The wanted flier, that's an instrument that goes strictly to law enforcement; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- 18 3 I mean, it has confidential information about
 19 addresses of friends and things like that on the
 20 bottom which would not be circulated just to any
 21 old person; is that -- is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- 23 Q The press gets a different piece of paper, does it not, 24 a press release from the institution about escapes?
 - A. I don't know, sir.
- 26 Q You would not in the course of your business normally

1 2 3

5

6

7

8

distribute such a wanted poster as you have before you to the press?

A. No, I would not.

MR. NEGUS: Thank you.

I have nothing further.

MR. KOCHIS: No redirect -- or no recross.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

MR. NEGUS: Zeke Hernandez.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $\underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{C}$, called as a witness by the defendant, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record, and spell your first and last name.

THE WITNESS: Ezekiel Hernandez, E-z-e-k-i-e-l H-e-r-n-a-n-d-e-z.

22

23

DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. NEGUS:

Q Mr. Hernandez, what is your occupation?

A I am an investigator for the California Department

```
11-4
```

```
of Corrections, California Institution for Men, Chino State Prison.
```

- Q And were you so employed in June of 1983?
- A Yes, sir.

- on that -- in June of 1983, did you make contact with an inmate by the name of James Taylor?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q And did you interview him?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- Did you relay the results of that interview to anybody

 In the Jan Bernardino Sheriff's Office?
- 12 A. No, I did not.
- 13 Q Did you ever pass on any of the information that you had in that interview to anybody in the Sheriff's
- 15 Office?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q To whom?
- 18 A. Teresa Cordua. She in turn relayed the message to
 19 San Bernardino S.O.
- 20 Q Well, did you ever go up and tell the people in the -21 in the Sheriff's Office, you know, what you had learned
 22 from this man?
- 23 A. I don't believe I did, no.
- 24 Q In the interview that you had with Mr. Taylor, he 25 first told you, did he not, that he had issued a 26 pair of P.F. Flyers to Kevin Cooper?

- Yes, he did.
- Did you relay that information on to Teresa Cordua? Q
- I don't think so. A. 3
 - Q Why not?

9

- I just don't remember. I may have. I may not have. 5 I don't know. I don't remember.
- Did you tell Teresa Cordua that at the time that 7 Mr. Taylor spoke to you, he had pending charges under 8 CDC 115 for possessing contraband in the prison?
- Did Teresa Cordua tell me? 10
- No. Did you tell her that at the time you talked to 11 James Taylor, Mr. Taylor had pending CDC 115 charges 12 for possessing contraband in the prison? 13
- I don't recall, but I'm sure she was aware of it. 14
- Why do you say you're sure she's aware of it? Q 15
- Because that case was assigned to me. A. 16
- Mr. Taylor's case? Q. 17
- A. Yes. 18
- And so she would have become aware of your caseload 19 by working with you? 20
- 21 A. Yes.

24

- And you were the person that investigated the 22 possession of the roach by Mr. Taylor? 23
 - Not until after. I'm trying to think of some dates when he was picked up for possession of marijuana.
- I think it was sometime in May. 26

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Q How long after Mr. Taylor was picked up -- well, would the date of May 10th refresh your recollection?

A. That's the date.

- Q How long after May 10th was it that you first began investigating that case?
- A I don't recall when it was assigned to me after that.
- 7 | C It would have been within a week, two weeks, three weeks?
 - A Probably within ten days.
 - Are there any time limits on CDC 115 investigations
 because of precedural time limits in those prosecutions?
 - A There are time limits; however, when there's a felony involved, there's no time limits as far as the inmate can be heard -- have his 115 heard, or he can give up that right.
 - Q Did Mr. Taylor give up his right to have --
 - A I have no idea. I don't have anything to do with 115's.
 - You were just investigating the case to take it to court?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Was it in connection with that investigation that you began talking to Mr. Taylor about Mr. Cooper?
 - A. I didn't talk to Mr. Taylor about his case until way after. If any, I talked to him after June the 10th.

 I didn't know who Mr. Taylor was.

- 1 Q Maybe I didn't understand you. You had Mr. Taylor's
 2 case to investigate within a week or two after May the
 3 l0th, right?
- 4 A I did.
 - Q And you spoke to Mr. Taylor on June the 10th?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 Q And so when you spoke to Mr. Taylor on June the 10th,
- you were aware that Mr. Taylor had the marijuana charge,
- 9 did you not?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 Q So did the conversation you had with Mr. -- with Mr.
- 12 Taylor on June 10th have anything to do with his
- pending marijuana charges?
- 14 A Nothing whatsoever.
- 15 Q Was that mentioned to him at all?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q Had you done anything to indicate to Mr. Taylor that you were the person that was investigating his pending
- marijuana charges?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q Did you ever attend any of the briefings that the
- 22 | San Bernardino Sheriff's Office had in connection with
- 23 the -- their investigation into the Ryen homicides at
- either the West End Sheriff's Office or the homicide
- 25 department?

A No, I did not.

- 1 Q Did you ever act as a person that carried information 2 from the Department of Corrections investigation of the 3 case to the sheriff's office?
 - A No, sir.
- Did you ever gather any shoes from the institution to take to the sheriff's department?
 - A No, sir.

- And did you ever actually transport any shoes that

 somebody else had gathered from the institution to the
 sheriff's department?
 - A. No, sir.
- 12 Q Were you present on June the 13th when a deputy named
 13 Michael Mascetti interviewed Mr. Taylor?
- 14 A I was.
- During that interview, Mr. Taylor did not mention P.F.

 Flyers to Mr. Mascetti; is that correct?
- 17 A I don't recall, but I don't think it was mentioned.
- 18 Q Did you tell Mr. Mascetti that -- that Taylor had

 19 previously told you he had given Mr. Cooper P.F. Flyers?
- 20 A I don't recall whether I did or not.
- 21 Q Did you tell Mr. Mascetti that Mr. Taylor had a pending 22 charge against him of possessing marijuana in prison?
- 23 A. No, I did not.
- 24 Q Why not?
- 25 A. Because I hadn't gone into the case.
- 26 Q He still had the charges pending, though, did he not?

Did you give any details about them?

I could have, but I don't remember.

Yes.

25

```
1 Q Was your conversation on June the 10th with Mr. Taylor
2 tape recorded?
```

- A Yes, it was.
- Q By whom?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- 5 A. Gary Murray.
- 6 Q Did you tell that fact to Mr. Mascetti?
- 7 A. I don't recall whether I did or not.
- 8 Q Did you provide Mr. Mascetti with a copy of the tape?
- 9 A. I don't think so.
- 10 Q Why not?
- 11 A. I didn't have the tape.
- 12 Q Well, Mr. Murray did in his desk, did he not?
- 13 A. What did you say?
- 14 Q Mr. Murray had the copy of the tape in his, Mr. Murray's, 15 desk, did he not?
 - A. Yes, he had the tape. I completely forgot about the tape.
 - Q You were aware, were you not, that the information contained on the -- on the tape was inconsistent in some particulars with the information that Mr. Taylor gave to Mr. Mascetti?
 - MR. KOCHIS: Objection. That assumes a fact that's not in evidence, and there's no foundation he has any personal knowledge as to that.
- 24 THE COURT: Lay a better foundation, Mr. Negus.
 - Q (BY MR. NEGUS:) You were present for the entire interview with -- that was tape recorded on June the 10th between

```
1 yourself, Mr. Murray and Mr. Taylor, correct?
```

- A Correct.
- Q You were present for Mr. Mascetti's interview with Mr. Taylor on June the 13th; is that correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- And did you indicate to Mr. Mascetti that there were
 discrepancies between what Mr. Taylor said on June the
 loth and what he said on June the 15th?
- 9 A. You might have to speak a little bit louder. I can't hear you. You're trailing off.
 - Did you tell Mr. Mascetti that there were discrepancies
 between what Mr. Taylor told you on June the 10th and
 what he said on June the 13th?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- 15 Q Why not?

12

- A I didn't take any notes on June the 13th, and I don't know that there was discrepancies.
- 18 Q Did you see Mr. Taylor furnish tennis shoes to Mr.
 19 Mascetti?
- 20 A. Did I see Taylor furnish tennis shoes to Deputy Mascetti?
- 21 0 Yes.
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- 23 Q When Mr. Mascetti left from his interview with Mr.
- 24 Taylor, did he have anything with him?
- 25 A. I don't know.
- 26 Q When Mr. Taylor talked to Mr. Mascetti on June the 13th,

did you hear Mr. Taylor tell Mr. Mascetti that Mr. Cooper had smoked, when he was in the institution, state issued tobacco?

- A To chew tobacco?
- Q Smoked tobacco, state issued tobacco, roll-your-owns?
- A. He told me on June the 10th. I don't recall whether he said it again June the 13th.
- Well, on June the 10th, when -- when you asked Mr.
 Mascetti about that -- excuse me, on June the 10th, when you asked Mr. Taylor about that, you first asked him, did you not, "Does Mr. Cooper smoke?" And he said, "No." That was his first answer, was it not?
- A. I don't remember. I have to look at the transcript of the tape.
- 15 Q Be my guest.
 - A. (Witness complies.) You're right.

17 (No omissions.)

1	Q	Did you mention that fact to Mr. Mascetti?
2	A.	I don't remember.
3		MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.
4		
.5		CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY	MR. KOCHIS:
7	٠ ۾	Mr. Hernandez, the case that you investigated that
8		Mr. Taylor picked up after he got to CIM, was that
9		for allegedly the possession of a small amount of
10		marijuana, what is commonly referred to as a roach?
17	À	Va.
	Q.	Did Mr. Taylor tell you during his interview on
12	•	June the 10th that he had given Mr. Cooper, Mr. Trautman
13		
14		two pair of tennis shoes?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Ĝ	Did he tell you that he first gave Mr. Cooper a pair
17		of P.F. Flyers?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Ü	Did he tell you that subsequent to that, Mr. Cooper
20	·	exchanged those for a pair of Pro-Ked tennis shoes?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Q.	Was that information that you made available to
23	_	Teresa Cordua?
24	A	Yes.
	- 7	Did you likewise talk to any San Bernardino County
25	£.	
26		homicide detectives about this particular case?

Other than Phil Danna on June the 5th, Timmy Wilson, Michael Mascetti. That's about it. On the 5th of June were you present when Lieutenant

3 Smith handed some documents to Phil Danna?

5 Yes, I was.

And was there some conversation which took place at 6 that time? 7

Yes.

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- When did you talk to Tim Wilson? 14
- Tim Wilson on June the 9th, I believe it was. 15
- Where did that take place? 16 Q,
- In Los Angeles. 17 A
- And what was the subject of that conversation? 18
- I was together with Mr. Wilson when we interviewed 19 Yolanda Jackson's mother at her place of business. 20
- What did the conversation concern, though? 21
- That was a long time ago. I don't remember. I wasn't 22 paying much attention. 23
- Was your conversation with Mr. Mascetti solely on 24 25 June the 13th?
- Solely on June the 13th. 26

13-2

(

2

8

9

10

11

12

13-3

1 Q A

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q And was Mr. Danna solely on June the 5th?

A That is correct.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOCHIS:

Were you ever present when other members of your office had conversations with homicide detectives about this case?

A. I couldn't tell you.

MR. ESCHIE: Indon't have anything else.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Hernandez.

MR. NEGUS: Gary Murray.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 \underline{G} \underline{A} \underline{R} \underline{Y} \underline{M} \underline{U} \underline{R} \underline{R} \underline{A} \underline{Y} , called as a witness by the defendant, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.

You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record, and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Gary Murray, M-u-r-r-a-y.

24 25

2

3

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- Q Mr. Murray, what is your occupation?
- A. Investigator.
- 5 Q And for whom are you employed?
 - A. Department of Corrections, California Institution for Men.
- 8 Q And were you so employed in June of 1983?
- g A. Yes.
- In June of 1983, were you requested to gather any shoes from the institution at the request of the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q Did you ever transport any shoes from the institution 15 to any member of the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office?
- 16 A. A pair of shoes was transported, but not by me.
- 17 Q When was that?
- 18 A. I don't know -- I don't recall the exact date. It was
 19 myself and Lieutenant Don Smith.
- 20 Q Was that just one pair of shoes?
- 21 A. I'm not sure. I don't know.
- 22 Q You didn't have anything to do with the gathering
 23 or processing of those shoes? You just drove along
 24 with them, as it were?
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q On June the 10th, 1983, did you -- were you present

```
13-5
                    when an inmate by the name of James Taylor was
 (·.
                    interviewed by Mr. Hernandez?
                    Mr. who?
            3
                    Mr. Hernandez.
                    Yes, I was.
                    Did you tape record that interview?
                    Yes, we did.
                    When you say "we," did you personally do it?
                    I believe I did.
                A.
                    Did you take custody of the tape when you were through?
           10
                    Fee, I did.
           11
                    Were you present on June the 13th when a Deputy Mascetti
           12
                    came down to interview the same Mr. Taylor?
           13
                    No. I wasn't.
           14
                    Did you become aware that the information when --
           15
                    that some information from Mr. Taylor had been
           16
                    transmitted to the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office?
           17
                    Can you rephrase that question again, please?
           18
                    Did you become aware that Mr. Taylor had talked to the
           19
                    Sheriff's Office?
           20
                    I don't believe I was.
           21
                    The tape that you had of Mr. Taylor's conversation,
           22
                    did you ever make that available to the San Bernardino
           23
                    Sheriff's Office?
           24
```

26

No.

Why not?

3

10

11

- A It was placed in my desk drawer, and it completely slipped my mind.
- Q You just forgot about it?
- A. I forgot about it.
- 5 Q For how long?
- 6 A. Until I recall making a taped statement with James
 7 Taylor, at which time we notified the District
 8 Attorney's Office and transcribed the tape for them
 9 and gave them a copy of it.
 - That was not until after Mr. Taylor testified at the preliminary hearing; is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- You prepared a report of your conversation with

 Mr. Taylor to submit through channels to Mrs. Carroll,

 did you not?
- 16 A. It was a report, I believe, of our events concerning this case.
- 18 That report was dated June the 20th, I believe; is that correct?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q Do you have it with you?
- 22 A. No.

- 23 Q Showing you a memorandum dated June 20, 1983, actually
 24 it's titled to Lieutenant Don Smith from G. E. Murray -25 is that a copy of the report that you prepared?
 - A Yes. That's a copy of it.

Q In that report, you have a summary of your conversation with James Taylor; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

James Taylor, when he spoke to you and Mr. Hernandez, described giving a pair of P.F. Flyers to Mr. Cooper, and also described giving a pair of Pro-Keds to Mr. Cooper; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

o, In your report, you only mentioned the Pro-Keds; is that correct?

A Could I see my report?

12 Q Be my guest.

A. Yes; that's correct.

(No omissions.)

1:

2

3

8

```
Q Why did you leave out the P.F. Flyers?
```

- A One again, it was just a brief report to Lieutenant

 Don Smith, and we did have a -- we did have a copy of

 the tape interview with James Taylor. And there wasn't

 any particular reason why I left them out, why I left

 out the Pro-Keds.
- 7 Q You put in the Pro-Keds.
 - A P.F.L. Flyers -- P.F. Flyers, excuse me.
- 9 Q In the -- in the report, did you indicate that there was a tape available?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q Why not?
- 13 A At that time, when -- it wasn't any particular reason.

 14 I don't know.
- 15 Q Were you aware that a copy of your report was being sent
 16 to the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office for their use in
 17 the investigation?
- 18 A No, I don't think so.
 - Q Did you yourself ever appear at any of the briefings that the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office had either at the West End Substation or at the homicide department about the -- their investigation into the Ryen killings?
- 23 A. No.
 - Q Did you ever pass any information that CIM had gathered in their investigation onto any member of the sheriff's department?

25

24

19

20

21

22

A No.

2 Q Did you make Teresa Cordua aware that there was a tape 3 of Mr. Taylor?

- A I don't think I did. I don't remember.
- 5 Q Any reason why not?
- 6 A No, there's no reason why not.
- Were you aware that Teresa Cordua was acting as the liason between the Department of Corrections and the sheriff's office in this investigation?
- 10 A. No, I wasn't aware of that.
- 11 Q were you aware that she was the person that was attending
 12 the briefings on the depart -- on the behalf of your
 13 department to transfer information along to the sheriff's
 14 department?
 - A I don't believe she was attending the briefings.
- 16 Q Were you aware that she was a conduit for information 17 from your department to the sheriff's office?
- 18 A. No.

15

- 19 Q Did you ever tell Lieutenant Smith that you had a tape?
- 20 A. I may have. I don't know. I don't recall.
 - Q Prior to the beginning of the Preliminary Hearing, did you have any contact with Mr. Kochis or other members of the District Attorney's Office about this case?
- 24 A Prior to -- can you repeat the question again.
 - Q Prior to the beginning of the Preliminary Hearing, did you ever have any contact with Mr. Kochis or other members

25 26

21

22

```
of the District Attorney's Office about this case?
        I may have had some contact with Mr. Kochis.
        Did you make Mr. Kochis aware, prior to the beginning
        of the Preliminary Hearing, of the existence of the tape?
        No --
    A.
        Why --
        No.
        Why not?
        Once again, it -- I forgot about the case.
9
            MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.
10
11
            THE COURT:
                        Mr. Kochis.
12
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
13
    BY MR. KOCHIS:
14
        Mr. Murray, prior to the time you interviewed Mr. Taylor,
15
        you and Lieutenant Smith went to the West End or
16
        homicide with a group of shoes; do you recall that?
17
        Yes, I do.
18
        And were you in the car with Lieutenant Smith?
19
        Yes, I was.
20
        Where did the exchange take place? Was it at the West
21
        End or homicide in San Bernardino, as you recall?
22
        It was at the West End.
23
        And was Lieutenant Smith the one who actually was
24
        responsible for carrying the shoes into the West End?
25
        That's correct, he was.
26
```

```
Was it a single pair of shoes or was there a box that
        he carried in?
        I believe it was a box.
        Between the time Lieutenant Smith carried the box of
        shoes into the West End and the time that you interviewed
5
        Mr. Taylor, were you present when two members of the
6
        sheriff's office came down to CIM to request some tennis
7
8
        shoes?
        I was.
        Was one of those -- did one of those officers appear
10
        to be black?
11
        Yes.
12
        And do you remember where they went to get the shoes?
13
        R.C. West.
        Were you actually with them?
15
        I believe I was.
16
        Did they receive some shoes at R.C. West?
17
        They did.
18
        Were some of those shoes tennis shoes?
19
        Yes.
20
        But you were not the one who actually handed the tennis
21
        shoes to them?
22
        That's correct. No, I wasn't.
23
            MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.
24
    1111
25
    1111
26
```

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. NEGUS:

1

- Who was the one that actually handed the tennis shoes
 to the two officers, one black, one white?
- 5 A. I'm not for sure. I believe it was Officer Hill or Arjo.
- 6 Q Was James Taylor present at that time?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Was there any inmates in the -- in the gym area at that 9 time?
- 10 A. I don't know, because I didn't go to the gym area.
- 11 Q So you weren't actually -- you were not actually along
 12 when -- when they got the tennis shoes?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q How many tennis shoes did the officers bring back with them?
- 16 A. The officers did not bring back any tennis shoes with them.
- 18 Q. Did they bring anything back with them?
- 19 A No, because they never did leave the boardroom.
- 20 | Q Did somebody bring in tennis shoes to the boardroom?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 a Who was that?
- 23 A I believe it was Officer Hill.
- 24 Q And how many did he bring?
- 25 A. I believe two. I'm not -- two pair.
- 26 Q Were they different brands?

```
I don't recall.
        Did the -- did, assuming the officers' names were Mr.
        Walker and Mr. Zeiner, those two officers, take the
3
        tennis shoes away with them when they left?
        I believe they did. I'm not sure.
5
        Do you recall the brands of the two pairs of tennis
6
7
        shoes?
        No.
        Had you requested that -- that Mr. Hill bring the shoes
9
        over to the boardroom?
10
        Pardon me.
        Had you requested that Mr. Hill bring the shoes over to
        the boardroom?
        No.
14
        Do you know who did?
15
        I believe it was Arjo.
16
        And did he request that they bring an example of each
17
        type of tennis shoes with a diamond shaped pattern?
18
        I believe he did, but I'm not sure.
19
            MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.
20
21
                         RECROSS EXAMINATION
22
    BY MR. KOCHIS:
23
        Mr. Murray, there's a boardroom where the transfer took
24
        place. Is it -- is it in a building that is separate
25
        and apart from the gym itself?
26
```

```
Yes, it is.
        But it is on the west side of the facility?
2
        Correct.
        Is it the same building where Mr. Arjo now has an office?
5
        Yes.
                          I have nothing else.
            MR. KOCHIS:
7
            THE COURT: Anything?
8
                     FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9
    BY MR. NEGUS:
10
        Is this boardroom close to a Xerox machine, like, right
11
        across the hall?
12
13
        No.
        How far from the -- well, there is an area along one
14
        of the north-south corridors where there's a Xerox
15
        machine in R.C. West; is that true?
16
17
        Yes.
        How far was this boardroom from that Xerox machine?
18
        Maybe about 50 or 75 feet, maybe.
19
    A.
        Was the boardroom on a north-south corridor or an east-
20
        west corridor?
21
        Probably would have to draw me a map.
22
            MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I wonder about the
23
    relevance of this detail and would be interposing a relevancy
24
    objection at this time.
25
```

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.

MR. NEGUS: Actually, it relates to the credibility of Mr. Hill, another witness. It may not be --

THE COURT: I wondered about other testimony as well.

It seemed like just general cross examination, with Hernandez,

for instance. I fail to connect that, a lot of it. Is this

going to take long?

MR. NEGUS: No.

THE COURT: Proceed.

(BY MR. NEGUS:) If I could just have -- I'm giving a piece of paper, ask to have it marked as an exhibit when he's through with it, and ask if you could draw a rough sketch of R.C. West indicating the location of the Xerox machine at the location of the boardroom.

MR. KOCHIS: Well, Your Honor, again, I think this may have to do with Mr. Hill's credibility. I don't think Mr. Hill's going to be called at this hearing. He may be deposing Mr. Murray to later impeach Mr. Hill at another hearing or the trial. But that shouldn't be the purpose of this motion to suppress.

THE COURT: Appears that that is going to take some time, Mr. Negus. Unless you can be more specific, I'll sustain the objection.

MR. NEGUS: Well, I was just wanting to sketch those two things. That's all I was going to ask him.

THE COURT: All right. Sustained.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Mr. Kochis.

MR. KOCHIS: No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you Mr. Murray.

Next witness.

(No omissions.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MICHAEL JOSEPH MASCETTI, called as a witness by the defendant, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.

You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE MITHESS: 1 do. -

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record, and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Michael Joseph Mascetti, M-a-s-c-e-t-t-i.

16

17

19

20

21

DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. NEGUS:

- Mr. Mascetti, you are a deputy sheriff, San Bernardino.
 Sheriff's Office, assigned to the Career Criminal
 Division; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q And you were so assigned in June of 1983?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q On June 13, 1983, did you go down to the California 26 Institution for Men?
 - A I did.

- Q On that date did you pick up six pairs of tennis shoes and four pairs of hard-soled shoes?
- A I did.

- Q What did you do with those shoes after you got them?
- 5 A I took them to the West End Substation where a
 6 temporary command post had been set up and gave them
 7 to Sergeant Arthur.
- 8 Q Was the temporary command post still in place at the West End Substation on that -- on June the 13th?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 ... Had you made any other trips to the California

 12 Institution for Men in order to pick up tennis shoes
 13 prior to that?
- 14 A. No.
- Did you ever transport any men's shoes from the
 California Institution for Men up to a crime scene
 at 2991 English Road?
- 18 A. I don't believe so.
- 19 Q What do you mean you don't believe so?
- 20 A. I don't think so.
- 21 Q Did you receive -- the tennis shoes that you
 22 received and took to Sergeant Arthur, did you receive
 23 those from an inmate?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 Q And was that inmate asked to provide you with every 26 type of tennis shoes that were available to other

```
15-3
```

```
inmates at R.C. West?
         Yes, he was.
2
         And did he provide you with six different brands of
3
         shoes?
         Yes.
5
         Was his name James Taylor?
6
         Yes, it was.
7
         Did you subsequently take an interview from Mr. Taylor?
8
         Yes, I did.
         Were you informed that while you were interviewing
10
         The Taylor, he had charges pending against him and
11
         institutional disciplinary hearings for possessing
12
         marijuana inside the prison?
13
         No.
    A.
14
         Were you informed that the investigators at the
15
         California Institution for Men had previously inter-
16
         viewed him on June the 10th?
17
         No.
    A.
18
         Were you informed that a tape recording existed of a
19
         conversation of investigators with Mr. Taylor?
20
         No.
21
         During the time that you were interviewing Mr. Taylor,
22
         was an investigator by the name of Ezekiel Hernandez
23
         present?
24
         For a short time, I believe.
25
         Were you ever left alone with Mr. Taylor?
```

2

5

6

7

8

9

A. I believe I was at one point.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOCHIS:

- on the 13th of June with Mr. Taylor, did he tell you that he had issued Mr. Cooper a pair of Pro-Ked tennis shoes?
- 10 A. Yes, he did.
- 11 C And did you reduce that to writing in your report?
- 12 | A. Yes, I did.
- 13 C Did you make that information available immediately
 14 to Homicide?
 - A. Yes, I did.

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.

18 19

15

16

17

20 BY MR. NEGUS:

21 Q Did he also tell you about an assault that Mr. Cooper

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- was supposed to have committed in Hollywood on a
- 23 woman?
- 24 A. Yes, he did.
- 25 | Q And did you include that in the report?
- 26 A Yes, I did.

```
15-5
(〔
```

3

6

7

```
MR. NEGUS: Thank you.
```

Nothing further.

MR. KOCHIS: I have one other area, Your Honor.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOCHIS:

- Q Did you also ask Mr. Cooper -- excuse me -- Mr. Taylor questions about whether or not Mr. Cooper smoked?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q And based on that, did you get some institutional
 11 tobacco from CIMP
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q Did you bring that back to Homicide?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
 - Q Did Mr. Taylor tell you that Mr. Cooper from time to time would roll his own cigarettes?
- 17 A. Yes, he did.

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.

THE COURT: Anything?

20 21

23

24

25

26

15

16

18

19

- 1

BY MR. NEGUS:

The tobacco, did you take it to the same place in the West End Substation that you took the tennis shoes?

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

A. Yes, I did.

```
15-6
```

MR. NEGUS: Thank you.

Nothing further.

THE COURT: You may step down, Mr. Mascetti.

Anything?

MR. NEGUS: Can I have just a second? I think we are going to take somebody out of order here. Let me just - I need to get reorganized.

Mr. Ogino.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, I wonder if we might this afternoon take our recess at this time, as opposed to later.

THE COURT: Sure. All right. We'll take the afternoon recess.

(Recess.)

(No omissions.)

THE COURT: Mr. Negus.
MR. NEGUS: Mr. Ogino.

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

2

CRAIG OGINO, called as a witness by and on behalf of the Defense, was sworn and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please.

You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to give in the action now pending before this Court shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God.

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record, and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: My name's Craig Ogino, O-g-i-n-o.

16 17

19

DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. NEGUS:

- Q What's your occupation?
- 20 A. I'm a criminalist for the San Bernardino County Sheriff's
 21 Crime Laboratory.
- 22 Q And were you so employed in June of 1983?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q On June 7th, 1983, were you involved in processing a house at 2991 English Road in the Chino Hills?
- 26 A. Yes, sir.

```
And showing you an aerial photograph which has been
marked as S-25, does the house that's labeled "KB", does
that appear to be the house that you were processing?
```

- A Yes, it does.
- During the period of time that you were processing the house on the -- on the evening of June the 7th, was your attention directed to some blood droppings that were in the driveway?
- A Yes.
- 10 Q And does, on that diagram, does the letter "B" depict

 11 the approximate area where those blood droppings were?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q Did you take samples of those blood droppings and put

 14 them in a little pillbox labeled J-17?
- 15 A. May I refer to my notes.
- 16 Q Yes.
- 17 A. (The witness examined a document.) Yes, I did.
- 18 Q And did you take that pillbox back and put it in the serology freezer at the San Bernardino Crime Lab?
- 20 A I personally did not do that, no.
- 21 Q Did you take it back to the crime lab for that purpose?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q And did you put on the -- on the pillbox the laboratory
 24 number in this case, 42376?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.
- 26 Q The next day, June 8th, while you were still processing

```
the house, was your attention directed to some -- to
some further drops of blood outside?
```

A Yes.

3

- Q And on that diagram S-25, is the approximate area where those drops of blood were depicted by a blue oval shape?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Did you take samples of those drops of blood and put them
 8 in a pillbox which you labeled K-1 and K-2 with the
 9 same laboratory number?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And did you likewise turn those scrapings in to the -
 12 in to the crime lab in San Bernardino?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- 14 Q While you were processing that house at 2991 English
 15 Road, did you see any visible blood?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Where?
- 18 A. It was on what looked like knobs which led from the
 19 entrance to the bedroom. As you're -- as you're entering
 20 the front door, you turn directly to the right. There
 21 are some drawers, and there was a reddish stain which
 22 was on one of the white knobs.
- 23 Q Did you collect that knob and likewise turn that in to the crime lab?
- 25 A Yes, sir.
- 26 Q And what number did you give that? Was it J-26?

1 A Yes, sir, it was.

2

3

7

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q Did you do any tests at the time that you collected those knobs to determine -- to determine if -- did you do any presumptive tests for blood at the time you collected those knobs?

- A I don't believe I did.
- Q Did you see any other substances that you thought were blood inside the house that were visible to the naked eye?
 - A No, I don't believe I saw anything else.
- 11 Q Showing you Exhibit S-32 for identification, does that
 12 appear to be a floor plan of the house that you were
 13 processing on June 7th and 8th?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Asking you to look at an area that's labeled "counter" in the living room area where there is a red circle and a blue circle, do you recall seeing that counter in the -in the house?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q On the surface of that counter, did you see any blood stains?
- 22 A I don't remember.
- 23 Q Were you particularly looking for blood stains?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And had you seen them, would you have noted them?
- 26 A Yes.

```
2
 7
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
22
23
24
```

Do you have any notations of any such blood stain?

-

(The witness examined a document.) I don't have any notes noting that.

(No omissions.)

17-1

```
1 Q On the wall where the counter meets the wall closest to
2 the southeast bedroom, do you recall there being a
3 light switch there?
```

- A. Yes.
- Do you recall whether there was any bloodstains immediately to the right of this light switch on the wall?
- 8 A I don't remember.
- g Q Would you have noted them had you seen them?
- 10 A If I'd seen them, yes.
- And, likewise, you had no such notations of any such bloodstains; is that correct?
- 13 A. Not that I could see this -- this moment, no.
- 14 Q Well, do you have any notes that aren't available to you?
- 16 A. No, no. My notes don't reflect a stain there.
- Did you have an occasion to inspect the shower area in a bathroom on the southeast portion of the house?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q Did -- were there any bloodstains visible to the naked eye in that shower?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q Did you participate in spraying that shower with a chemical substance known as luminol?
- 25 A Yes, sir.
- 26 Q If blood is present on a shower in small quantities,

will it react with the luminol?

2 A Yes.

1

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

20

- 3 | Q Is the same true of bleach?
- A Yes.
- 5 Q Is the same true of various microorganisms?
- 6 A That's possible, yes.
 - Q In the scientific literature about luminol, is it noted that various types of fungi and other micro-organisms common in showers will react with luminol?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Mere you aware in the scientific literature that

 12 some kinds of microorganisms of some type unknown to

 13 you react to luminol?
 - A Anything that has a heme group will react with luminol. Some microorganisms might have this heme group present.
 - When the luminol is applied for the testing, is it applied in a spray?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q When it's applied in the spray, does it run down the side of the shower?
- 22 A In high concentrations, yes.
- 23 Q Were you the person that sprayed it in that particular shower?
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q Did you have it in a high enough concentration for it

2

3

to run down the side?

- A I believe on repeated applications, it was running down the side.
- Q Was there white grouting between tiles in that shower?
- A I believe so.
- 6 Q Do you know if microorganisms are particularly apt
 7 to be found in that kind of grouting?
- 8 A. No, I do not.
- 9 Q Did you communicate the results of the -- of your 10 spraying of the shower with luminol to members of 11 the Homicide Department?
- 12 A. Yes.

15

16

21

- Did you tell them that the tests that you had done were positive for blood?
 - A They were positive indications for blood, yes.
 - Q What does positive indications for blood mean?
- 17 A. All luminol is is a screening test for blood.
- So that means that possibly it was blood, but possibly one of the other substances that I mentioned; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 22 Q And is that what you communicated to the Sheriff's 23 Department?
- A I stated that it's giving a positive indication for blood.
 - Q Did you explain to them it could also be something else?

- A. I don't remember specifically saying that.
- 15 Q Why didn't you tell him that?
- 16 A. I don't know.

conclusive?

- 17 Q In the residence at 2991 English Road, did you find 18 any digarette butts?
 - A. Yes.

13

14

- 20 Q Did you find any tailor-made cigarette butts?
- 21 | A I don't believe so.
- 22 Q Would you check.
- J-20 was the only cigarette butt that you found inside the Lease residence; is that correct?
- 25 A Yes; that's correct.
- 26 Q And that was a Viceroy?

A. I don't remember.

Q While you were in the house, did -- strike that question. The J-20 cigarette butt was found in a -- a headboard of a bed; is that correct?

A. Yes, sir.

Q While you were in the -- in the house, did you look inside a washer-drier that was in the kitchen area?

A. I remember seeing the washer and drier. I'm not sure if I looked inside.

(No omissions.)

```
Q Did you ever see any partially washed clothes inside
the washer and dryer?
```

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q Did you ever seize any partially washed clothes as evidence?
- A (The witness examined a document.) I don't believe so.

MR. NEGUS: I have just one other area, Your Honor.

Mr. Forbush is giving me a visual aid, as it were.

THE COURT: Let's let Mr. Kochis ask a few questions first, if he wishes.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

26

10

2

3

5

6

7

8

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOCHIS:

- Mr. Ogino, while Mr. Negus was asking you questions about what you may have seen in the Lease house, it appears you have been referring to some green pieces of paper in front of you on the witness stand. Is that correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- 20 Q And is that in fact the typewritten crime lab report in this case, a portion of them?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q The pages you have been looking at, do those entail the
 24 pieces of evidence that you seized from the Lease house
 25 with Mr. Stockwell?
 - A Yes, it does.

They do not include a description of the observation you made when you went into the Lease house; is that fair to say?

- A Yes.
- Is it fair to say that in those green reports you didn't note the description of the inside of the house, the furniture, furnishings, the light fixtures, the clothing and those types of things?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q The list you're looking at is simply a list of items you 11 chose to take from the house?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q And is your memory of what you saw at the Lease house in
 14 part limited by your list of the items you actually took
 15 from the house?
- 16 A. Yes.
- When you saw the reddish stains that appeared to be blood to you on the porcelain knobs inside the Lease house, did you communicate that observation to other members of homicide that may have been there?
- 21 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 22 Q Was homicide and CCD also present when you luminolled 23 the shower in the bathroom?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q When you applied the luminol, did you get the reaction 26 that was consistent with the presence of blood?

A Yes.

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And is that reaction in part a change in the color of the luminol itself?
- A It's a -- you get a chemical reaction which gives off light. It's, I guess you could call it, a change in color, yes.
- In addition to the chemical reaction itself, was there any significance that you attached to the pattern of the luminol reaction that was consistent with the presence of blood?
- A. It was more consistent with possibly being blood rather than bleach, since it was in a localized area.
- Q What do you mean, "When it was in a localized area"?
- A Well, if -- if you -- if you bleach the shower or if you wash the shower with bleach, usually you're going to scrub more than just a small area with bleach.
- Q Would you have expected, then, if the luminol was reacting to bleach, would you expect it to show a pattern different from the pattern you saw on the night you placed the illumin -- luminol in the shower?
- A. I would expect to see a more greater area reacting than than what reacted in this case.
 - Q Were you present when the orthoden-tolidine (sic) test was performed on the shower itself?
- A. I was there, but I don't remember witnessing the test.
- 26 Q Was that performed by Mr. Stockwell?

```
Yes.
         Is that a specific test or is that a presumptive test?
 3
         That's also a presumptive test. However, that's a
         more specific presumptive test.
 5
         Did Mr. Stockwell communicate to you the results of that
 6
         test?
 7
         Yes.
 8
         And did he tell you that that second test, the results
         of that test were also present -- were also consistent
 9
10
         with the presence of blood in that particular shower?
11
        Yes.
12
        Was that information also passed on to the other
13
        deputy sheriffs who happened to be at the scene?
14
        I believe so.
15
        Did you likewise collect at the Lease house what appeared
        to you to be loose tobacco?
16
17
         (The witness examined a document.) Yes.
        And did you collect the loose tobacco from a box in a
18
        closet in the bedroom where you found the cigarette butt?
19
20
    A.
        Yes.
21
        Did you communicate that finding to the other deputy
        sheriffs that were at the scene?
22
    A. I believe so, yes.
23
            MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.
24
    1111
25
```

////

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. NEGUS:

- Showing you sheriff's identification photograph L-85,
 does that appear to be a portion of that bed stand I
 spoke about?
- 6 A. The headboard?
- 7 Q Yes.
- 8 A Yes, it does.
- 9 Q And according to your notes, the cigarette butt that
 10 you recovered from the Lease residence was recovered
 11 from that headboard and not from the closet with the
 12 loose tobacco in it; is that correct?
- 13 A Yes, that's correct.
- 14 Q And handing you a magnifying glass, can you see what
 15 appears to be a manufactured cigarette filter inside
 16 the napkin.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to whether the
 19 cigarette butt that you seized was roll-your-own or
 20 tailor-made?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- 22 Q And what was it?
- 23 A It was manufactured.
- 24 Q The ortho-tolidine reacts to the same chemical substance 25 in blood as does luminol, correct?
- 26 A. Yes.

- Q Ortho-tolidine will -- ortho-tolidine reacts by changing color, correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

24

25

- Ortho-tolidine will change color if you put it in contact with bleach, correct?
- 6 A I don't believe that's true. I'm not sure. But I
 7 don't believe that's true.
 - The chemistry of the ortho-tolidine reacts similarly
 with the luminol reaction; is that -- well, with the
 ortho-tolidine, there are two chemical substances that
 are used, is that not correct? One is ortho-tolidine
 and one is a peroxide?
- 13 A Yes, that's correct.
 - Q And with blood, the ortho-tolidine will act as a catalyst for an oxidizing reaction between the blood and the peroxide, correct?
- 17 A. The ortho-tolidine in the presence of a heme group will act as a catalyst.
- 19 Q The heme group is part of the blood, right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q Bleach normally consisting of -- of HCl just oxidizes
 22 the peroxide without any catalyst; isn't that correct?
- 23 A. It might.
 - Similarly with ortho-tolidine, microorganisms will, in
 combination with the ortho-tolidine, catalyze an
 oxidizing reaction with the peroxide just as the blood

will; isn't that correct?

- Not quite the same way. You'll get some reactions with the plant material. However, in the ortho-tolidine test, it's a two-step reaction. And what you're looking for is a change in color after the second step. With plant material, you'll get that change in color before you even add your second chemical substance, which is the peroxidase.
- Isn't what you are describing the way it works if you do it with bleach? When you use bleach, you get the reaction first, but when you use microorgans -- microorganisms or plant, it -- it occurs at the same stage as the blood?
- A Well, with bleach, you might get the reaction before you add the peroxidase. And in some plant materials, you'll get a weaker or -- well, when I have tested grass stains, unless that stain was very, very fresh, it would not give a positive reaction.

(No omissions.)

.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

What experience have you had with microorganisms and funguses?
I have none with the ortho-tolidine test.
1973 a man named Ed Blake published a paper which

1973 a man named Ed Blake published a paper which he did tests with those substances and ortho-tolidine, did he not?

A. I don't know.

Q How was the pattern that you saw on the shower wall localized?

A It was in just the lower portion of the shower.

3 Selow what level?

12 A I'd say below four or five feet.

Are those portions of the shower most likely to come in contact with water when the shower's being operated?

A. Yes.

Q Therefore, those would be the portions of the shower that would be most likely to have growing on them fungus and microorganisms; is that correct?

A. I don't know.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

MR. KOCHIS: No recross.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. NEGUS: Your Honor, could we have a brief break in the proceedings before we take Mr. Stockwell? He won't be very long. Mr. Cooper needs to use the bathroom. .

(

THE COURT: Okay. Call me as soon as you're

Brief recess.

(Recess.)

ready.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

MR. NEGUS: Mr. Stockwell.

<u>DAVID</u> <u>C.</u> <u>STOCKWELL</u>, called as a witness by the defendant, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: You do solemnly swear the testimony you are about to five in the action now pending before this court shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.

State your name, please, for the record, and spell your last name.

THE WITHESS: David C. Stockwell, S-t-o-c-k-w-e-1-1.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- Q On June 8, 19 -- excuse me. On June 7, 1983, did you go to 2991 English Road to help process a crime scene?
- 25 A Yes, I did.
 - And while you were inside that crime scene, were you

26

fact it was blood?

```
looking for bloodstains?
2
         Yes.
         Did you see any that were visible to the naked eye?
3
         I believe at some point during that day, I did see
         one that was visible to the naked eye.
5
         Where was that?
6
         That was as you were coming in the southernmost entrance,
7
         if you turn directly to your right, which would be
8
         headed towards the bathroom and the northeast bedroom,
         right on that walkway there was a very small spot.
10
         Where:
11
         As I recall, there was a patio-type window with
12
         curtains there, and it was near that window.
13
         Was it on the window itself or --
14
         No. It was on the floor.
15
     A.
         Did you collect that -- that stain?
16
    Ç,
         No. I did not.
17
     A.
         Did you point it out to somebody else?
18
         I believe I pointed it out to Mr. Ogino.
19
20
         Did he collect it?
         Not to my knowledge.
21
         Was it large enough to collect?
22
         I suppose so, for certain studies.
23
         Did you do any screening tests on it to see if in
24
```

Yes. The reason that it was first brought to our

1

3

5

6

7 8

Yes.

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

attention was that we were luminolling the house, noticed it with the luminol, and when the lights were turned back on, we did see it with the naked eye, and we also performed the ortho-tolidine test on it.

Did it appear to be blood or at least consistent with blood?

And why was it not seized?

It was a very small spot just barely recognizable with the human eye, and the best information that would be derived at all would be whether it was human or not, and no more useful information other than that would be derived, and it had already been sprayed with the luminol and, therefore, was somewhat diluted by that agent.

Did you ever notice a counter in approximately the same area along the south part of the living room?

Yes. As you would be walking towards the bathroom area and the northeast bedroom, that counter was along the left-hand side.

Did you see any bloodstains on that counter? 0

Not on that day.

On any day. C

Yes.

When?

19a

3

5

6

8

A. On the previous day. There were bloodstains or possible bloodstains seen on a pair of knobs which opened into the cupboard area.

Q Was that on the counter of that particular cabinet or some other place?

- A It was on that particular cabinet.
- 7 | Q Were those knobs seized?
 - A. Yes, they were.
- 9 Q Were those very small stains that -- on those knobs?
- 10 A Excuse me? What was the question?
- the q Were those very small stains on those knobst
- 12 A. When the knobs were seized, the stains were still intact
 13 on them, yes.
- 14 Q Were they big -- a lot of blood on the stain or just 15 a very small amount?
- 16 A. Very minimal amount.
- 17 Q Did you see any stains on the counter top?
- 16 A. I don't recall seeing any, no.
- Did you note that there was a light switch down at the end of the counter where the counter ran to the wall that led to the southeast bedroom?
- 22 A. I don't recall that light switch, no.
- Do you recall seeing any -- any bloodstains on the wall that would be where the counter led into the southeast bedroom?
- 26 A. No.

Q I'm showing you Sheriff's identification photograph
L-19. Does that appear to be the counter that we've
been talking about?

A. Yes.

- There appears to be -- and here's a magnifying glass, if you wish -- a mark that appears on the photograph to the right of the light switch there. Do you recall if that mark actually appeared on the wall?
- 9 A I don't recall that mark, offhand.
 - Were you searching that area for possible bloodstains?
 - mean that area.
 - when you -- when you luminolled the house, you believed, did you not, that you had at least some evidence that there was somebody in a bloody condition that was heading from the door south of the residence into that -- through that along by that counter and into the bedroom; is that true?
 - A We were attempting to ascertain with the luminol technique whether a person may have been bloody or not. We didn't know before that time if that was the case or not.
 - Right, but after you did it, you saw evidence that led you to believe that that had happened?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q So you were paying particularly close attention to that

particular path in looking for blood?

A. I don't believe we paid any more particular attention to that path than to any other part of the house.

Q Did you participate in spraying a shower in the bathroom right near where that counter ends with luminol?

A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

8 Q Did you tell the -- any members of the Sheriff's
9 investigators at the scene the results of that spraying?

10 A. Yes.

11 : : Hom?

12 A. I don't recall offhand exactly who we told.

13 Q When you say "we", you're talking about yourself and
14 Mr. Ogino?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q Who did the speaking, yourself or Mr. Ogino?

A. I believe we both did.

Q What did you tell the investigators?

A. We told the investigators the results that we observed, that the shower and the sink in that bathroom both tested positive with a luminol, and that subsequent tests with the ortho-tolidine were also positive; that that would indicate the possible presence of blood, and that it was also possible that blood had been washed down the -- the sink and the shower.

Q Did you tell them that that was conclusive evidence

that that was blood?

I don't recall offhand using any word whether it was conclusive or not. Normally when I speak in our scientific jargon, as it were, I describe whether or not our tests are conclusive.

(No omissions.)

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

12

13

14

15

CROSS EXAMINATION

is not entirely a conclusive test.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

Well, were you using the scientific jargon when you were

talking to the homicide people, or were you just using

Well, what I'm trying to say is that normally, when I

am trying to tell a person what we have done, I try and

get across the idea also what that means, whether that

is conclusive or not. And, therefore, I assume that

would -- I would have told the investigators that this

BY MR. KOCHIS:

it in more lay terms?

- Mr. Stockwell, how many different types of tests did you perform or witness being performed on the shower and the sink to determine whether or not there was blood?
- I and -- or Mr. Ogino used two tests, the luminol technique and the ortho-tolidine.
- 20 Did you get a positive reaction on both those tests?
- Yes, sir, we did.
- Did you communicate that to the deputy sheriffs that 22 23 were at the scene with you?
- 24 Yes.
- When you say "a positive reaction," did the chemical 25 26 react positively on both tests for the presence of blood?

11

16

17 18

19

A.	Yes.
n.	res.

2

3

5

6

7

8

Was there anything about the pattern of the reaction that you observed that was consistent or inconsistent with the substance in the blood?

- The patterns that we observed, in my opinion, are consistent with blood stains in that nature, very dilute blood stains.
- Did you communicate that to the deputy sheriffs that were there as well?
- 10 Yes.
- 11 Is it fair to say that the conversation you had with 12 them about what you had seen was not as detailed or exact as the discussion we have had here in the courtroom 13 today? 14
 - I would say it's not quite as extensive. But, basically, the same facts were told at that time.

MR. KOCHIS: Thank you.

I have nothing else.

THE COURT: Anything?

20

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS: 22

- What about the pattern did you -- made you believe it was consistent with blood?
- First of all, luminol is used at times when the blood 25 would not normally be seen by the unaided human eye, 26

19

21

23

24

15

16

17

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

that is, in cases where the blood would be very dilute. That was the purpose for using the luminol. And the luminol gave typical reactions for dilute blood stains. And the ortho-tolidine was used to back up those studies.

If the luminol were reacting to something other than blood, for instance, some active metal to which luminol reacts, it would be more consistent to be a more uniform nature reaction. You would see a very broad pattern, or, with blood, you would expect to see smaller patterns leading down if it were being washed with water. were the types of stains or patterns that we were seeing, patterns that were not uniform and appeared to be flowing down.

- Over what area of the shower did they appear to be flowing down?
- I would say there were no stains above my shoulder height, which would be approximately five feet six to eight inches And all those things that I observed were below that point.
- Were they all above the knee, too?
- The majority, yes.
- At the Prelim, you testified they were all between the -the shoulder and the knee of a six foot tall person; is that correct?
- Yes. I believe I did say that at the Prelim.
- The luminol will -- will react with other than metals

and blood, will react with bleach; is that correct? Certain types of bleach, yes. Oxidizing bleaches? Yes. 5 And it will also react with various and sundry micro-6 oranisms and fungi; is that correct? 7 It can, yes. 8 And it can react with the type of microorganisms and 9 fungi which are often found in the groutings of showers; 10 is that correct? 11 I don't know the types that would often be found in 12 shower grouting. I assume it could very well be. 13 And when you sprayed the -- when the luminol was applied, 14 it is applied in a liquid spray; is that correct? 15 Yes. 16 And as it's applied by the force of gravity, it starts 17 to run down; is that also correct? 18 Yes. 19 So what you saw was also consistent with the reaction 20 of microorganisms to the luminol, is it not? Is that 21 correct? 22 The reaction with the liminol might be consistent with 23 patterns of microorganisms. However, I'm not all that 24 familiar with microorganisms and the pattern that they 25 would give with luminol. 26 MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOCHIS:

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q The second test you performed, the ortho-tolidine test, was that consistent with the presence of blood or the presence of microorganisms?
- A It's definitely consistent with the presence of blood.

 I would really have to consult the literature further
 to see if it's consistent with microorganisms. However,
 I would say that it would be only a small minority of
 microorganisms. Otherwise, we would see many more
 positive reactions with ortho-tolidine than we do.

MR. KOCHIS: I have nothing else.

THE COURT: Anything?

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. NEGUS:

- Q This is the first time you ever sprayed ortho-tolidine and luminol in a shower; is that correct?
- 19 A. I have sprayed orth -- excuse me, I have sprayed luminol
 20 in showers before. We don't spray the ortho-tolidine.
- 21 Q This -- the June 3rd -- June, excuse me, the June 8
 22 spraying of luminol was the first time that you had ever
 23 done it in a shower; is that correct?
- 24 A As a -- at that time, yes.
- 25 Q At the Preliminary Hearing, I asked you, in connection
 26 with the same issue, whether you're familiar with an

```
article by the name -- by a man named Ed Blake about the effects of presumptive tests for blood on microorganisms.

Do you remember that?
```

A. Yes.

down.

- Q Did you consult that article after I asked you about it?
- A Not -- not at this time, I have not, no.

MR. NEGUS: Nothing further.

MR. KOCHIS: No recross.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir. You may step

Another witness?

MR. NEGUS: Not that I think we can finish in five minutes. We have a couple --

THE COURT: You can go a little beyond, if it won't hurt.

MR. NEGUS: We have a couple other pieces of business that we could --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. KOCHIS: Your Honor, one of those is a stipulation, and it's a stipulation that me and Negus -- Mr. Negus and I have agreed upon, that the Court may consider at this hearing the testimony of certain witnesses that testified at the Prelim so that it's not necessary to call them.

They are the following people. From Volume No. 1, which was testimony which was taken on November the 9th, 1984 (sic), at the Preliminary Hearing, the testimony of the

```
following witnesses: Officer Shephard, Officer Rojo --
            THE COURT: Spell the second one.
3
            MR. KOCHIS: R-o-j-o.
            THE COURT: Okay.
            MR. KOCHIS: Sergeant Billy Roberts and Teresa Cordua
6
    as they pertain to the 969b papers.
            Also from that volume, the testimony of Kathleen
7
8
    Bilbia, B-i-l-b-i-a.
            From Volume 2, which was testimony which was taken
    on November the 10th of 1983, the testimony of Richard
10
    Sibbitt, S-i-b-b-i-t-t, and the testimony of Susan Walker.
11
            From Volume 3, which was the testimony adduced on
12
    the 14th day of November, 1983, the testimony of Yolanda
13
    Jackson. From Volume 5, which was testimony taken on the
14
    16th of November of 1983, the testimony of Diane Williams.
15
    And, from Volume 11, four pages of testimony which appears,
16
    I believe, on Pages 69 through 73, the testimony of a nurse
17
    whose last name is spelled B-e-i-l-b-y; first name, I believe,
18
    is Neville, N-e-v-i-l-l-e.
19
            MR. NEGUS: So stipulated.
20
```

THE COURT: All right. I'll read the testimony.

Anything else?

21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. KOCHIS: Not from me.

MR. NEGUS: Do you want to do the --

MR. KOCHIS: Oh, there --

MR. NEGUS: -- in the five minutes?

MR. KOCHIS: Yes.

There is another area, Your Honor. Sometime ago, specifically last week, on Tuesday, we litigated Mr. Negus' request for independent testing of certain samples. Mr. Negus and I are unable to agree upon the Court's ruling on that hearing.

It was my impression that the Court ordered that the Prosecution investigator be present when the Defense tested the samples. It's Mr. Negus' recollection of the Court's ruling that the Court left it up to Mr. Negus and I to do --

THE COURT: I did -- I think he's more correct. I indicated I thought that your two criminalists would get together and discuss perhaps what kind of tests were going to be done and what material would be necessary and where it could be done, where both of them would be there and both would have an equal opportunity to observe, since the material was going to be destroyed.

MR. KOCHIS: Well, that was my under --

THE COURT: Then if they cannot get together, then I said I would come in and make some kind of an appropriate order.

MR. KOCHIS: We're willing to let Mr. Negus' expert choose all the tests for all the samples. Our only request is that we be present when the samples were tested. Mr. Negus now wants to divide the samples in half and have his expert

independently analyze half, have those results not available to the People, then we would analyze the other half, and, of course, I assume, make our results available to Mr. Negus. That's where we have the disagreement.

THE COURT: Is that your position?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

7.

THE COURT: You're not willing to do that, sir?

MR. KOCHIS: No. My request was to be present during the testing and expenditure of all the samples and did not have 50 percent of the sample results remain unavailable to me or available to me after Mr. Negus rests, which is going to put a burden on me to be properly prepared if I have to present that evidence at that late stage in the game after we have tried the case.

THE COURT: Do you wish to argue your position or explain it or anything else?

MR. NEGUS: I would be glad to explain mine.

THE COURT: Yes. Anything you want to say?

MR. NEGUS: Yes.

THE COURT: How can you justify that, Mr. Negus?

It's going to be destroyed. You would be able to come in and, without ever having an obligation to furnish to the Prosecution the results of your test, you could spring any favorable material upon them. They, on the other hand, when they test and run the tests on the remaining 50 percent, have to discover to you, reveal to you, the results of all

their tests. What's fair about that?

MR. NEGUS: What's unfair about it is that I have to reveal to them -- what's unfair about it is that there are eight different tests that one can do. One only picks one. A trained criminalist can figure out what you're looking for by which test you do. And I have to reveal to them information that I have -- they can make inferences about information that I have that I don't -- I don't have to reveal to them in any other way. And to even articulate what that information is would be to reveal information which Mr. Cooper has a con -- Constitutional Right not to be forced to reveal.

THE COURT: That's -- that's where we fall down. I don't see this as a privileged communication or a communication of any sort but, rather, a scientific analysis of physical evidence.

MR. NEGUS: No. They want to give discovery of the results of Defense independent analysis. It is a matter of Prosecution discovery of my testing. I am perfectly willing to give them eight of the sixteen samples, and they can choose whichever tests they want to do, and I'm not going to -- and I'm not going to try and say to them which tests they should do and which test they shouldn't do. I just want to have the right to choose in confidence a test that I do.

These particular samples, Your Honor, are taken in --

R

in sequence. So it -- there is at least some possibility that, because the samples are next to each other, that if you divide them, odds and evens, that whatever information they could get out of certain stains they could get out and whatever information I could get out I could get out.

The Prosecution all along has shown a desire, ever since August 4th, to have any testing done by my expert. There are, I believe, several reasons for that. (a) My expert is the best and is best able to get results. And I believe that Mr. Gregonis, their expert, even so testified at the Preliminary Hearing. I don't think that's really open to much dispute.

Secondly, they know that if my expert does it, then
I can't come back and argue with them later about the results.

I am willing, as to the eight tests that they do, to give them that advantage. My expert will do for them, if they want, whatever eight tests they pick on their eight samples. I just want to have the right to do my independent testing on the other eight.

"Independent testing," I submit, means independent testing. And it means that I don't have to reveal -- as an example --

(No omissions.)

l

second.

THE COURT: Well, let me interrupt you just a

MR. NEGUS: Let me give you an example.

THE COURT: What authority, what case citation at all says that I've got to divide up the evidence in a particular case? Give me one case or --

MR. NEGUS: <u>People versus Nation</u> says that they have a duty to preserve evidence so that I can do an independent test.

THE COURT: None of the authorities given to me before cover this factual bituation.

NET. NEGUES: This factual situation has not been litigated before. What I am saying is that what he wants to do is to have his cake and eat it too. I mean, he wants to use my expert, have — learn from my selection of the tests what I'm looking for, because, for example, what tests you pick will tell you whether you're looking for an assailant in a certain drop of blood, whether you're looking for a victim in a certain drop of blood. If, for example, you thought that — I thought that the prosecution had made a mistake on certain — on something, what I was looking for would tell them what mistake I thought they'd made, and I don't have to reveal that kind of information prior to trial, and if you — if you do Mr. Kochis' way of doing it, you're going to force me to either not test it or reveal that kind of confidential

information. Your Honor, you were the one yourself. You said can I divide them 50-50. The only reason we didn't -- I didn't accede to it right away was Mr. Ogino said he wouldn't do it that way, but on further consultation, there are -- if I could characterize the stains, there are four stains that came from a wall. Those could be divided easily 50-50, two apiece.

There are two stains that came from a door. That could be divided 50-50.

There are six stains that you probably will not be able to get results on (a) because they -- they already have sprayed stuff all over it, this luminol stuff, which -- which means that you're not going to get a result; and one, according to the description of it, may not even be blood.

And then there's two stains at the end, which we could just divide up, which are both -- they're both independent. We're not talking about -- we're not talking about they don't get a chance to analyze the same as I do.

THE COURT: Anything that the parties can do by way of agreement and stipulation is, of course, fine with the Court. Barring that, however, I do not consider such analysis and scientific tests to be part of the game, but rather a search for truth by the experts in the particular case. It's not communications. It's not privileged in any way. Whichever side it may benefit should benefit also the search for truth and justice, and I simply am not

()

.

1

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

MR. NEGUS: I think you're running into error this way, because you're forcing me to reveal things which the discovery cases say I don't have to reveal. THE COUPT: | I'd be nappy, as I indicated before, to examine any authority you give me, but I strongly

going to divide them up over objections. Sir, I simply

won't do it. It's not you telling me make a disclosure

of your theory of the case. It's rather an analysis of

physical evidence, and if, coincidentally, you suspicion

a certain thing might be there and therefore you test

for that, I think that's unfortunate, but I think that

I'd run into error very quickly if I did as you ask.

MR. NEGUS: Well, there's none -- the thing is there's no authority on it one way or the other, and if there was, I'm sure we both would have had it before you by now.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to stay with the indication before, and that is they are going to have to be done in the presence of each other, the two experts.

Anything further?

suspect you would not find any.

I will deny a request for dividing up the sample, short of a stipulation.

All right. Let me know if there's any more difficulty. Okay.

> (Whereupon, at 4:07 p.m. an adjournment was taken in this matter.)